

Monograph



BIONOMINA



The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature must be drastically improved before it is too late

Alain DUBOIS

Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Systématique & Evolution, UMR 7205 OSEB, Reptiles & Amphibiens, 25 rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris, France. <adubois@mnhn.fr>.



Magnolia Press Auckland, New Zealand Alain DUBOIS

The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature must be drastically improved before it is too late (Bionomina 2)

104 pp.; 30 cm.

18 Feb. 2011

ISBN 978-1-86977-653-4 (paperback)

ISBN 978-1-86977-654-1 (Online edition)

FIRST PUBLISHED IN 2011 BY

Magnolia Press

P.O. Box 41-383

Auckland 1346

New Zealand

e-mail: magnolia@mapress.com

http://www.mapress.com/bionomina/

© 2011 Magnolia Press

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted or disseminated, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from the publisher, to whom all requests to reproduce copyright material should be directed in writing.

This authorization does not extend to any other kind of copying, by any means, in any form, and for any purpose other than private research use.

ISSN 1179-7649 (Print edition)

ISSN 1179-7657 (Online edition)

Table of contents

A L - 400 - 4	4
Abstract	
Key words	
Abbreviations and printing conventions	
The need of a <i>Code</i>	5
Excellency of the theoretical bases of the <i>Code</i>	
Linking the present and the past	
Terminology	
Structure and plan of the <i>Code</i>	
The logical structure of the Rules	
The plan of the Code	
Basic Principles of the <i>Code</i> : a necessary update	
General Principles of the <i>Code</i>	
The Principle of Nomenclatural Independence	10
The Principle of Nomenclatural Foundation.	
The Principle of First-Reviser	
Criteria of availability	
The Principle of Nominal-Series	
The Principle of Binomina	
The Principle of Coordination	
The Principle of Coordination	
Nomenclatural availability: synthesis.	
Second stage of the <i>Code</i> : nomen allocation	
The Principle of Onomatophores	
The need of deposition of onymophoronts in permanent collections	
Nomenclatural allocation: synthesis	
Third stage of the <i>Code</i> : nomen validity and correctness	
Criteria of validity	
Synonymy	
Homonymy	
Exceptions, usage, stability and robustness	
The binding value of the <i>Code</i> as a universal system.	
Useless or destructive personal debates among taxonomists	
A wrong message to non-taxonomists	
A threat against natural history museums	
Categories of usage	
The Principles of validity	35
Correctness	
Nomenclatural validity and correctness: synthesis	
Fourth possible stage of the <i>Code</i> : nomen registration	
Higher-ranked and lower-ranked taxa nomenclatures	36
Nomenclatural ranks and taxonomic categories	
Higher-ranked taxa nomenclature	
The need of Rules for higher-ranked taxa nomenclature	
The ambiostensional nomenclatural system	
Other systems	41
Lower-ranked taxa nomenclature	42
A cornucopia of "details"	44
Availability	44
Diagnoses and cladognoses	44
Nomina and spellings	
A taxonomy of nomina and spellings	
Structure and length of nomina	
Nominal-complex	
Allocation	
Onomatophores	
Categories of onomatophores	
Categories of symphoronts	
Onymotopes	
Modes of designation of onomatophores	
Aporionyms	53

Validity and correctness	57
Nomenclature of "strange species"	57
Secondary homonymy	
Family-series nomenclature	
Implementation of changes into the <i>Code</i>	
Code-compliance in publications	
Conclusions	
Acknowledgements	66
References	67
Appendix 1. Glossary	
Appendix 2. Nomenclatural Principles	96
Appendix 3. Figures.	

Abstract

At the beginning of the century of extinctions, science has only inventoried a very small proportion of the living species of the globe. In order to face the taxonomic urgency that results from this taxonomic gap combined with the biodiversity crisis, zootaxonomy needs efficient, rigorous and automatic nomenclatural Rules, that allow to spend a minimal time on nomenclatural problems—rather than investing time, energy and money in renaming millions of already named taxa in order to follow alternative nomenclatural systems, e.g., "phylogenetic" ones, that furthermore do not show theoretical superiority to the current Linnaean-Stricklandian one. The current Code, result of a 250-year improvement process, is based on very sound and healthy Rules, being theory-free regarding taxonomy, relying on objective allocation of nomina to taxa by a system of ostension using onomatophores, and on an objective basic Principle, priority, for recognizing the valid nomen of a taxon in case of synonymy or homonymy. Nevertheless, this nomenclatural system is certainly not perfect. It should be modified at least in nine directions: (1) it should adopt a technical terminology avoiding possible misinterpretations from outsiders of the field and even from specialists, and allowing a precise formalisation of its mode of functioning; (2) its plan should be drastically modified; (3) its Principles should be redefined, and some added; (4) material evidence for the allocation of nomina to taxa through specimens deposited in permanent collections should be given more weight; (5) it should incorporate all nomina of higher taxa, providing clear and strict universal Rules for their naming, whereas conserving the traditional nomina largely used in non-specialized systematic literature; (6) it should allow for the recognition of many more ranks at lower nomenclatural levels, i.e., just above genus, between genus and species, and below species; (7) it should provide much more stringent Rules for the protection against priority of "wellknown" nomina or sozonyms; (8) various "details" should be addressed, various Rules and Recommendations changed before a new edition of the *Code* is published; (9) the procedure of implementations of changes in the *Code* should be modified in order to involve zootaxonomists of the whole world in the decisions. In several instances, the Rules of the Code should become much more compulsory for all zoologists, editors and publishers, to avoid the publication of endless and sometimes most detrimental discussions among taxonomists which give a poor image of nomenclature and taxonomy among the biological sciences, such as bitter discussions about the "best" nomen to be used under a so-called "usage" philosophy, or about nomina to be applied to higher taxa. Code-compliance in zootaxonomic publications should be highlighted, and editors and publishers should require from authors who follow alternative nomenclatural Rules (or no rule at all) to make it clear by using particular modes of writing their nomina. It is argued here that if the Code of the 21st century does not evolve to incorporate these changes, it will prove unable to play its role in front of several important recent theoretical and practical developments of taxonomy and run the risk of being abandoned by a part of the international community of zootaxonomists. The latter could then adopt alternative "phylogenetic" nomenclatural Rules, despite the severe practical problems and theoretical flaws posed by such projects. This would be most detrimental for all comparative biological disciplines including systematics, and even for the unity of biology. In the course of this discussion, a few recommendations are given concerning the standards and guidelines suggested by recent authors for a good, modern, integrative taxonomy.

Key words. Taxonomy, nomenclature, *Code*, Principles, terminology, availability, allocation, specimens, types, onomatophores, collections, validity, registration, ranks, higher taxa, priority, usage, spelling, change in Rules, integrative taxonomy, phylogeny, century of extinctions, taxonomic gap, taxonomic urgency