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#### Abstract

Twenty-nine species of plant-parasitic nematodes were recovered from 282 soil samples collected from turfgrasses in 19 counties in North Carolina (NC) and 20 counties in South Carolina (SC) during 2011 and from previous collections. These nematodes belong to 22 genera in 15 families, including Belonolaimus longicaudatus, Dolichodorus heterocephalus, Filenchus cylindricus, Helicotylenchus dihystera, Scutellonema brachyurum, Hoplolaimus galeatus, Mesocriconema xenoplax, M. curvatum, M. sphaerocephala, Ogma floridense, Paratrichodorus minor, P. allius, Tylenchorhynchus claytoni, Pratylenchus penetrans, Meloidogyne graminis, M. naasi, Heterodera sp., Cactodera sp., Hemicycliophora conida, Loofia thienemanni, Hemicaloosia graminis, Hemicriconemoides wessoni, H. chitwoodi, Paratylenchus goldeni, Xiphinema americanum sensu lato, X. bakeri, X. chambersi, Longidorus paralongicaudatus, and Aphelenchoides myceliophagus. Eleven species (Meloidogyne graminis, M. naasi, Cactodera sp., Pratylenchus penetrans, Hemicycliophora conida, Hemicaloosia graminis, Mesocriconema xenoplax, M. sphaerocephala, Ogma floridense, Paratrichodorus allius, Dolichodorus heterocephalus) were new records from turfgrass in both states; five (Heterodera sp., Loofia thienemanni, M. curvatum, Longidorus paralongicaudatus, Filenchus cylindricus) were new in SC; and three (Hemicriconemoides wessoni, Xiphinema bakeri, Aphelenchoides myceliophagus) were new in NC. The morphological and morphometric characteristics of these species are presented.
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## Introduction

Turfgrasses and associated businesses contribute billions of dollars to the economy in the USA and other countries. Plant-parasitic nematodes can be limiting factors in their growth and maintenance, especially in the sandy soils of the southeastern USA (Crow 2005a). Recent restrictions on the application of nematicides to turfgrasses highlight the need for a greater understanding of nematodes infecting turfgrasses so that more sustainable management strategies can be developed.

Over the past 40 years, several research papers on plant-parasitic nematodes associated with turfgrasses have been published in the USA (Smolik \& Malek 1972; Lucas et al. 1974; Lucas 1982; Chastagner \& McElroy 1984; Todd \& Tisserat 1990; Giblin-Davis et al. 1992; Martin 1997; Sikora et al. 2001; Crow \& Walker 2003; Hixson et al. 2004; Crow 2005b; Mitkowski 2007). Lucas et al. (1974) showed that Mesocriconema ornatus, Helicotylenchus dihystera, Trichodorus christiei, Meloidogyne sp., Tylenchorhynchus claytoni, Hoplolaimus galeatus and Belonolaimus longicaudatus were common plant-parasitic nematodes on golf course putting greens in NC, but Pratylenchus zeae, Xiphinema americanum and Paratylenchus sp. were found infrequently. No extensive survey of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with turfgrasses in North Carolina (NC) has been undertaken since this work
and no surveys have been reported in South Carolina (SC). In this study, we present the taxonomy and morphology of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with turfgrasses in these two states.

## Material and methods

Soil sampling: Two hundred and eighty-two soil samples were collected from 111 golf courses in 39 counties of NC and SC during the summer of 2011 (sampling map shown in Fig. 1 in Ye et al. 2012). Sampling locations were selected to represent a range of grass species [hybrid bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon $\times$ transvaalensis), creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera) and zoysiagrass (Zoysia matrella and Zoysia japonica)] and management zones (putting greens, fairways, and tees). Each sample consisted of 12 soil cores ( 1.5 cm diam. $\times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep) sampled at roughly equal intervals in a zig-zag pattern across an area of $1000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or less. Soil samples were placed in sealed plastic bags, which were then placed in sample boxes and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before analysis to minimize changes in nematode populations. Some nematode materials collected previously from turfgrasses from NC in 2006-2010 were also used in this study.

