

Copyright © 2012 · Magnolia Press

Article



Sexual dimorphism among species of *Aleurocanthus* Quaintance & Baker (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in Taiwan, with one new species and an identification key

ANIL KUMAR DUBEY & CHIUN-CHENG KO*

Department of Entomology, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan. *Corresponding author. E-mail: kocc2501@ntu.edu.tw

Abstract

Sexual dimorphism is recorded among the puparia of six species of *Aleurocanthus* from Taiwan, including *Aleurocanthus lauriphaga* sp.n. from *Cinnamomum osmophloeum*. A key is provided to the puparia of seven species of this genus known from Taiwan, with illustrations of immature stages and the adult male and female of the new species. The flocculent wax secretion pattern in the puparia of this new species is atypical amongst *Aleurocanthus* species. Newly recorded from Taiwan is *A. citriperdus* Quaintance & Baker, and the record of *A. spinosus* (Kuwana) from Taiwan is discussed. A list of recorded host plants of *Aleurocanthus* species from Taiwan is provided.

Key words: Aleyrodidae, Aleurocanthus, dimorphism, new species, key

Introduction

The genus *Aleurocanthus*, erected by Quaintance & Baker (1914) for 11 species with stout dorsal glandular spines and an elevated vasiform orifice, is an Old World genus that currently includes 78 species (Martin & Mound, 2007). In the study reported here, seven species in this genus are now recognised from Taiwan of which one is described as a new species. The quality of the descriptions and drawings of *Aleurocanthus* species from the Oriental Region vary considerably, and almost no information is available on sexual dimorphism and variation across puparia. Dubey & Sundararaj (2004) re-described puparial morphology of some of the species, but a few species still need further study, such as *A. singhi* Jesudasan & David (1991). The number of dorsal spines has been used for distinguishing *Aleurocanthus* species, but has remained unclear for the puparia of *A. banksiae* (Maskell). *Aleurocanthus chiengmaiensis* Takahashi is another example of extreme sexual dimorphism (pers. comm. Jon Martin, xi.2011). The sexual dimorphism recorded here for six species indicates that more detailed observations are needed on *Aleurocanthus* species from the Oriental Region that have been described as differing in a small number of spines.

Some previous studies on other genera have recorded dimorphism, involving size of puparia and length of antennae, such as *Chitonaleyrodes* Martin (1999). Puparial dimorphism is recorded here in *Aleurocanthus cinnamoni*, *A. citriperdus*, *A. lauriphaga* sp. nov., *A. spiniferus* and *A. woglumi*, involving puparial size and number of dorsal spines. The original descriptions of these species were clearly based on female puparia. In all these species, the male puparia are smaller and possess fewer dorsal spines than female puparia. Moreover, the submarginal spines are sometimes doubled only on one half of the puparium. Although the number of dorsal spines in these six species varies between male and female puparia, the number on the cephalothorax of female puparia was found to be constant within species, and hence is used here for key characteristics. Dimorphism was also noticed in the third instars of *A. cinnamomi*, *A. citriperdus* and *A. woglumi*; female third instars possessed an extra pair of dorsal spines in contrast to male third instars. In *A. lauriphaga*, female puparia are larger than male puparia, with 27–29 pairs of dorsal spines in males but 39–40 pairs in females, although the length of the antennae differs little between the sexes. In this species, male and female third instars both possessed 14 pairs of spines, but the moulting sutures and abdominal rhachis were clear in females (Fig. 36). These observations indicate that many of the species described from the Oriental Region need more detailed study to determine the extent of their dimorphism.

TABLE 1. Puparia	l characteristics	and measurements	(in microns)
------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------------

Species/ characters	A. cinna	momi	A. citripe	erdus	A. eugen	iae	A. lauripi	haga sp. nov.	A. spinife	erus	A. wogl	umi
	PC	PC	PC	PC	PC	PC	PC	PC	PC	PC	PC	PC
	8	Ŷ	8	Ŷ	8	Ŷ	8	Ŷ	8	Ŷ	3	Ŷ
PL	770 810	1180 -1420	770 –780	1050 -1220	810 -850	910 -1210	870 -900	1320 -1420	750 –770	1130 -1270	720 800	1100 -1050
PW	510 -550	900 -1000	480 -550	860 -1070	580 630	650 -920	500 510	1000 -1500	520 -530	870 –970	520 600	535 –775
Dorsal spines	29	34 ±1	27	32	31 -36	42 48	27 -29	39 -40	28	30	29	30
Cephalic spines	14	14	11	11	14 -16	13 -16	13	14	13	14	14	13
Abdo. spines	15	19 ±1	16	21	20 -21	23 -33	14 -16	25 26	15	16	15	17
VOL	42.5 47.5	52.5 -57.5	50 60	72.5 82.5	42.5	50 -56.25	45 -55	47.5 -62.5	67.5 -70	87.5 -100	46.2 47.5	52.5 62.5
VOW	52.5 55	60 -72.5	45 -50	72.5 87.5	46.25	50 -57.5	50 -62.5	82.5 85	55 –57.5	65 -100	46.2 48.7	47.5 -53.7
OPL	22.5 -27.5	27.5	35	37.5 -55	25	27.5 -32.5	32.5 40	41.2 -42.5	35 40	50 57.5	25 -33.7	30 -32.5
OPW	27.5 -28.7	35 -37.5	27.5 -32.5	52.5 -57.5	23.75	27.5 -30	35	32.5 -42.5	41.25 45	50 55	27.5 -35	35 -37.5
CeS	62.5	90 -100	107.5	117.5 -160	57.5	57.5 65	82.5	92.5 -1.12	112.5 -127.5	120 -152.5	52.5 80	90 -102.5
8thAS	72.5	107.5	60 –78.7	112.5 -127.5	60 62.5	72.5	72.5 80	130 -167.5	85 -90	102.5 115	82.5	92.5
CaS	67.5 –127.5	212.5	75 -125	197.5 -267.5	70	90 -106.5	85	127.5 -170	197.5 –202.5	195 230	170 -182.5	160 -175
VS	32.5 -47.5	47.5	22.5 -30	42.5 -57.5	21.25	23.75 -30	35	37.5 -45	45 -52.5	75 –77.5	25	45 -50
VSA	30 -32	53.7	35	57.5 -71.25	37.5	41.2 43.7	33.75	42.5 -62.5	27.5 42.5	65 72.5	27.5	50
AMS	20		20		11.25		20		17.5	21.25	17.5	
PMS	40		52.5		22.5		37.5		37.5	52.5	37.5	
Shape	oval		oval		oval		oval		oval		oval	
Margin	crenulate	e	crenulate	e	crenulate	e	toothed		toothed		toothed	

AMS—anterior marginal setae, CaS—caudal setae, CeS- cephalic setae, OPL—operculum length, OPW—operculum width, PC—pupal case, PL—puparial length, PMS—posterior marginal setae, PW—puparial width, 8thAS—Eighth abdominal setae, VOL—vasiform orifice length, VOW—vasiform orifice width, VS—ventral setae, VSA—ventral setae apart.

