





https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.316.1.11

NEW COMBINATIONS IN *LOMATIUM* (APIACEAE, SUBFAMILY APIOIDEAE)

MARY ANN E. FEIST¹, JAMES F. SMITH², DONALD H. MANSFIELD³, MARK DARRACH⁴, RICHARD P. MCNEILL⁵, STEPHEN R. DOWNIE⁶, GREGORY M. PLUNKETT⁷ & BARBARA L. WILSON⁸

¹Department of Botany, Wisconsin State Herbarium, 430 Lincoln Drive, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA; mfeist@wisc.edu

²Department of Biological Sciences, Snake River Plains Herbarium, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho 83725, USA; jfsmith@boisestate.edu

³Department of Biology, Harold M. Tucker Herbarium, The College of Idaho, Caldwell, Idaho 83605, USA; dmansfield@collegeofidaho.edu

⁴Herbarium, Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA; corydalis_mark@earthlink.net, mdarrach@fs.fed.us

⁵ Vegetation and Ecological Restoration, Yosemite National Park, P.O. Box 700, El Portal CA 95318, USA; juniper.botany@gmail.com ⁶Department of Plant Biology, 265 Morrill Hall, 505 South Goodwin Avenue, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA; sdownie@life.illinois.edu

⁷Cullman Program for Molecular Systematics, New York Botanical Garden, 2900 Southern Blvd., Bronx, New York 10458-5126, USA; gplunkett@nybg.org

⁸ OSU Herbarium, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, 2082 Cordley Hall, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA; bwilson@peak.org

Molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses indicate that many of the perennial endemic genera of North American Apiaceae are either polyphyletic or nested within paraphyletic groups. In light of these results, taxonomic changes are needed to ensure that ongoing efforts to prepare state, regional, and continental floristic treatments of Apiaceae reflect recent findings. Thus, six new combinations are made to accommodate the movement of five taxa from their current assignment into the genus *Lomatium* and the elevation of one variety of *Lomatium* to the level of species; *Lomatium lithosolamans, Lomatium tenuissimum, Lomatium fusiformis, Lomatium linearifolium, Lomatium multifidum,* and *Lomatium planosum*.

Keywords: Cymopterus, Orogenia, Perennial Endemic North American clade, Tauschia.

The Perennial Endemic North American (PENA) clade of Apiaceae is a monophyletic group of 21 genera (~200 taxa) that occurs primarily in the western USA (Nesom 2012; George *et al.* 2014) representing one of the largest endemic plant radiations in North America. The PENA clade includes many edaphic specialists and occurs in areas that are characterized by high environmental heterogeneity, such as the California Floristic Province and the Intermountain Region. Despite its size and importance in the western flora, the evolution of the PENA clade is poorly understood, a fact reflected in the artificiality of its genera. Ten genera are poly- or paraphyletic and another six are nested within paraphyletic groups (Downie *et al.* 2002; Sun *et al.* 2004; Sun & Downie 2004, 2010a, b; George *et al.* 2014). Previous classifications have relied heavily on fruit characters as is typical for Apiaceae, but studies of the PENA clade suggest that these and many other characters traditionally used to define taxa have undergone repeated cycles of convergent or parallel evolution (Sun & Downie 2010b; George *et al.* 2014).

Ultimately, a well resolved phylogenetic hypothesis of the PENA clade would provide a framework for defining monophyletic genera. A full phylogenetic analysis would also provide opportunities to investigate character state evolution, the impacts of environmental factors (soil, climate, habitat, etc.) on speciation, modes of speciation, and correlations between morphology and abiotic factors. However, completion of a fully sampled, well supported and resolved phylogenetic hypothesis for the entire group is still many years away. However, as regional and continental level floristic works proceed it is essential to make nomenclatural changes for those species that 1) have strong molecular phylogenetic signal placing them in another genus, or 2) have biological properties that justify elevation to species status.

We recognize that in some cases, future phylogenetic analyses may result in further name changes. However, the nomenclatural changes proposed here are based on our best current understanding of these plants and are essential for improving the clarity of forthcoming works (e.g. Flora of North America, vol. 13; New Flora of the Pacific Northwest).

New Combinations

1. *Lomatium lithosolamans* J.F. Sm. & Feist, *nom. nov. Tauschia hooveri* Mathias & Constance (1943: 65-67); non *Lomatium hooveri* (Mathias & Constance 1942: 153–154) Constance & Ertter (1996: 519). Type:—USA. Washington: Yakima County, near Cowiche, *R.F. Hoover 5689* (holotype UC!, isotype WTU!).