Nematode extraction: Nematodes were extracted from soil samples by a combination of elutriation (Byrd et al. 1976) and centrifugation (Jenkins 1964). A $500-\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ subsample was taken from each composite sample and assayed to identify and count plant-parasitic nematodes. These tests were carried out by the Nematode Assay Laboratory of the North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

Nematode identification: Individual nematodes representing each genus were picked, killed by heating $\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and then fixed in FG solution ( 1 ml glycerol, 10 ml formalin, and 89 ml distilled water). Specimens were processed slowly into glycerol and mounted on microscope slides (Southey 1970). Measurements were made with the aid of a drawing tube using a LEICA DM 2500 microscope and a stage micrometer. Morphometric data were processed using Excel software (Ye 1996). Images of key morphological features were taken using an AxioCam MRc5 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, LLC, Thornwood, NY 10594, USA) attached to an Axio Imager Carl Zeiss microscope, and edited using Photoshop CS2. In addition to morphological identification, most of the species were confirmed in a separate study by analysis of the near-full-length small subunit (SSU) and internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) rDNA sequences.

The abbreviations and their definitions for the de Man's ratios and other indices used in tables are as follows:
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of specimens on which measurements are based
$\mathrm{L}=$ overall body length
$\mathrm{V}=\%$ distance of vulva from anterior
$\mathrm{a}=$ body length $/$ greatest body diameter
$\mathrm{b}=$ body length / distance from anterior to esophago-intestinal valve
$\mathrm{b}^{\prime}=$ body length / distance from anterior to base of esophageal glands
$\mathrm{c}=$ body length / tail length
$\mathrm{c}^{\prime}=$ tail length / tail diameter at anus or cloaca
$\mathrm{m}=\%$ length of shaft relative to stylet length
$\mathrm{VA}=$ distance from vulva to anus
$\mathrm{VBD}=$ diameter of body at vulva
PUS= postuterine sac
$\mathrm{R}=$ ring number of body cuticle
Rs= ring number from anterior to base of stylet base
Rex= ring number from anterior to excretory pore
Roes= ring number from anterior to base of esophageal glands

## Results

Twenty-nine species of plant-parasitic nematodes, representing 22 genera in 15 families, were identified from the samples collected during this project. Of these nematodes, 24 species were found in $\mathrm{SC}, 22$ species in NC , and 18 species in both states.

## Systematics

The classification of nematodes associated with turfgrasses is based on the classification systems of Siddiqi (2000), Hunt (1993) and Decraemer (1995).

Tylenchida
Tylenchina
Tylenchidae
Filenchus cylindricus (Thorne \& Malek, 1968) Siddiqi, 1986
Hoplolaimina
Hoplolaimidae
Hoplolaimus galeatus (Cobb, 1913) Thorne, 1935
Scutellonema brachyurum (Steiner, 1938) Andrássy, 1958
Helicotylenchus dihystera (Cobb, 1893) Sher, 1961
Pratylenchidae
Pratylenchus penetrans (Cobb, 1917) Chitwood \& Oteifa, 1952
Meloidogynidae
Meloidogyne graminis (Sledge \& Golden) Whitehead, 1968
Meloidogyne naasi Franklin, 1965
Heteroderidae
Heterodera sp.
Cactodera sp.
Dolichodoridae
Dolichodorus heterocephalus Cobb, 1914
Belonolaimidae
Belonolaimus longicaudatus Rau, 1958
Telotylenchidae
Tylenchorhynchus claytoni Steiner, 1937
Criconematina
Criconematidae
Ogma floridense Vovlas, Inserra \& Esser, 1991
Mesocriconema xenoplax (Raski, 1952) Loof \& De Grisse, 1989
Mesocriconema curvatum (Raski, 1952) Loof \& DeGrisse, 1989
Mesocriconema sphaerocephala (Taylor, 1936) Loof \& De Grisse, 1989
Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi Esser, 1960
Hemicriconemoides wessoni Chitwood \& Birchfield, 1957
Hemicycliophoridae
Hemicycliophora conida Thorne, 1955
Loofia thienemanni (Schneider, 1925) Siddiqi, 1980
Caloosiidae
Hemicaloosia graminis Zeng, Ye, Tredway, Martin \& Martin, 2012
Paratylenchidae
Paratylenchus goldeni Raski, 1975