A. lauriphaga is a dimorphic species, the female puparia being at least twice as wide as those of males (Table 1). Generally, the puparia of Aleurocanthus species are found in groups, and exuviae of previous instars remain adhering. However, A. lauriphaga puparia were found singly and without exuviae of the previous instars on the dorsum. The flocculent wax secretion pattern around the margin/submargin of puparia of this species is unusual among Aleurocanthus species, and this wax required manual removal. The wax almost reaches the apex of the marginal spines, leaving only the apices of spines exposed. The wax secretion pattern did not differ between male and female puparia in A lauriphaga, and the submedian area of all puparia remained exposed and shiny black. In some Aleurocanthus species, the marginal wax fringe extends horizontally (ex. A. arecae David & Manjunatha), and leaves the dorsal surface clear, whereas in A. lauriphaga, the wax was heavily deposited dorsally on submargin. The arrangement of submarginal spines in transverse rows supports fluffy wax that must be cleaned manually from each puparium. In life, the dorsal spines of some Aleurocanthus species carry drops of transparent/yellow gelati-

nous wax at their tips (Fig. 65). Our observations confirm that each dorsal spine in these *Aleurocanthus* species has a minute pore near the apex and this possibly serves the purpose of secreting liquid wax (Dubey *et al.*, 2010), and the discharged liquid from these pores remains on the apex of the spines. On over-bleached puparia, the dorsal spines curve slightly from the position of the minute pores near the apex. This phenomenon is sometimes mistaken as a characteristic of the spine itself, and referred to in literature as "depressed tip of spines", also "folding" of spines over themselves and "fixing of apical part into basal socket". The puparial cuticle of the new species appears harder than is usual among *Aleurocanthus* species; it took seven to ten days to bleach with 10% KOH, but turned brown in two to three days when treated with cold 2% H_2O_2 at room temperature. When these puparia were treated with hot (40–60°C) H_2O_2 , they bleached unequally.

Material and Methods

The whitefly puparia examined in this study were from field collections, National Taiwan University (NTU) and Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute (TARI), Taiwan. Puparia were mounted using the method suggested by Martin (1987). Some of the puparia of *A. lauriphaga* were bleached using H_2O_2 , washed in ethyl alcohol, and then mounted in euparal. The mounted specimens of known species, and the holotype of the new species are deposited in the collection of NTU, Taiwan. One paratype of the new species will be deposited each in the Natural History Museum, U.K.; United States Department of Agriculture, Maryland, U.S.A.; Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India; TARI, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, India and remainder will be in the collection of NTU. The terminology for morphological structures followed Bink-Moenen (1983), Martin (1985), and Gill (1990). Micro-measurements and camera lucida drawings were made using an Olympus (Japan) BK 51 microscope located in the Department of Entomology, NTU, Taiwan. The names of host plant genera are abbreviated in the lists of material examined, but are given in full in Table 2.

Host plant family	Host plant species	Whitefly species	References
Actinidiaceae	Actinidia sp.	A. woglumi	new record
Amaranthaceae (=Chenopodiaceae)	Madhuca latifolia (=Bassia latifolia)	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Anacardiaceae	Anacardium occidentale	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Mangifera indica	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Annonaceae	Annona cherimola	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Annona muricata	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Annona reticulata	A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1941
	Annona squamosa	A. rugosa	Dubey & Ko, 2008
		A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1941
		A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Polyalthia longifolia	A. rugosa	David & Subramaniam, 1976
	Polyalthia pendula	A. rugosa	David & Subramaniam, 1976
	Annona sp.	A. rugosa	David & Subramaniam, 1976
Apocynaceae	Plumeria acutifolia	A. woglumi	Corbett, 1926
Arecaceae	Elaeis melanococca	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Begoniaceae	Begonia sp.	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Betulaceae	Alnus formosana	A. spiniferus	new record

TABLE 2. Host plants of Aleurocanthus species from Taiwan.

Continue on next page ...

Table continued			
Host plant family	Host plant species	Whitefly species	References
Bignoniaceae	Crescentia cujete	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Boraginaceae	Cordia alba	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Capparaceae	Capparis pedunculosus	A. woglumi	Corbett, 1926
	Capparis roxburghi	A. woglumi	Corbett, 1926
Caricaceae	Carica papaya	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Celastraceae	Gymnosporia diversifolia	A. woglumi	Takahashi, 1935
	Kurrimia zeylanica	A. woglumi	Corbett, 1926
	Salacia reticulata	A. woglumi	Corbett, 1926
Combretaceae	Terminalia catappa	A. citriperdus	new record
Convolvulaceae	Erycibe acutifoliae	A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1933
Doddonaceae	Dodonaea viscosa	A. rugosa	Jesudasan & David, 1991
Ebenaceae	Diospyros kaki	A. spiniferus	Kuwana, 1928
Elaeocarpaceae	Sloanea dasycarpa	A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1956
Ericaceae	Rhododendron ellipticum	A. spiniferus	new record
Euphorbiaceae	Bischofia javanica	A. citriperdus	new record
	Croton sp.	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Macaranga tanarius	A. spiniferus	Martin, 1999
	Sapium sabiferum	A. spiniferus	Mound & Halsey, 1978
Fabaceae	Adinobotrys atropurpureus	A. woglumi	Corbett, 1935b
	Bauhinia championii	A. spiniferus	new record
	Bauhinia blinii	A. spiniferus	new record
	Entada phaseoloides	A. spiniferus	Martin, 1999
	Ficus sp.	A. spiniferus	Martin, 1999
Flacourtiaceae	Myroxylon japonicum	A. spiniferus	Kuwana, 1928
	Scolopia oldhami	A. spiniferus	new record
		A. woglumi	Takahashi, 1935
Hamamelidaceae	Liquidambar formosana	A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1956
Lardizabalaceae	Akebia lobata	A. spiniferus	Kuwana, 1928
	Akebia longeracemosa	A. spiniferus	new record
Lauraceae	Actinodaphne sp.	A. cinnamomi	Takahashi, 1940
	Cinnamomum camphora	A. cinnamomi	Takahashi, 1931
	Cinnamomum camphora var. nominale	A. cinnamomi	Takahashi, 1931
	Cinnamomum osmophloeum	A. lauriphaga sp . nov.	new record
	Laurus nobilis	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Machilus kusanoi	A. cinnamomi	new record
	Machilus zuihoensis	A. cinnamomi	new record
		A. woglumi	new record
	Machilus sp.	A. cinnamomi	Takahashi, 1931

Continue on next page ...

Table continued			
Host plant family	Host plant species	Whitefly species	References
	Persea gratissima	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Phoebe formosana	A. spiniferus	new record
	Phoebe zhennan	A. cinnamomi	new record
Lythraceae	Lagerstroemia indica	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Loranthaceae	Loranthus sp.	A. woglumi	Corbett, 1926
Magnoliaceae	Michelia champaca	A. rugosa	Singh, 1931
	Michelia formosana	A. cinnamomi	new record
Malpighiaceae	Malpighia glabra	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Malvaceae	Hibiscus rosa-chinensis	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Hibiscus schizopetalus	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Meliaceae	Trichila auranticola	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Trichila spondiodes	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Moraceae	Morus sp.	A. woglumi	Quaintance & Baker, 1916
Musaceae	Musa paradisica	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Musa sapientum	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Myrsinaceae	Maesa perlaria	A. spiniferus	new record
	Wallenia laurifolia	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Myrtaceae	Psidium guajava	A. rugosa	Singh, 1931
		A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Syzygium jambolana	A. rugosa	Singh, 1931
	Syzygium jambos	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Syzygium malaccensis	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Syzygium samarangenes	A. spiniferus	new record
	Syzygium sp. (=Eugenia sp.)	A. eugeniae	Takahashi, 1933
Passifloraceae	Passiflora edulis	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Piperaceae	Piper betel	A. rugosa	Singh, 1931
	Piper futokadsura	A. rugosa	Takahashi, 1931 (misidentification)
	Kadsura piper	A. rugosa	new record
		A. spiniferus	new record
Polygonaceae	Antigonon leptopus	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Punicaceae	Punica granatum	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Rhamnaceae	Zizyphus rugosa	A. rugosa	Dubey & Ko, 2008
Rosaceae	Eryobotrya japonica	A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1934b
	Hibiscus tiliaceus	A. spiniferus	Martin, 1999
	Pyracantha formosana	A. woglumi	Takahashi, 1935
	Pyrus serotina	A. spiniferus	Kuwana, 1928
	Rosa indica	A. spiniferus	Kuwana, 1928
	Rosa sinensis	A. spiniferus	Mound & Halsey, 1978
	<i>Rosa</i> sp.	A. spiniferus	Dubey & Ko, 2008

Continue on next page...