Notes: Phylogenetic analyses indicate that *Tauschia* Schlechtendal (1835: 607) is polyphyletic (Downie *et al.* 2002; Sun *et al.* 2010a, b; George *et al.* 2014; Feist *et al.* 2013). The type of the genus, *T. nudicaulis* Schlechtendal (1835: 608), occurs in Mexico and preliminary data indicate that the Mexican species of *Tauschia* comprise a clade distinct from the American *Tauschia* (Feist *et al.* 2013). Therefore the Mexican species will retain the name *Tauschia* and all species north of Mexico will need to be transferred to other genera. Sufficient data exist to transfer *Tauschia hooverii* and *Tauschia tenuissima* (Geyer ex Hooker 1847: 235) Mathias & Constance (1973: 73) at this time.

The molecular phylogenetic analyses of George *et al.* (2014) place *Tauschia hooveri* as sister to *Lomatium canbyi* (Coulter & Rose 1888: 78–79) Coulter & Rose (1900: 210) with moderate bootstrap support, and nested within a well-supported clade comprised almost entirely of *Lomatium* Rafinesque (1819: 101) species. The combination for *Tauschia hooveri* in *Lomatium* is already occupied [*L. hooveri* (Mathias & Constance) Constance & Ertter] necessitating a replacement name, in this case denoting the xeric, rocky, shallow soils where the species occurs.

2. *Lomatium tenuissimum* (Geyer ex Hook.) Feist & G.M. Plunkett, *comb. nov. Peucedanum tenuissimum* Geyer ex Hooker (1847: 235); *Tauschia tenuissima* (Geyer ex Hook.) Mathias & Constance (1973: 73). Type:—USA. In the wet swampy small prairies, (high cold region) surrounded by high mountains, Coeur d'Alene country, May, fl. white, *C.A. Geyer 302* (types K!, BM, V).

Leibergia orogenioides Coulter & Rose (1896: 575); Cogswellia orogenioides (J.M. Coult. & Rose) Jones (1908: 33); Lomatium orogenioides (J.M. Coult. & Rose) Mathias (1937: 242). Type:—USA. Idaho: Coeur d'Alene Mountains, 24 June 1895, J.B. Leiberg 1027 (holotype WTU!, isotype OSC)

Notes: Molecular phylogenetic analyses place *Tauschia tenuissima* in a well-supported clade with *Lomatium bicolor* (Watson 1871: 129–130) Coulter & Rose (1900: 237), *Lomatium leptocarpum* (Torrey & Gray 1840: 626–627) Coulter & Rose (1900: 213) and *Lomatium swingerae* McNeill (2014: 395–400) and within a larger, well-supported clade of other *Lomatium* species (Feist *et al.* 2013; George *et al.* 2014). Mathias & Constance (1973) noted the similarity between *Tauschia hooveri* and *T. tenuissima* and discussed the option of including both in *Lomatium*. Therefore, we transfer *Tauschia tenuissima* to *Lomatium*. Although a combination within *Lomatium* already exists for *T. tenuissima* [i.e. *Lomatium orogenioides* (J.M. Coult. & Rose) Mathias], due to the priority of the original basionym, *Peucedanum tenuissima*, the correct combination is *Lomatium tenuissimum*. The basionym on which *Lomatium orogenioides* is based, *Leibergia orogenioides*, was described in 1896.

Mathias (1937) initially placed *Peucedanum tenuissimum* in synonymy with *Lomatium ambiguum* and it was only after Constance had a chance to examine the Geyer collection (Mathias & Constance 1973) that it was determined to be conspecific with *Leibergia orogenioides*.

3. *Lomatium fusiformis* (S. Watson) J.F. Sm. & Mansfield, *comb. nov. Orogenia fusiformis* Watson (1887: 474). Type:—USA. California: Plumas County, 1880, *Mrs. R. M. Austin s.n.* (holotype GH!).

4. *Lomatium linearifolium* (S. Watson) J.F. Sm. & Mansfield, *comb. nov. Orogenia linearifolia* Watson (1871: 120). **Type:**—USA. Utah: Wasatch Mountains, June 1869, *S. Watson 440* (holotype GH!).

Orogenia linearifolia S. Watson var. lata Payson (1915: 379–380). Type:—USA. Colorado: Tabeguache Basin, 1 June 1914, E. Payson 369 (holotype RM!).