Aphelenchida
Aphelenchina
Aphelenchoididae
Aphelenchoides myceliophagus (Thorne \& Malek, 1968) Siddiqi, 1986

Dorylaimida
Dorylaimina
Longidoridae
Longidorus paralongicaudatus Ye \& Robbins, 2003
Xiphinema americanum sensu lato Cobb, 1913

Xiphinema bakeri Williams, 1961
Xiphinema chambersi Thorne, 1939

Triplonchida
Diphtherophorina
Trichodoridae
Paratrichodorus allius (Jensen, 1963) Siddiqi, 1974
Paratrichodorus minor (Colbran, 1956) Siddiqi, 1974


FIGURE 1. Micrographs of Pratylenchus penetrans, Tylenchorhynchus claytoni and Filenchus cylindricus from turfgrasses in NC and SC. Scale bars: A, B, J=50 $\mu \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{M}=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A,B. Entire body of $P$. penetrans. C. Pharyngeal region of $P$. penetrans. D,E. Female tails of $P$. penetrans. F. Male tail of P. penetrans. G. Pharyngeal region of T. claytoni. H. Female tail of T. claytoni. I. Male tail of $T$. claytoni. J. Entire body of $F$. cylindricus. K. Pharyngeal region of $F$. cylindricus. L. Vulval region of $F$. cylindricus. M. Female tail of $F$. cylindricus.

TABLE 1. Filenchus cylindricus and Aphelenchoides myceliophagus: morphometrics of male and females mounted in water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | F. cylindricus Female | A. myceliophagus Male | A. myceliophagus Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-30748 | 11-29913 | 11-29913 |
| Host | Bermudagrass | Centipedegrass | Centipedegrass |
| Location | Horry, SC | Cumberland, NC | Cumberland, NC |
| n | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 544.0 \pm 12.3 \\ (531.0-560.5) \end{gathered}$ | 541.0 | $\begin{gathered} 509.4 \pm 39.7 \\ (463.0-559.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \pm 1.2 \\ (23.1-26.0) \end{gathered}$ | 30.8 | $\begin{gathered} 26.9 \pm 1.0 \\ (25.7-28.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \pm 0.2 \\ & (5.4-5.9) \end{aligned}$ | 8.0 | $\begin{gathered} 9.9 \pm 0.1 \\ (9.8-10.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \pm 0.6 \\ & (4.8-6.3) \end{aligned}$ | 14.0 | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \pm 1.3 \\ (14.5-17.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| c' | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \pm 1.2 \\ & (6.8-9.6) \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.9-3.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $\begin{gathered} 66.3 \pm 1.6 \\ (64.4-68.2) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 70.2 \pm 0.3 \\ & (69.9-70.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body width at vulva or greatest body width | $\begin{gathered} 19.1 \pm 1.4 \\ (17.5-20.8) \end{gathered}$ | 17.5 | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \pm 0.8 \\ (18.0-19.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \pm 0.3 \\ (12.9-13.5) \end{gathered}$ | 11.0 | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \pm 0.1 \\ (11.1-11.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet shaft length | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (6.9-7.2) \end{aligned}$ | 5.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & (5.2-5.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pharynx length <br> (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 95.1 \pm 2.6 \\ (92.0-98.3) \end{gathered}$ | 67.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 51.5 \pm 4.7 \\ & (45.9-57.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \pm 0.5 \\ (11.4-12.7) \end{gathered}$ | 11.0 | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \pm 0.6 \\ (10.2-11.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{aligned} & 101.5 \pm 12.4 \\ & (95.8-116.2) \end{aligned}$ | 38.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.8 \pm 0.9 \\ & (31.9-34.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 80.6 \pm 0.8 \\ (79.7-81.7) \end{gathered}$ | 70.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.8 \pm 4.9 \\ & (53.8-65.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip width | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.0 \\ & (6.9-7.0) \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \pm 0.2 \\ & (5.5-6.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (3.7-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.7-3.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus length | - | 11.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (10.8-11.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus width | - | 8.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.6 \pm 0.1 \\ & (9.5-9.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| VA | $\begin{gathered} 82.2 \pm 3.7 \\ (77.3-86.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 118.8 \pm 10.6 \\ (107.6-133.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| PUS | $\begin{aligned} & 6.4 \pm 1.1 \\ & (5.1-7.8) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \pm 2.4 \\ (14.2-19.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spicule length | - | 21.5 | - |
| Gubernaculum length | - | 10.2 | - |
| Annule width at mid-body | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.4-2.5) \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Metacorpus L/W | - | 1.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.1-1.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Gubernaculum \%Spicule | - | 47.4 | - |
| PUS/VBD | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.8-1.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip W/H | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.8-1.9) \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.0-2.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| M | $\begin{gathered} 53.4 \pm 1.8 \\ (51.4-55.7) \end{gathered}$ | 52.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.6 \pm 0.8 \\ & (46.8-48.2) \end{aligned}$ |