Table continued			
Host plant family	Host plant species	Whitefly species	References
Rubiaceae	Coffea arabica	A. woglumi	Corbett, 1935b
	Gardenia florida	A. rugosa	Takahashi, 1931
	Gardenia jasminoides	A. spiniferus	new record
	Ixora thwaitesii	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Morinda tinctoria	A. woglumi	David & Subramaniam, 1976
	Mussaendra pubescens	A. spiniferus	new record
Rutaceae	Citrus acida	A. citriperdus	Corbett, 1935b
	Citrus aruntifolia	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Citrus aurantium	A. citriperdus	Corbett, 1935b
		A. woglumi	Corbett, 1926
	Citrus grandis	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Citrus hystrix	A. citriperdus	Corbett, 1935b
	Citrus limon	A. spiniferus	Mound & Halsey, 1978
	Citrus limonum	A. citriperdus	Corbett, 1935b
		A. woglumi	Corbett, 1926
	Citrus medica	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Citrus nobilis	A. citriperdus	Mound & Halsey, 1978
	Citrus nobilis var. deliciosa	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Citrus reticulata	A. woglumi	Mound & Halsey, 1978
	Citrus sinensis	A. citriperdus	Evans, 2007a
		A. spiniferus	Mound & Halsey, 1978
		A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Clausena lansium	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Murraya [chalcas] exotica	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Murraya koenigii	A. spiniferus	Dubey & Ko, 2008
		A. woglumi	David & Subramaniam, 1976
	Murraya paniculata	A. woglumi	Dubey & Ko, 2008
	Zanthoxylum [Fagara] nitida	A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1956
Sabiaceae	Meliosma rigida	A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1933
Salicaceae	Salix sp.	A. spiniferus	Takahashi, 1956
Sapindaceae	Cupania cubensis	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Melicocca bijuga	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Sapotaceae	Achras sapota	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Chrysophyllum cainito	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Lucuma mammosa	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Lucuma nervosa	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Manilkara zapota	A. woglumi	Dubey & Ko, 2008
Solanaceae	Cestrum diurnum	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
	Cestrum nocturnum	A. woglumi	Quaintance & Baker, 1916

Continue on next page ...

Table continued			
Host plant family	Host plant species	Whitefly species	References
Sterculiaceae	Guazuma tomentosa	A. woglumi	Dietz & Zetek, 1920
Urticaceae	Boehmeria densiglomerata	A. spiniferus	new record
Vitaceae	Vitis vinifera	A. spiniferus	Kuwana, 1928
Zygophyllaceae	Guaiacum officinale	A. woglumi	Quaintance & Baker, 1916

Aleurocanthus Quaintance & Baker

Aleurocanthus Quaintance & Baker, 1914: 102. Type species: Aleurodes spinifera Quaintance, 1903: 63-64, by original description.

Diagnosis. Puparia mostly in groups, male puparia usually much smaller than female, exuviae of earlier instars habitually remain on the dorsum of puparia, cuticle usually black, sometimes pale brown, often with fringe of marginal wax secretion; margin lobulate, serrate or toothed, wax secreting glands often present at base of marginal "teeth" in the form of transparent area. Tracheal pore areas not indicated except *A. hirsutus* (Maskell). Dorsum with stout glandular spines on submargin and subdorsum, spines apically pointed or fimbriate; sometimes with drops of gelatinous yellow wax at apices. Longitudinal and transverse moulting sutures reach the margin. Submargin usually with minute setae. Cephalic, eighth abdominal and caudal setae present. First abdominal segment with a pair of glandular spines often referred to as setae. Vasiform orifice posteriorly elevated, subcircular to subcordate or rectangular, floor usually weakly ridged, almost occupied by operculum, lingula obscured. Caudal ridges and furrows absent. Ventrally, submargin sometimes with one or more rows of wax plates; length of antennae varies between male and female puparia, leg pads and spiracles well developed. Martin (1999) stated that puparia of some species have glandular spines reduced and located on tubercles; these species were included in *Aleurocanthus* based on the presence of spines in third instar larvae.

Key to puparia of Aleurocanthus species from Taiwan

1.	Puparium pale, dorsal spines with laciniate apices (Fig. 53) A. rugosa
	Puparium black, apex of spines pointed or bifurcated
2.	Glandular spines present only on submargin and first abdominal segment, apex of spines bifurcated, none of them reaching well beyond margin; dorsum with prominent pores (Fig. 28); operculum filling half the length of orifice; ventral subdorsum with band of spinules
	Glandular spines present on all the dorsal areas, apex of spines pointed, most of them reaching well beyond margin; operculum almost filling the orifice; venter without dense spinules
3.	Vasiform orifice transversely elliptical in female puparia and subrectangular in male puparia; abdominal submedian spines
	almost uniform in size; marginal teeth much chitinised; submarginal spines on abdomen placed in transverse rows with closely
	placed bases of two to four spines; host plant <i>Cinnamomum osmophloeum</i> (Fig. 32)
	Vasiform orifice cordate in both female and male puparia; abdominal submedian spines not uniform in size; usually the 4 th and
	7 th pairs of spines longer than other submedian pairs; marginal teeth concolourous with remainder of cuticle; submarginal
	spines not placed in transverse rows, but bases of posterior-most 2 nd and/or 3 rd submarginal spines placed close together4
4.	Margin toothed; submargin with 11 pairs of spines, of which five pairs on cephalothorax; none or only one pair of the submar- ginal spines may be doubled at posterior abdominal area.
	gina spines may be doubted a posterior addiminar area.
	spines may be doubled at posterior abdominal area
5.	Female puparium with 29 pairs of dorsal spines, of which 12 pairs on submargin; the 3rd posterior-most submarginal spines usually doubled at base
	Female puparium with 30 pairs of dorsal spines, of which 11 pairs on submargin (Fig. 55); all the submarginal spines placed singly
6.	Transverse moulting suture turned anterolaterally; cephalic submedian/subdorsum with six pairs of spines; eve spots absent;
	none of the submarginal spines doubled at base (Fig. 9): usually from <i>Citrus</i> species
	Transverse moulting suture not turned anterolaterally: cenhalic submedian/ subdorsum with 9 pairs of spines: eve spots slightly
-	elevated; the 2 nd and 3 rd submarginal spines on posterior abdominal area doubled at base (Fig. 1); usually from Lauraceae
	A. cinnamomi



FIGURES 1–4 *A. cinnamomi*, Taiwan (NTU). 1, puparium, female, dimorphism. 2, margin and wax glands. 3, dorsal spine. 4, vasiform orifice.