Notes: Earlier phylogenetic studies involving analyses of three molecular markers and morphology (Sun *et al.* 2010a, b) showed the genus *Orogenia* Watson (1871:120) to be monophyletic, but nested within a clade of *Lomatium* species. Upon examination of different material including many new taxa and additional molecular markers, George *et al.* (2014) reported that *Orogenia fusiformis* and *O. linearifolia*, the type and only other species in the genus, are not sister to one another. *Orogenia linearifolia* is placed in a well-supported clade that includes *Lomatium columbianum* Mathias & Constance (1942: 246) and *L. piperi* Coulter & Rose (1900: 211). This clade is nested within a much larger clade of *Lomatium* species that also includes *O. fusiformis*. Therefore, we transfer both species of *Orogenia* into *Lomatium*. *Orogenia fusiformis* var. *leibergii*

Coulter & Rose (1888: 92) is a synonym of *L. geyeri* (Watson 1878: 428) Coulter & Rose (1900: 209), and therefore is not synonymous or sympatric with *L. fusiformis*. With the transfers of *O. fusiformis* and *O. linearifolia*, the genus *Orogenia* is reduced to synonymy under *Lomatium*.

5. Lomatium multifidum (Nutt.) R.P. McNeill & Darrach, *comb. nov. Leptotaenia multifida* Nutt. in Torrey & Gray (1840: 630); *Leptotaenia dissecta* Nutt. var. *multifida* (Nutt.) Jepson (1923: 145); *Ferula multifida* (Nutt.) Gray (1868: 348); *Lomatium dissectum* (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance var. *multifidum* (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance (1942: 246). Type:—USA. Columbia Plains and Blue Mountains, *T. Nuttall s.n.* (type BM!).

Notes: Lomatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance (1942: 246) and L. multifidum have been treated as separate species and as varieties of a single species. The new combination is based on morphological differences, seasonal differences in fruit/flowering time, and limited geographic overlap of the taxa. In the few locations where the taxa co-occur, there are no individuals with intermediate morphological characteristics and there is distinct separation of the timing in fruiting and flowering. Lomatium multifidum occurs in shallow rocky soils, on slopes with a south aspect, or at lower elevations. Lomatium dissectum occurs in deep, mesic soils on slopes with a north aspect, or at higher elevation. Lomatium multifidum has yellow flowers, long pedicels, and glabrous peduncles, while L. dissectum has purple (rarely yellow with a purple tint) flowers with short pedicels, and glaucous peduncles. In addition, L. multifidum has already produced fruit while L. dissectum is still in flower.

6. *Lomatium planosum* (Oster.) Mansfield & S.R. Downie, *comb. nov. Aulospermum planosum* Osterhout (1903: 236); *Cymopterus planosus* (Oster.) Mathias (1936: 245). Type:—USA. Colorado: Eagle County, Collected along the Eagle River at Minturn, 5 June 1902, *G.E. Osterhout 2572* (holotype NY!).

Notes: Molecular phylogenetic analyses (George *et al.* 2014) place *Cymopterus planosus* in a large, well-supported clade with *Orogenia fusiformis, O. linearifolia, Lomatium nudicaule* (Pursh 1814[1813]: 196) Coulter & Rose (1900: 238), and a number of other *Lomatium* species. Sun & Downie (2004) also found *C. planosus* to be in a clade with *Orogenia fusiformis, O. linearifolia*, and other *Lomatium* species. Therefore this taxon is transferred to the genus *Lomatium*.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank two anonymous reviewers and Dr. Barbara Ertter for a thorough check on our nomenclature.

References

Constance, L. & Ertter, B. (1996) Post-Manual Adjustments in Californian Lomatium (Apiaceae). Madroño 43: 515-521.

- Coulter, J.M. & Rose, J.N. (1888) Notes on Western Umbelliferae I. *Botanical Gazette* 13: 78–79. https://doi.org/10.1086/326249
- Coulter, J.M. & Rose, J.N. (1888) *Revision of North American Umbelliferae*. Crawfordsville, Indiana, Wabash College, 192 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.32020
- Coulter, J.M. & Rose, J.N. (1896) *Leibergia*, a new genus of Umbelliferae from the Columbia River Region. *Contributions from the United States National Herbarium* 3: 575–576, pl. 27.
- Coulter J.M. & Rose, J.N. (1900) Monograph of North American Umbelliferae. *Contributions from the United States National Herbarium* 7: 9–256.
- Downie, S.R., Hartman, R.L., Sun, F.-J. & Katz-Downie, D.S. (2002) Polyphyly of the spring-parsleys (*Cymopterus*): molecular and morphological evidence suggests complex relationships among the perennial endemic genera of western North American Apiaceae. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 80: 1295–1324. https://doi.org/10.1139/b02-119
- Feist, M.A., Botero A. & Plunkett, G.M. (2013) The genus *Tauschia* and the perennial endemic North American clade of Apiaceae [abstract]. Presented at Botany 2013; July 27–31 2013; New Orleans. Available from: http://2013.botanyconference.org/engine/ search/index.php?func=detail&aid=735 (accessed 1 August 2017)

Gray, A. (1868) Characters of new plants found in California and elsewhere, principally of those collected by H.N. Bolander in the State

Geological Survey. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* 7: 327–401. https://doi.org/10.2307/20179569

- George, E.E., Mansfield D.H., Smith, J.F., Hartman, R.L., Downie, S.R. & Hinchliff, C.E. (2014) Phylogenetic analysis reveals multiple cases of morphological parallelism and taxonomic polyphyly in *Lomatium* (Apiaceae). *Systematic Botany* 39: 662–675. https://doi.org/10.1600/036364414X680843
- Hooker, W.J. (1847) Catalogue of Mr. Geyer's collection of plants gathered in the Upper Missouri, the Oregon Territory, and the intervening portion of the Rocky Mountains. *London Journal of Botany* 6: 206–256.