## Filenchus cylindricus

(Fig. $1 \mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{M}$ )

## Measurements. See Table 1.

Remarks. The type population of $F$. cylindricus was first described as Tylenchus cylindricus from natural grassland adjacent to wheat fields near Presho and Winner, South Dakota, and Holbrook, Nebraska, by Thorne \& Malek (1968). Elmiligy (1971) described another population from loamy sand around roots of Zea mays in Iowa as T. hageneri. Raski \& Geraert (1987) synonymized T. hageneri with T. cylindricus and transferred both species to the genus Filenchus. This species has also been recorded from Bulgaria (Katalan-Gateva \& Tsoneva 1977), Romania (Dobrin \& Rosca 1996), Turkey (Erdogus et al. 2010) and Pakistan (Erum \& Shahina, 2010). In the present study, F. cylindricus was found in samples from a bermudagrass tee in Horry County, SC. The morphological characteristics fit well with the description by Zeidan \& Geraert (1991), but the morphometrics showed smaller body length and $a$ value in females than in the described populations (Zeidan \& Geraert 1991). This is the first record of $F$. cylindricus from turfgrasses in SC.

## Hoplolaimus galeatus

(Fig. 2 A-E)

## Measurements. See Table 2.

Remarks. Hoplolaimus galeatus was described from soil at Arlington Farms, Arlington, Virginia, USA (Cobb 1913). It has been reported from SC (Lewis et al. 1993; Martin et al. 1994; Koenning \& Barker 1998), NC (Lucas et al. 1974), Florida (Crow 2005b), Kansas (Todd \& Tisserat 1990), Arkansas (Robbins et al. 1987), Tennessee (Ponchillia 1975), Alabama (Rodriguez-Kabana \& Thurlow 1980), Minnesota (Wallace \& MacDonald 1979), Virginia (Niles et al. 1985), Maryland (Feldmesser \& Golden 1972), Indiana (Alby et al. 1983), Mississippi (Bost 1985), Kentucky (Chapman 1976), Louisiana (McGawley et al. 1984), Illinois (Allen et al. 2005), Michigan (Olsen 1983), Texas (Heald et al. 1991), Missouri (Wrather et al. 1992) and Iowa (Norton \& Hinz 1976) in USA. This species has been reported in Trinidad (Singh 1973), Costa Rica (Tarjan \& Jimenez 1973), Argentina (Doucet 1980), Peru (Ciancio et al. 1998), Brazil (Torres et al. 2007), Turkey (Kepenekci \& Ökten 2000), Pakistan (Goswami et al. 2008) and Australia (Nambiar et al. 2008). Hoplolaimus galeatus feeds and reproduces on a wide range of plant hosts. It can cause serious damage to cotton (Krusberg \& Sasser 1956; Wrather et al. 1992; Martin et al. 1994; Gazaway \& Mclean 2003), soybean (Lewis et al. 1993; Koenning \& Barker 1998), banana (Torres et al. 2007) and corn (Norton \& Hinz 1976). It is also considered to be an economically important pest of turfgrasses such as St. Augustine grass (Sternotaphrum secundatum) and bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon) (Henn \& Dunn 1989; GiblinDavis et al. 1990, 1995). In this survey, this species was found in 22 counties in NC and SC. It was found with high prevalence in all three management zones (green, fairway and tee) and two grass species (bentgrass and zoysiagrass). The morphological characteristics agreed well with the population described by Sher (1963).