Aleurocanthus cinnamomi Takahashi (Figs 1–8, 64, Table 1–2)

Aleurocanthus cinnamomi Takahashi, 1931: 205–206. *Aleurodes spinosus* Kuwana; misidentification in Maki, 1915: 31; Shiraki, 1913: 107.

Distribution. Japan (Takahashi, 1940); Taiwan (Takahashi, 1931).

Material examined. Taiwan: Kenting, 1 \bigcirc puparium on *M. kusanoi*, 21.iv.1994, C. C. Ko (650); Taipei, 1 \bigcirc puparium on *P. zhennan*, 16.iv.1994 (615); Baitou, 18 \bigcirc & 29 \bigcirc puparia, 31 third instars, 9 second instars on 7 slides on *M. formosana*, 9.ii.2010, J. R. Liao (5742); 29 \bigcirc & 8 \bigcirc puparia, 1 third instar on 2 slides (4818); 6 \bigcirc & 30 \bigcirc puparia, 2 \bigcirc third instar, 1 second instar on 2 slides (4810); 22 \bigcirc & 40 \bigcirc puparia, 1 \bigcirc third instar, 2 second instars on 4 slides (4813), 11 \bigcirc & 14 \bigcirc puparia, 1 \bigcirc third instar, 1 \bigcirc third instar on 3 slides (4808); 10 \bigcirc & 29 \bigcirc puparia, 1 \bigcirc third instar, 2 second instars on 2 slides (4821); 9 \bigcirc & 7 \bigcirc puparia, 3 \bigcirc & 3 \bigcirc third instars on 4 slides; 34 \bigcirc & 33 \bigcirc puparia, 1 \bigcirc third instar, 1 second instar (4828), all on *M. zuihoensis*, 18.xii.2008, all A. K. Dubey & Y. T. Shih (NTU).



FIGURES 5–8 *A. cinnamomi*, Taiwan (NTU). 5, puparium, male, dimorphism. 6, third instar, female. 7, third instar, male. 8, second instar.

FEMALE PUPARIA. Additional notes except those in Takahashi (1931): each marginal tooth with a wax secreting gland at base. Submarginal spines 14 pairs, five pairs on cephalothorax, and nine pairs on abdomen of which, posterior 3^{rd} and 4^{th} pairs are placed close together at base, sometimes ± 1 or one pair of spines observed in addition to usual abdominal pairs on one half of puparium. Submarginal minute setae present, obtuse. Antennae reaching base of prolegs.

MALE PUPARIA. Elongate oval; longitudinal and transverse moulting sutures almost reaching margin. Submargin with a row of minute setae along the bases of submarginal spines; 29 pairs of dorsal spines (14 pairs on cephalothorax and 15 pairs on abdomen), of which, five pairs each on cephalothoracic and abdominal submargin. Operculum notched in middle, lingula tip visible. Abdominal segments suture visible. Geminate pores present on subdorsum. Antennae reaching 1/3rd of prolegs. Adhesive sacs and spiracles visible.

THIRD INSTAR FEMALE. Cephalothorax with 7 pairs of spines, 1 pair of spine on submedian area of first abdominal segment and 6 pairs on abdominal subdorsum. Transverse moulting suture present while longitudinal moulting suture not visible.

Third instar males have one pair less number of spines on cephalothorax.

SECOND INSTAR. Cephalothorax with five pairs of spines, one pair of spines on first abdominal segment and four pairs on abdominal subdorsum.

Remarks. The length of the antennae differs little between male and female puparia but the number of submarginal spines was four pairs less in male puparia, none of them with their bases placed close together as in female puparia.

Aleurocanthus citriperdus Quaintance & Baker (Figs 9–27, Table 1–2)

Aleurocanthus citriperdus Quaintance & Baker, 1916: 459–463. Aleurocanthus cameroni Corbett, 1935b: 799–800. Synonymised by Mound & Halsey, 1978: 14.

Distribution. Hong Kong, Vietnam (Silvestri, 1927); India, Sri Lanka (Quaintance & Baker, 1916); Malaysia (Clausen, 1934); China, Philippines, Singapore, Taiwan (Wu, 1935); Burma (Pruthi & Mani, 1945); Bangladesh, Cambodia, Indonesia, Japan, Thailand (Evans, 2007a).

Material examined. Taiwan: Baitou, 159 \bigcirc & 11 \circlearrowright puparia, 20 third instars, 8 second instars, 45 \bigcirc & 37 \circlearrowright adults, on 33 slides, *C. sinensis*, 18.xii.2008 (4837); Gongguan, 3 \bigcirc puparia on *T. catappa*, 13.i.2009 (4861); 1 \bigcirc & 1 \circlearrowright puparium on *B. javanica*, 13.i.2009 (4862), all A. K. Dubey & Y. T. Shih (NTU).

PUPARIUM FEMALE. In life, puparia occur in dense aggregation on lower surface of leaves, marginal area surrounded by a fringe of white wax; oval.

PUPARIUM MALE. Oval, much smaller than female puparia, 27 pairs of dorsal spines, 11 pairs on cephalothorax and 16 pairs on abdomen. The number and position of spines on cephalothorax were similar to female puparia, but observed five pairs less on abdominal submarginal area.

MALE THIRD INSTAR. Longitudinal moulting suture not visible, but transverse moulting suture present; submarginal setae present in a row; 13 pairs of dorsal spines, five pairs anterior to the transverse moulting suture and 8 pairs posterior to it.

FEMALE THIRD INSTAR. Length 670 μ m, width 470 μ m. Margin toothed, 14 teeth in 0.1 mm. Dorsum with 14 pairs of spines; submarginal setae present; cephalic setae 112.5 μ m long, eighth abdominal setae 75 μ m long; caudal setae 145–175 μ m long, ventral setae 25–32.5 μ m long, 27.5 μ m apart. Vasiform orifice 50 μ m long, 52.5 μ m wide; operculum 40 μ m long, 37.5 μ m wide.

SECOND INSTAR. Longitudinal and transverse moulting sutures absent; abdominal segments suture faintly visible; 10 pairs of dorsal spines. Eighth abdominal setae present.

ADULT FEMALE. Antennae seven segmented, one sensorial cone each on segment III, VI and VII; four primary sensoria, two on segment III and one each on segment V and VII; segment IV smallest; apical seta on segment VII present. Metatibial comb comprises 17 setae, metatibial brush comprises two setae. Upper and lower lobes of compound eye joined by four facets. Vasiform orifice posteriorly truncate, operculum posteriorly notched, posterior-lateral margin of operculum with a pair of setae; lingula two segmented, basal segment four times smaller than apical. Paired gonopophyses with four pairs of setae, of which, posterior two setae are placed close together, outer apical margins of paired gonopophyses serrated; unpaired gonopophysis with one pair of setae.

ADULT MALE. Same as for female except: four pairs of abdominal wax plates, each associated with two setae on inner margin and one seta on outer margin. Metatibial comb comprises 13 setae. Antennae seven segmented, one sensorial cone each on segment III, VI and VII, two sensoria on segment III, and one each on V and VII; apical seta present on segment VII. Vasiform orifice subcordate, operculum without setae on posterior lateral margin; four setae on each side of vasiform orifice; each clasper with nine setae on mid-dorsal surface, two setae on mid-ventral surface, and nine setae variably placed along inner and outer margin; subapical tooth present.

Remarks. This whitefly is newly invasive to Taiwan. In life, the puparia were found in dense aggregations on the lower surface of leaves, with a fringe of white wax around the margin. It is known to infest mainly *Citrus* species. Sexual dimorphism is evident between male and female puparia. The female puparia were larger and with more dorsal spines than male puparia, and the antennae reaches $1/3^{rd}$ of the proleg length in female puparia but only to the base of the prologs in male puparia. Wu (1935) recorded this species from Taiwan, but as no material was available for study it is placed here as new record.