Jepson, W.L. (1923) A revision of California Umbelliferae. Madroño 1: 117-130.

Jones, M.E. (1908) New species and notes. Contributions to Western Botany 12: 1-81.

Mathias, M.E. (1936) Studies in the Umbelliferae. V. Brittonia 2: 239-245.

https://doi.org/10.2307/2804863

- Mathias, M.E. (1937) A revision of the genus *Lomatium*. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 25: 225–297. https://doi.org/10.2307/2394480
- Mathias, M.E. & Constance, L. (1942) New combinations and new names in the Umbelliferae-II. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 69: 244–248.

https://doi.org/10.2307/2481661

- Mathias M.E. & Constance, L. (1942) New North American Umbelliferae. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 69: 153–154. https://doi.org/10.2307/2481450
- Mathias M.E. & Constance, L. (1943) A new species of Tauschia from the state of Washington. (Umbelliferae). Madroño 7: 65-67.
- Mathias, M.E. & Constance, L. (1973) Another Look at Leibergia J.M. Coulter & Rose (Umbelliferae). Madroño 22: 71-73.
- McNeill, R. P. (2014) *Lomatium swingerae*: A new species of *Lomatium* (umbelliferae) from the Joseph Plains, Idaho, USA *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas* 8: 395–400, f. 1 [map], 2–3.
- Nesom, G.L. (2012) Villarrealia (Apiaceae), a new genus from northern Mexico. Phytoneuron 85: 1-6.
- Osterhout, G. (1903) New plants from Colorado. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 30: 236–237. https://doi.org/10.2307/2478782
- Payson, E. (1915) New and noteworthy plants from southwestern Colorado. *Botanical Gazette* 60: 374–382. https://doi.org/10.1086/331675
- Pursh, F.T. (1814 [1813]) Flora Americae Septentrionalis; or a systematic arrangement and description of the plants of North America. James Black and Son, London, 358 pp.
- Rafinesque, C.S. (1819) Prodrome: des nouveaux genres de plantes observés en 1817 et 1818 dans l'intérieur des États-Unis d'Amérique. Journal de Physique, de Chimie, d'Histoire Naturelle et des Arts 89: 95–107.
- Schlechtendal, D.F.L. (1835) Des plantis Mexicanis Linnaea 9: 607-609.
- Sun, F.-J. & Downie, S.R. (2004) A molecular systematic investigation of *Cymopterus* and its allies (Apiaceae) based on phylogenetic analyses of nuclear (ITS) and plastid (*rps16* intron) DNA sequences. *South African Journal of Botany* 70: 407–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-6299(15)30223-4
- Sun, F.-J. & Downie, S.R. (2010a) Phylogenetic relationships among the perennial, endemic Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae of western North America: additional data from the cpDNA *trnF-trnL-trnT* region continue to support a highly polyphyletic *Cymopterus*. *Plant Diversity and Evolution* 128: 151–172.

https://doi.org/10.1127/1869-6155/2010/0128-0007

- Sun, F.-J. & Downie, S.R. (2010b) Phylogenetic analyses of morphological and molecular data reveal major clades within the perennial, endemic western North American Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 137: 133–156. https://doi.org/10.3159/09-RA-071R.1
- Sun, F.-J., Downie, S.R. & Hartman, R.L. (2004) An ITS-based phylogenetic analysis of the perennial, endemic Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae of western North America. *Systematic Botany* 29: 419–431.

Torrey, J. & Gray, A. (1840) A Flora of North America: containing [...] Volume 1. G. & C. Carville & Co. New York, 711 pp.

- Watson, S. (1887) Contributions to American botany. Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences 22: 396–481. https://doi.org/10.2307/25129875
- Watson, S. (1871) United States Geological Exploration of the Fortieth Parallel. Volume 5 Botany. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C., 525 pp.
- Watson, S. (1878) Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections, 258. Bibliographic index to North American botany, or citations of authorities for all the indigenous and naturalized species of the flora of North America, with a chronological arrangement of the synonymy. Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., 476 pp.