## Scutellonema brachyurum

(Fig. $2 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{H}$ )

## Measurements. See Table 3.

Remarks. Scutellonema brachyurum was described from red spiderlily (Lycoris radiata) in Hamlet, Richmond County, NC, and was also documented in SC (Kraus-Schmidt \& Lewis 1979). CABI (2006) recorded S. brachyurum in six countries in Europe, 11 in Asia, 15 in Africa, two in North America (Canada, USA including Arkansas, California, Florida, NC, SC), four in Central America and the Caribbean, four in South America, and five in Oceania. A series of studies on the host range of S. brachyurum in South Africa was carried out from 1988 to 2001 (Waele \& Jordaan 1988a; 1988b; Bolton et al. 1989; Jordaan et al. 1992; Venter et al. 1992; Fourie et al. 2001). Tarjan (1964a) reported it on bermudagrass in Egypt. Agudelo \& Harshman (2011) first found it on lilyturf (Liriope muscari) in SC. In the present study, S. brachyurum was found only in Beaufort County, SC, in samples from a bermudagrass putting green. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from other populations (Siddiqi 1974b).

TABLE 2. Hoplolaimus galeatus: morphometrics of males and females mounted in formalin-glycerin. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Sex | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 09-23920 | 09-23920 | 06-12319 | 06-12319 | 07-00334 | 07-00334 |
| Host | Turf | Turf | Turf | Turf | Turf | Turf |
| Location | Moore, NC | Moore, NC | Moore, NC | Moore, NC | Moore, NC | Moore, NC |
| n | 15 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 1200.0 \pm 100.3 \\ (1110.0-1340.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1462.8 \pm 77.9 \\ (1326.0-1591.0) \end{gathered}$ | 1120.0 | $\begin{gathered} 1385.9 \pm 102.8 \\ (1219.0-1520.0) \end{gathered}$ | 1110.0 | $\begin{gathered} 1372.4 \pm 76.9 \\ (1321.0-1505.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \pm 3.2 \\ (27.4-35.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.1 \pm 2.4 \\ & (29.1-36.2) \end{aligned}$ | 31.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.5 \pm 2.8 \\ & (28.0-36.1) \end{aligned}$ | 30.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \pm 3.0 \\ & (27.6-35.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.7 \\ & (6.5-8.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \pm 0.9 \\ & (7.8-10.1) \end{aligned}$ | 7.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \pm 1.5 \\ & (5.8-10.8) \end{aligned}$ | 6.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1 \pm 0.6 \\ & (7.1-8.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 40.5 \pm 6.2 \\ (31.9-46.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.4 \pm 5.0 \\ & (37.6-53.1) \end{aligned}$ | 37.3 | $\begin{gathered} 57.6 \pm 6.9 \\ (46.9-69.1) \end{gathered}$ | 30.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.0 \pm 10.2 \\ & (30.1-55.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| c' | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.2-1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.7-1.2) \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.6-0.9) \end{aligned}$ | 1.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (0.6-1.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | - | $\begin{aligned} & 55.0 \pm 1.5 \\ & (52.5-57.8) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 54.8 \pm 2.5 \\ & (52.7-60.4) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 54.3 \pm 1.1 \\ & (53.4-56.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 38.7 \pm 2.5 \\ (36.0-42.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.6 \pm 2.1 \\ (43.0-49.0) \end{gathered}$ | 36.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 42.7 \pm 2.2 \\ & (40.0-47.0) \end{aligned}$ | 36.0 | $\begin{gathered} 45.3 \pm 2.8 \\ (42.0-48.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 45.3 \pm 0.9 \\ (44.0-46.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.5 \pm 3.0 \\ & (45.0-55.0) \end{aligned}$ | 39.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 50.1 \pm 2.6 \\ & (48.0-56.0) \end{aligned}$ | 41.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.5 \pm 1.5 \\ & (45.0-49.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Stylet cone length | $\begin{gathered} 27.0 \pm 2.2 \\ (24.0-29.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.3 \pm 3.5 \\ & (26.0-37.0) \end{aligned}$ | 20.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.0 \pm 1.6 \\ & (27.0-32.0) \end{aligned}$ | 22.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3 \pm 1.1 \\ & (25.0-28.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pharynx length (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 170.7 \pm 5.2 \\ (166.0-178.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165.5 \pm 13.3 \\ (142.0-190.0) \end{gathered}$ | 150.0 | $\begin{gathered} 167.1 \pm 25.6 \\ (120.0-210.0) \end{gathered}$ | 184.0 | $\begin{gathered} 170.3 \pm 13.8 \\ (156.0-186.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spicule length | $\begin{gathered} 48.7 \pm 0.9 \\ (48.0-50.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | 44.0 | - | 42.0 | - |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \pm 1.6 \\ (20.0-24.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0 \pm 3.4 \\ & (33.0-44.0) \end{aligned}$ | 20.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.4 \pm 2.3 \\ & (27.0-34.0) \end{aligned}$ | 23.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.6 \pm 1.8 \\ & (34.0-38.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{gathered} 30.3 \pm 4.9 \\ (24.0-36.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.1 \pm 4.0 \\ & (28.0-39.0) \end{aligned}$ | 30.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 24.3 \pm 2.2 \\ & (22.0-28.0) \end{aligned}$ | 36.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.6 \pm 8.2 \\ & (24.0-44.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 141.0 \pm 4.5 \\ (135.0-146.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144.4 \pm 13.8 \\ & (120.0-166.0) \end{aligned}$ | 132.0 | $\begin{gathered} 159.8 \pm 8.6 \\ (150.0-172.0) \end{gathered}$ | 146.0 | $\begin{gathered} 147.5 \pm 12.3 \\ (130.0-160.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip width | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \pm 0.2 \\ (15.5-16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \pm 1.5 \\ (14.0-18.0) \end{gathered}$ | 14.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.4 \pm 1.3 \\ & (13.5-17.0) \end{aligned}$ | 14.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \pm 1.9 \\ & (13.0-18.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \pm 0.9 \\ (8.0-10.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 \pm 0.4 \\ & (8.0-9.0) \end{aligned}$ | 8.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & (8.0-8.5) \end{aligned}$ | 8.