FIGURES 9–15 A. citriperdus, Taiwan (NTU). 9, puparium, female, dimorphism. 10, submarginal seta. 11, margin and submargin. 12, vasiform orifice. 13, apex of dorsal spine. 14, puparium, male, dimorphism. 15, third instar, male.



FIGURES 16–22 *A. citriperdus*, Taiwan (NTU). 16, third instar, female. 17, second instar. 18–22, adult female. 18, metatibia. 19, antenna. 20, compound eye. 21, vasiform orifice. 22, genitalia.



FIGURES 23–27 A. *citriperdus*, adult male, Taiwan (NTU). 23, antenna. 24, compound eye. 25, abdominal wax plates. 26, genitalia. 27, metatibia.

Aleurocanthus eugeniae Takahashi (Figs 28–31, Table 1–2)

Aleurocanthus eugeniae Takahashi, 1933: 20-21.

Distribution. Taiwan (Takahashi, 1933).

Material examined. Taiwan: Nan Ren Hu, 12 $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\rightarrow}$ & 6 $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\circ}$ puparia on nine slides, on unidentified plant, 24.ii.1990, C. C. Ko (15, 22) (NTU).

Remarks. This species shows sexual dimorphism (Table 1). Male puparia have 31-36 pairs of submarginal spines and female puparia have 42-48 pairs, and ± 3 in each half of the puparium. The description by Takahashi (1933) lacks details of variation in the dorsal setae. The abdominal submedian area has a pair of geminate pores, and similar pores are scattered on the cephalothorax and abdominal subdorsal area. The abdominal segmental sutures and dorsal pores are clear as in most Aleurodinae taxa. The ventral submargin is marked by a fine fold and the subdorsum by a band of spinules.



FIGURES 28–31 *A. eugeniae*, Taiwan (NTU). 28, puparium, female, dorsal and ventral views. 29, margin and wax glands. 30, submarginal spine/siphon. 31, vasiform orifice.

PUPARIUM FEMALE. Found singly on lower side of leaves; dark black, oval, margin with white flocculent wax secretion, wax almost concealing submarginal spines, only apex visible; median area without wax deposition. Immatures of previous instars not seen on dorsal surface of puparia.

Margin: toothed, each tooth apically irregular, usually with three to four teeth in 0.1 mm, each marginal tooth with a wax secreting gland at base, wax glands turning pale after bleaching, broader incision between teeth. A minute pore present at base of each wax gland.



FIGURES 32–34 *A. lauriphaga* **sp. nov.**, holotype puparium, Taiwan (NTU). 32, dorsal and ventral views of holotype puparium, female, dimorphism. 33, dorsal spine. 34, vasiform orifice and ventral setae and wax glands.

Dorsum. Longitudinal moulting suture reaching margin, transverse moulting reaching submargin. Mesometathoracic suture visible. One pair of small tuberculate processes present on submedian area of cephalothorax. Abdominal segments suture evident, rhachisform. Submargin with irregularly placed 50–61 pairs of minute spearshaped setae. Dorsum with 39–40 pairs of spines. Cephalothorax with 14 pairs of spines, of which, five pairs on submargin and nine pairs on submedian area. Of the nine pairs on submedian area, the cephalic two pairs were three times longer than the other submedian pairs. Abdomen with 25–26 pairs of glandular spines (nine pairs on submedian area, 16–17 pairs on submargin). Of the nine pairs on submedian area, one pair located each on abdominal segments I–III on inner submedian area, six pairs on outer submedian area. One spine sometimes present on subdorsal area of abdominal segment II. The bases of submarginal spines on abdomen placed usually in five transverse rows/groups, the posterior three groups comprise three to four spines, and anterior two groups comprise two to three spines. Each dorsal spine with a minute pore near apex. Abdominal segment VII nearly equal to the segment VI. Pocket on eighth abdominal segment discontinuous.

Vasiform orifice. Elevated, rectangular, slightly notched posteriorly at middle, nearly twice wider than long; operculum subcordate, filling the orifice in length and concealing lingula, the orifice laterad of operculum open. Lingula visible through operculum, reaching posterior margin of orifice, with pair of minute setae subapically.

Venter. A row of nearly square-shaped wax plates present on submargin. Antennae reaching base of prolegs. Adhesive sacs and spiracles visible.



FIGURES 35–38 A. lauriphaga sp. nov., Taiwan (NTU). 35, male puparium, dimorphism. 36, third instar, female. 37, second instar. 38, antenna female.

PUPARIUM MALE. Same as for female except: margin toothed, five teeth in 0.1 mm, cephalic submargin with a small crease. Abdominal segments suture present but not rhachisform. Dorsum with 27–29 pairs of glandular spines. Cephalothorax with 13 pairs of spines, of which eight pairs on submedian area, three pairs on cephalic subdorsum and two pairs on submargin. Abdomen with 14–16 pairs of spines, of which three pairs are on inner submedian area, six on outer submedian area and five to seven pairs on submarginal area.

THIRD INSTAR. Oval, 0.75 microns long, 0.55 microns wide. Margin toothed/crenulate, curved ventrally, crenulations broader than long. Longitudinal moulting suture reaching margin and transverse moulting suture reaching submargin. Median abdominal ridge present. Cephalothoracic sutures absent. Abdominal segments suture not visible. Cephalic setae nearly five times longer than the eighth abdominal setae. Dorsum with 14 pairs of submedian glandular spines, six pairs on cephalothorax and eight pairs on abdomen. Submargin with many irregularly placed lanceolate setae. Vasiform orifice subcordate, 40 microns long, 50 microns wide; operculum similarly shaped, 22.5 microns long, 30 microns wide. Anterior and posterior marginal setae 6.25 microns and 25 microns long, respectively. Cephalic setae 40 microns long, eighth abdominal setae 73.75 microns and caudal setae 122.5 microns long. Ventral abdominal setae 40 microns long, 30 microns apart. A row of wax plates present on submargin. Legs conical, not curved.

SECOND INSTAR. Length 55 microns, width 37.5 microns. Longitudinal and transverse moulting suture present. Dorsal spines 14 pairs, six pairs on cephalothorax and eight pairs on abdomen. Abdominal segments sutures faintly discernable. Vasiform orifice 85 microns long, 42.5 microns wide; operculum 27.5 microns long, 26.25 microns wide. Cephalic setae 127.5 microns and caudal setae 85 microns long, eighth abdominal setae nearly five times shorter than cephalic setae, ventral setae 7.5 microns long, 23.75 microns apart.

ADULT FEMALE. Antennae seven segmented, one sensorial cone each on segment III, VI and VII; four primary sensoria, two on segment III and one each on segment V and VII; segment IV smallest; apical seta on segment VII present. Two pairs of abdominal wax plates, each with two setae on inner margin and one seta on outer margin. Metatibial comb comprises 25 setae, metatibial brush comprises two setae. Upper and lower lobes of compound eye joined by one facet. Vasiform orifice subcordate, operculum posteriorly slightly notched, posterior lateral margin of operculum with one pair of setae; lingula not segmented. Paired gonopophyses with four pairs of setae, of which, anterior two setae are placed closely near tip; unpaired gonopophysis with one pair of setae.