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 \pm 0.5 \\ & (7.0-8.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus length | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \pm 1.4 \\ (21.0-24.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.5 \pm 1.4 \\ & (20.0-24.0) \end{aligned}$ | 16.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.2 \pm 1.9 \\ & (20.0-25.0) \end{aligned}$ | 23.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.3 \pm 1.5 \\ & (20.0-24.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus width | $\begin{gathered} 14.0 \pm 2.8 \\ (10.0-16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.7 \pm 0.7 \\ & (16.0-18.0) \end{aligned}$ | 12.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5 \pm 2.0 \\ & (14.0-20.0) \end{aligned}$ | 19.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \pm 0.8 \\ & (16.0-18.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.6-2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.8-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | 1.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.7-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | 1.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.8-2.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus L/ W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \pm 0.5 \\ & (1.3-2.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.1-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.1-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | 1.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.3-1.3) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 3. Helicotylenchus dihystera and Scutellonema brachyurum: morphometrics of females mounted in formalinglycerin. All measurements in $\mu m$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | H. dihystera | H. dihystera | S. brachyurum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 09-23983 | 12-59 | 11-29736 |
| Host | Turf | Bermudagrass | Turf |
| Location | Wayne, NC | Pickens, SC | New Hanover, NC |
| n | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| L | $\begin{aligned} & 648.0 \pm 33.1 \\ & (609.0-698.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 649.8 \pm 27.9 \\ (620.2-693.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 794.3 \pm 63.3 \\ (720.2-906.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{aligned} & 25.8 \pm 1.7 \\ & (24.3-28.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.9 \pm 1.4 \\ & (19.8-23.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.8 \pm 2.3 \\ & (22.8-29.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 \pm 1.0 \\ & (6.2-8.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (4.7-5.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \pm 0.6 \\ & (4.7-6.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 38.3 \pm 5.0 \\ & (34.9-46.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.1 \pm 0.9 \\ & (35.2-37.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.5 \pm 11.4 \\ & (63.4-101.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.0-1.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.0-1.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \pm 0.0 \\ & (0.5-0.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $\begin{aligned} & 62.4 \pm 0.8 \\ & (61.1-63.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.7 \pm 1.7 \\ & (58.8-63.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.6 \pm 1.1 \\ & (58.7-61.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \pm 2.4 \\ (22.0-28.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.8 \pm 1.5 \\ (27.7-31.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \pm 4.0 \\ (24.5-36.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{aligned} & 24.3 \pm 1.4 \\ & (23.0-26.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \pm 0.4 \\ & (23.0-24.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \pm 0.4 \\ & (27.2-28.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Stylet shaft length | $\begin{aligned} & 12.6 \pm 0.5 \\ & (12.0-13.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.8 \pm 0.4 \\ (12.1-13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \pm 0.3 \\ (15.1-16.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pharynx length (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{aligned} & 84.0 \pm 14.2 \\ & (70.0-105.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131.8 \pm 7.8 \\ (119.5-140.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 147.3 \pm 13.3 \\ (132.1-169.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anal body width | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \pm 1.6 \\ & (13.0-17.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.2 \pm 0.8 \\ & (14.9-16.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \pm 1.0 \\ (15.8-18.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \pm 2.6 \\ & (13.0-20.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \pm 0.7 \\ & (17.1-18.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9 \pm 1.0 \\ & (8.4-11.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 105.7 \pm 3.3 \\ (102.0-110.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109.0 \pm 5.7 \\ (101.0-116.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132.6 \pm 9.5 \\ (118.5-144.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip width | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \pm 0.0 \\ & (5.0-5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \pm 0.3 \\ & (5.6-6.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \pm 0.4 \\ & (8.9-9.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \pm 0.0 \\ & (3.0-3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.9-3.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (5.7-6.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus length | $\begin{aligned} & 14.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (14.0-15.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.8 \pm 0.4 \\ (12.3-13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \pm 1.8 \\ & (11.4-16.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus width | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \pm 0.5 \\ (10.0-11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.9 \pm 0.6 \\ (9.2-10.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \pm 1.4 \\ & (8.9-12.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| m | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 43.6 \pm 0.9 \\ & (42.1-45.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip W/H | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.7-1.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.7-1.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.5-1.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.4-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.2-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.2-1.6) \end{aligned}$ |