ADULT MALE. Same as for female except: four pairs of abdominal wax plates. Metatibial comb comprises 22 setae. Claspers apically much narrow, forming tube-like shape, mid-dorsal surface with six long setae, similar two setae on inner margin and four on outer margin, in addition two minute setae on outer margin present; subapical tooth present. Aedeagus gradually narrowed toward apex, basal one third region with microtrichia on outer margin.

Distribution. Taiwan (Jianshi forests).

Material examined. Holotype: **Taiwan**: Jianshi forest, $1 \ \bigcirc$ puparium on slide, on *C. osmophloeum*, 26.iii.2009, A. K. Dubey & Y. T. Shih (5134) (NTU).

Paratypes. Fifty two female puparia and 23 male puparia on 28 slides, data same as for holotype. Other material: one male and one female adults, five third instars and two second instars on 4 slides, data same as of holotype and several puparia preserved in 95% alcohol from the same collection (NTU).

Etymology. The species epithet is derived from Lauraceae, the family of the host plant.

Remarks. The number of dorsal spines is highly variable in this species. The wax secretion pattern was unusual among *Aleurocanthus* species. The elevated eye spots resemble those in *A. cinnamomi*. The puparia of this species are unique among *Aleurocanthus* species in the placement of submarginal spines in transverse rows on the abdominal region, the square-shaped large submarginal wax plates, much paler, rhachisform abdominal segments, chitinised margin and transversely elliptical vasiform orifice, nearly two times wider than long in female puparia.



FIGURES 39–50 *A. lauriphaga* **sp. nov.**, Taiwan (NTU). **39–45**, adult female. 39, metatibia. 40, metatibial claw, ventral view. 41, same, lateral view. 42, vasiform orifice. 43, female genitalia. 44, compound eye. 45, abdominal wax plates. **46–50**, adult male. 46, antenna. 47, matatibia. 48, compound eye. 49, clasper and aedeagus. 50, abdominal wax plates.

Aleurocanthus rugosa Singh (Figs 51–54, Table–2)

Aleurocanthus rugosa Singh, 1931: 31.

Distribution. Australia, Bangladesh, Borneo, Hong Kong, Sulawesi (Martin, 1999); India (Singh, 1931); Iran (Evans, 2007a); Malaysia (Takahashi, 1952); Taiwan (Takahashi, 1931, misidentification).

Material examined. Taiwan: Taihoku, 12 puparia on slide, 26.iii.1934; 91 puparia on 2 slides, 21.ix.1930, host label not available, R. Takahashi (TARI); Xindian, $30 \ \bigcirc \ \& 3 \ \bigcirc \$ puparia on 5 slides, *K. piper*, 10.ix.2010, Y. T. Shih *et al.*; Guangxing, 2 $\ \bigcirc \$ puparia, 1 third instar, on unidentified plant, 19.xi.2008, A. K. Dubey & Y. T. Shih (4600) (NTU).

Remarks. Takahashi (1931) recorded *A. spinosus* (Kuwana) from two host plants in Taiwan, *Gardenia florida* and *Piper futokadsura*. We have examined specimens he identified as *A. spinosus*, and consider that these are actually *A. rugosa*. We compared these specimens with drawings of *A. spinosus* in Quaintance & Baker (1914), and the puparia of the two species share a few characteristics such as apically fimbriate dorsal spines, median abdominal ridge, and toothed margin. However, *A. rugosa* differs from *A. spinosus* by the presence of a row of minute pores along the marginal teeth, eight to nine pairs of submarginal dorsal spines, multiple rows of submarginal wax plates, and in the number and position of spines on the cephalothorax. The puparia of *A. spinosus* are distinguishable from *A. rugosa* by the oval puparium, granulated submargin, longer operculum and in having seven pairs of subdorsal cephalothoracic spines. In *A. spinosus*, abdominal segments I–VII have a pair of spines along the median ridge, whereas in *A. rugosa* only segments I & VII have such spines, and segments II–VI have paired pores.

Dimorphism in this species is not great, but male puparia are smaller (750 by 475 microns) than female puparia (1000 by 750 microns). The spines of the third instar are also in the form of siphons. Host plant details were not available on the two slides from Takahashi, dated 21.ix.1931, but this pre-dates the 1931 publication, although no collection data were given in Takahashi (1931: 207). As a result of the re-identification of these specimens, *A. spinosus* is not known from Taiwan.



FIGURES 51–54 A. rugosa, Taiwan (NTU). 51, puparium, dorsal and ventral views. 52, margin and submargin. 53, dorsal siphon. 54, vasiform orifice.

Aleurocanthus spiniferus (Quaintance) (Figs 55–60, 66, Table 1–2)

Aleurodes spinifera Quaintance, 1903: 63–64. Aleurocanthus spiniferus (Quaintance) Quaintance & Baker, 1914: 102.

Distribution. Kenya, Tanzania (Newstead, 1911); Indonesia (Fletcher, 1919); Malaysia (Gater, 1924); Java (Corbett, 1926); China, Vietnam (Silvestri, 1926); Taiwan, Vietnam (Silvestri, 1927); India (Singh, 1931); Cambodia, Thailand (Takahashi, 1942); Japan, Marianas Is., Mauritius (Moutia, 1955); Micronesia (Takahashi, 1956); Philippines (Peterson, 1955); Sri Lanka (Takahashi, 1956); Bangladesh (Alam *et al.*, 1965); Pakistan (Gentry, 1965); Hawaii, Sumatra (Weems, 1974); Borneo (Brunei), Hong Kong, Uganda; records from Jamaica and Congo seem to be based on misidentifications (Mound & Halsey, 1978).



FIGURES 55–60 *A. spiniferus*, Taiwan (NTU). 55, puparium, female, dimorphism, dorsal and ventral views. 56, puparium, male, dimorphism, dorsal and ventral views. 57, margin. 58, vasiform orifice. 59, female third instar. 60, second instar.

Material examined. Taiwan: Chiayi, Fanlu, 202 \bigcirc & 24 \bigcirc puparia, 17 third instars, 13 second instars, 3 \bigcirc & 1 \bigcirc adults, on *Citrus* sp., 22.x.2005; 1 \bigcirc puparium on *M. pubescens*, 4.xi.1994 (1370); Loloshan, 14 \bigcirc & 6 \bigcirc puparia on *R. ellipticum*, 13.ii.1987; 1 \bigcirc puparium, on *A. longeracemosa*, 26.v.1995; 1 \bigcirc puparium, on *A. longeracemosa*, 12.v.1995; Hsinchu, 1 \bigcirc puparium on *L. formosana*, 20.v.1994 (753); Kenting, 1 \bigcirc puparium and 1 third instar on *A. formosana*, 23.vi.1994 (915); 1 \bigcirc puparium on *T. formosana*, 1.i.1995 (1654); Ilan, 3 puparia on *S. samarangenes*, 23.ix.1993; 1 \bigcirc puparium on *M. perlaria*, 14.iv.1994 (612); Wulai, 1 \bigcirc puparium on *P. formosana*, 10.xii.1994 (1546); Taichung, 1 \bigcirc puparium on *G. jasminoides*, 17.iii.1994; Yamingson, 1 \bigcirc & 1 \bigcirc puparia on *B. blinii*, 27.i.1994 (429, 844); all C. C. Ko; Xindian, 28 \bigcirc & 11 \bigcirc puparia, on *K. piper*, 10.ix.2010, C. T. Chen (5961); Muzha, 2 \bigcirc puparia on *B. densiglomerata*, 23.vii.1994; Nantou, 1 \bigcirc puparium on *B. championii*, 17.vii.1995; Taichung, TARI, 5 \bigcirc puparia on *P. serotina*, 27.x.1994; all K. C. Chou; Yamingshan National Park, 12 \bigcirc puparia, on unidentified plant, 16.i.2009, A. K. Dubey & Y. T. Shih (4870) (NTU).