FIGURE 2. Micrographs of Hoplolaimus galeatus, Scutellonema brachyurum and Helicotylenchus dihystera from turfgrasses in NC and SC. All scale bars $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A. Pharyngeal region of $H$. galeatus. B. Vulval region of $H$. galeatus. C. Female tail of H. galeatus. D,E. Male tails of H. galeatus. F. Pharyngeal region of S. brachyurum. G. Vulval region of S. brachyurum. H. Female tail of $S$. brachyurum. I. Pharyngeal region of $H$. dihystera. J. Vulva and tail region of $H$. dihystera.

## Helicotylenchus dihystera

(Fig. 2 I, J)

## Measurements. See Table 3.

Remarks. Helicotylenchus dihystera was described from soil around sugarcane roots (Saccharum officinarum) in Harwood, Australia. It is a cosmopolitan species with a wide geographical distribution,
occurring in 17 countries in Europe, 28 in Asia, 30 in Africa, three in North America, 18 in Central America and Caribbean, eight in South America, and eight in Oceania (CABI 2010). In the USA, it occurs in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, NC, South Dakota and Texas (CABI 2010). This species has a wide host range including sugarcane, potato, banana, rice, tea, avocado, coffee, maize, beans, wheat, rye, oat, sorghum, and turfgrass (Kinloch 1971; Siddiqi 1972; Lucas et al. 1974). There was a significant correlation between numbers of H. dihystera and reduced growth of Kentucky bluegrass in a landscape setting in Lincoln, Nebraska (Sumner 1967) and of turf in bowling greens in Adelaide, Australia (Wallace 1971). In this study, H. dihystera was found in 34 counties in both NC and SC. It had high prevalence in all three management zones (green, fairway and tee) and two grass species (bentgrass and zoysiagrass). Both morphology and morphometrics fit the description of other populations (Sher 1966; Siddiqi 1972).