Remarks. This species differs from *A. woglumi* in having 11 pairs of submarginal spines, none of them doubled, and seven to nine marginal teeth in 0.1 mm. It is a dimorphic species, in which, adult males are much smaller than adult females, and the wings usually ashy white in appearance; adult female without wax deposition on wings, with orange colour head and black wings, nearly three times longer than males.

Aleurocanthus woglumi Ashby (Figs 61–63, Table 1–2)

Aleurocanthus woglumi Ashby, 1915: 321-322.

Aleurocanthus punjabensis Corbett, 1935a: 8–9. Synonymised by Husain & Khan, 1945: 1–2. Aleurocanthus woglumi var. formosana Takahashi, 1935: 281–283. Synonymised by Mound & Halsey, 1978: 24.

Distribution. Jamaica (Ashby, 1915); India (Singh, 1931), China (Takahashi, 1934a); Pakistan (Corbett, 1935a); Thailand (Takahashi, 1942); Taiwan (Takahashi, 1935); Iran (Kiriukhin, 1947); Burma, Java, Hawaii (Thompson, 1950); Barbados, Bermuda, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, Haiti, Dominican Republic, Kenya, Malaya, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Philippines, Seychelles, Singapore, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Sumatra, U.S.A. (Russell, 1962); Bahamas, Borneo, Cayman Is., Nepal, Tanzania, Trucial States, Uganda (Mound & Halsey, 1978).



FIGURES 61–63 *A. woglumi*, Taiwan (NTU). 61, puparium, male, margin toothed, folded, dimorphism, dorsal and ventral views. 62, female third instar, margin folded. 63, male third instar.

Material examined. Taiwan: Taihoku, 41 (21 \bigcirc , 3 \bigcirc complete and remaining broken) puparia on *Pyracantha* sp., 21.iii.1934, R. Takahashi (TARI); Kaoshiung, 43 \bigcirc puparia on 10 slides, on *Citrus* sp., 2.ix.1987; Nantou, 1 \bigcirc puparium on *Actinidia* sp., 7.vii.1994 (945), all C. C. Ko; Sanxia, 7 \bigcirc & 3 \bigcirc puparia, 5 \bigcirc & 41 \bigcirc third instars, on *M. zuihoensis*, 13.viii.2010, C. T. Chen (5979) (NTU).

Remarks. This whitefly is a common pest of *Citrus* species. The male puparia have 10 pairs of submarginal spines, five pairs each on cephalothorax and abdomen, none of them doubled. In contrast, the female puparia have seven pairs of submarginal spines on the abdominal region, of which the posterior most third pairs are doubled at the base. Submedian spines on the cephalothorax do not reach beyond the margin, except the anterior two pairs. Longitudinal and transverse moulting sutures reach the margin. Eye spots evident. Vasiform orifice circular; oper-culum usually notched at hind end. Dimorphism was also clear in third instars, the female third instars possessed 14 pairs of spines whereas male third instars only 13 pairs.



FIGURES 64–71 Microphotographs. 64, *A. cinnamomi* on *Machilus zuihoensis*. 65, *A. martini* on *Santalum album* (India). 66, *A. spiniferus* on *Citrus* sp. 67–71, *A. lauriphaga* sp. nov. 67, puparium, male, lateral view. 68, same, dorso-lateral view. 69, same, dorsal view. 70, puparium, female, dorsal view with metallic median area. 71, same, dorsal view with cumulus wax around puparium and submargin.

Discussion

Aleurocanthus species feed both on monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous host plants, and some of them are often quarantined from *Citrus* sp. Of the 11 *Aleurocanthus* species listed from *Citrus* sp. (Evans 2007a), three species, *A. citriperdus*, *A. spiniferus* and *A. woglumi* are considered to be invasive and cause serious damage.

In *A. eugeniae*, the presence of spines/siphons only on the submargin and first abdominal segment, none reaching beyond the margin, and the half covered orifice, suggest a separate group within *Aleurocanthus* or possibly a separate genus. Likewise, *A. rugosa* puparia possess several laciniate spines/siphons, and the vasiform orifice characteristics place it much closer to *Siphoninus* Silvestri than *Aleurocanthus*. We understand *A. rugosa* is not a true member of the genus, and *A. davidi* David and Subramaniam (1976) from India belongs to same group or is possibly a synonym.

The newly invaded whitefly to Taiwan, *A. citriperdus*, is infesting *C. sinensis* with high populations, and is likely to cause serious damage; the natural enemies for this pest are under exploration from this island. Evans (2007b) catalogued 27 species of parasitoids from *Aleurocanthus* species, which includes *Eretmocerus orientalis* Gerling (1970) and seven *Encarsia* species recorded from Taiwan.

All six of the recorded parasitoids of *A. citriperdus* (*Ablerus inquirenda* Silvestri (1928), *Eretmocerus serius* Silvestri (1928), and four *Encarsia* spp.) are distributed in the Oriental Region. Except *Encarsia merceti* Silvestri (1926), the other three *Encarsia* species, *En. clypealis* Silvestri (1928), *En. divergens* Silvestri (1926) and *En. smithi* (Silvestri, 1926) were introduced to the New World. *En. smithi* is also recorded to parasitize *A. spiniferus* and *A. woglumi*, and the presence of this parasitoid in Taiwan indicates that this could be a candidate for the biocontrol of *A. citriperdus*.

Acknowledgements

We extend thanks to S. P. Chen (TARI) for offering Takahashi's specimens of *A. rugosa*, to L. A. Mound for his editorial help, and to J. R. Liao for English translation of collection data. The publication is supported by a grant (NTU 97R0044) from National Taiwan University, Taiwan and a grant (NSC97-2621-B002-008-MY3) from National Science Council, Taiwan.

References

- Alam, M.Z., Ahmed, A., Alam, S. & Islam, M.A. (1965) A review of research (1947–1964). Abstract in *Review of Applied Entomology*, 55, 1556–1967.
- Ashby, S.F. (1915) Notes on diseases of cultivated crops observed in 1913–1914. *Bulletin of the Department of Agriculture, Jamaica*, 2, 299–327.
- Bink-Moenen, R.M. (1983) Revision of the African whiteflies (Aleyrodidae), mainly based on a collection from Tchad. *Monografieen* Nederlandse Entomologische Vereniging, 10, 1–210.
- Corbett, G.H. (1926) Contribution towards our knowledge of the Aleyrodidae of Ceylon. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 16, 267–284.
- Corbett, G.H. (1935a) Three new aleurodids (Hem.). Stylops, 4, 8-10.
- Corbett, G.H. (1935b) Malayan Aleurodidae. Journal of the Federated Malay States Museum, 17, 722-852.
- David, B.V. & Subramaniam, T.R. (1976). Studies on some Indian Aleyrodidae. Records of the Zoological Survey of India, 70, 133-233.

Dietz, H.H. & Zetek, J. (1920) The black fly of citrus and other subtropical plants. *Bulletin of the United States Department of Agriculture*, 885, 1–55.

- Dubey, A.K. & Sundararaj, R. (2004) Whiteflies of the genus *Aleurocanthus* Quaintance & Baker (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) from India, with descriptions of six new species. *Oriental Insects*, 39, 295–321.
- Dubey, A.K. & Ko, C.C. (2008) Whitefly (Aleyrodidae) host plants list from India. Oriental Insects, 42, 49-102.
- Dubey, A.K., Ko, C.C. & Martin, J.H. (2010) Description of Asiothrixus gen. nov. (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and two new species with diagnosis and puparial key to species. Zootaxa, 2417, 51–65.
- Evans, G.A. (2007a) The whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) of the world and their host plants and natural enemies. Available at http://www.sel.barc.usda.gov:591/1WF/whitefly_catalog.htm (version 6 September 2007).
- Evans, G.A. (2007b) Parasitoids (Hymenoptera) associated with whiteflies Aleyrodidae of the world. Available at http://www.sel.barc.usda.gov:591/1WF/whitefly_catalog.htm (version February 2, 2007).
- Fletcher, T.B. (1919) Aleyrodidae on citrus plants. Second hundred notes on Indian insects. Agricultural Research Institute Pusa Bulletin, 89, 90.

Gater, B.A.R. (1924) Insect pests of Lubuan and adjacent islands. Malayan Agriculture, 12, 374-406.

Gentry, J.W. (1965) Crop insects of Northeast Africa-Southeast Asia. USDA-ARS Agriculture Handbook, 273, 1-210.

- Gerling, D. (1970) Two African species of *Eretmocerus* Haldeman (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). *Journal of Entomological Society of* South Africa, 33, 325–329.
- Gill, R.J. (1990) The morphology of whiteflies. In Gerling, D. (Ed.) whiteflies: their bionomics, pest status and management. Andover: Intercept, pp. 13–46.
- Husain, M.A. & Khan, A.W. (1945) The citrus Aleyrodidae (Homoptera) in Punjab and their control. *Memoirs of the entomological Society of India*, 1, 1–41.
- Jesudasan, R.W.A. & David, B.V. (1991) Taxonomic studies on Indian Aleyrodidae (Insecta: Homoptera). Oriental Insects, 25, 231–434.
- Kiriukhin, G. (1947) Quelques Aleurododea de l'Iran. Entomologie et Phytopathologie Appliquées, 5, 8–11.
- Kuwana, I. (1928) Aleyrodidae or whiteflies attacking citrus plants in Japan. Science Bulletin, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry Department, 1, 41–78.
- Maki, M. (1915) Studies concerning the more important insects pests of the street trees and ornamental plant [in Japanese]. *Special Report, Formosa Forest Experimental Station*, 1, 30–31.
- Martin, J.H. (1985) The whitefly of New Guinea (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) (Entomology), 50, 303–351.
- Martin, J.H. (1987) An identification guide to common whitefly pest species of the world (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). *Tropical Pest Management*, 33, 298–322.
- Martin, J.H. (1999) The whitefly fauna of Australia (Sternorrhyncha: Aleyrodidae), a taxonomic account and identification guide. *Technical paper, CSIRO Entomology*. Canberra, 38, 1–197.
- Martin, J.H. & Mound, L.A. (2007) An annotated checklist of world's whiteflies (Insecta: Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Zootaxa, 1492, 1-84.
- Moutia, L.A. (1955) The commoner insect pests of orchards, food crops, vegetables, flower gardens and household in Mauritius. *Bulletin, Department of Agriculture Mauritius*, 91, 1–79.
- Mound, L.A. (1965) An introduction to the Aleyrodidae of Western Africa (Homoptera). Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) (Entomology), 17, 113–160.
- Mound, L.A. & Halsey, S.H. (1978) Whitefly of the World. British Museum (Natural History)/ John Wiley & Sons. Chichester, 340 pp.
 Newstead, R. (1911) On a collection of Coccidae and Aleurodidae, chiefly African, in the collection of the Berlin Zoological Museum. In Mitt., Zoological Museum Berlin, 5, 155–174.
- Peterson, G.D. (1955) Biological control of the orange spiny whitefly in Guam. Journal of Economic Entomology, 48, 681-703.

Quaintance, A.L. (1903) New Oriental Aleurodidae. Canadian Entomologists, 35, 61-64.

- Quaintance, A.L. & Baker A.C. (1914) Classification of the Aleyrodidae Part II. Technical Series, Bureau of Entomology, United States Department of Entomology, 27, 95–109.
- Quaintance, A.L. & Baker, A.C. (1916) Aleurodidae or whiteflies attacking the orange with descriptions of three new species of economic importance. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6, 459–472.
- Russell, L.M. (1962) The Citrus blackfly. Plant Protection Bulletin. F. A. O., 10, 36-38.
- Shiraki, T. (1913) Researches concerning insects pests in Formosa. [in Japanese]. Special Report, Formosa Forest Experimental Station, 8, 104–110.
- Singh, K. (1931) A contribution towards our knowledge of the Aleyrodidae (whiteflies) of India. *Memoirs of the Department of Agriculture in India*, 12, 1–98.
- Silvestri, F. (1926) Discrizione di tre specie di *Prospaltella* e di una di *Encarsia* (Hymenoptera: Chalicididae) parasite di *Aleurocanthus* (Aleyrodidae). *EOS*, *Madrid*, 2, 179–189.
- Silvestri, F. (1928) Contribuzione alla conoscenza degli Aleurodidae (Insecta: Hymenoptera) viventi su *Citrus* in estremo Oriente e die loro parassiti, II Descrizione e notizie biologische dei parassitidi Aleurodidi vivienti si *Citrus. Bollettino del Laboratorio di Zoologia Generale e Agraria della R. Scuola Superiore d'Agricoltura. Portici*, 21, 20–60 (1927).
- Takahashi, R. (1931) Some white-flies of Formosa (Part I). Transactions of the Natural History Society of Formosa, 21, 203–209.
- Takahashi, R. (1933) Aleyrodidae of Formosa, Part II. Report, Department of Agriculture, Government Research Institute. Formosa, 60, 1–24.
- Takahashi, R. (1934a) A new whitefly from China (Aleyrodidae: Homoptera). Lingnan Science Journal, 13, 137-141.
- Takahashi, R. (1934b) Aleyrodidae of Formosa, Part III. *Report, Department of Agriculture, Government Research Institute. Formosa*, 63, 39–71.
- Takahashi, R. (1935) Notes on the Aleyrodidae of Japan (Homoptera) III. (With Formosan species.) Kontyû, 9, 279-283.
- Takahashi, R. (1940) Notes on the Aleyrodidae of Japan (Homoptera) VIII. Kontyû, 14, 26-32.
- Takahashi, R. (1941) Some foreign Aleyrodidae (Hemiptera) III. Species from Hong Kong and Mauritius. *Transactions of the Natural History Society Formosa*, 31, 351–357.
- Takahashi, R. (1942) Aleurocanthus of Thailand and French Indo-China (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae), Kontyû, 16, 57-61.
- Takahashi, R. (1952) Some Malayan species of Aleyrodidae (Homoptera). Mushi, 24, 21-27.
- Takahashi, R. (1956) Insects of Micronesia: Homoptera: Aleyrodidae. Insects Micronesia, 6, 1–13.
- Thompson, W.R. (1950) A catalogue of the parasites and predators of insect pests. Section I. Parasite host catalogue. Part 3. Parasites of the Hemiptera. 2nd Edition. 149 pp. Ottawa, Ontario.
- Weems, H.V. (1962) *Citrus* blackfly, *Aleurocanthus woglumi* Ashby (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). *Florida Department of Agriculture*, *Division of Plant Industry Circular*, 9, 1–2.