## Pratylenchus penetrans

(Fig. 1 A-F)

Measurements. See Table 4.
Remarks. Pratylenchus penetrans was described from greenhouse soil around roots of cotton and potato in Rhinebeck, New York (Cobb 1917). It has been reported from 25 countries in Europe, 16 in Asia, 11 in Africa, 3 in North America, 3 in Central America and Caribbean, 3 in South America, and 3 in Oceania (CABI, 2003; Deimi et al. 2008). In the USA, it occurs in 38 mainland states and Hawaii (CABI 2003; Wang \& Hooks 2009). Simard et al. (2008) reported that $P$. penetrans was uncommon in golf courses in Ontario and Québec, Canada. Here, $P$. penetrans was found in 36 samples taken in 23 counties in NC and SC, in three turf management zones (green, fairway and tee) and two grass species (bermudagrass and creeping bentgrass) in both states. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from previously described populations (Corbett 1973). This is the first record of $P$. penetrans from turfgrasses in NC and SC.

## Meloidogyne graminis

(Fig. 3 A-D)

Measurements. See Table 5.
Remarks. Meloidogyne graminis was described from grass in Florida by Sledge (1962) and has been reported from the southeastern, midwestern, and mid-Atlantic USA (Sledge 1962; Bell \& Krusburg 1964; Sledge \& Golden 1964; Dickerson 1966; Southard 1967; Williams \& Laughlin 1968; Grisham et al. 1974). It has also been recorded from Germany (Sturhan 1976b), China (Zhuo et al. 2011), India (Kaul \& Chhabra 1988) and Libya (Fourgani \& Edongali 1989). It feeds and reproduces on some turfgrasses, including bermudagrass (Burton \& Hanna 1977; Murray et al. 1986), zoysiagrass (Grisham et al. 1974; Murray et al. 1986), tall fescue (Elmi et al. 1990), Paspulum notatum, Stenotaphrum secundatum, Oryza sativa, Digitaria sanguinalis and Ammophila arenaria (Jepson 1987). It is considered the most widespread and potentially destructive turfgrass nematode (Murray et al. 1986). In the present study, the second-stage juveniles (J2) of M. graminis were found in 104 turfgrass samples collected in 30 counties in three turf management zones (green, fairway and tee) and two grass species (bermudagrass and zoysiagrass) in both states. No adult female was detected. The morphology and morphometricsof J2s did not differ from those described by Karssen \& Hoenselaar (1998). However, the morphometrics for several characters ( $a, c^{\prime}$, stylet, tail length, and hyaline tail part) of J 2 s showed a smaller range than the originally described population. The 18 S rDNA sequences from isolates 11-30688 (1968 bp sequenced) and 11-30365 (1987 bp sequenced) had $99 \%$ identity with an isolate from a golf course in Pinal County, Arizona (JN241837, 609 bp sequenced) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JN241837). This is the first record of M. graminis from turfgrasses in NC and SC.


FIGURE 3. Micrographs of J 2 of Meloidogyne graminis, M. naasi, Heterodera sp. and Cactodera sp. from turfgrasses in NC and SC. Scale bars: A, E, I, L=50 m ; B-D, F-H, J, K, M-O=20 m . A. Entire body of M. graminis. B. Pharyngeal region of $M$. graminis. C,D. Tail of M. graminis. E. Entire body of M. naasi. F. Pharyngeal region of M. naasi. G,H. Tails of M. naasi. I. Entire body of $H$. sp. J. Pharyngeal region of H. sp. K. Tail of H. sp. L. Entire body of $C$. sp. M. Pharyngeal region of $C$. sp. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$. Tails of $C$. sp.

TABLE 4. Pratylenchus penetrans: morphometrics of males and females mounted in formalin-glycerin. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Sex | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-30392 | 11-30392 |
| Host | Boxwood | Boxwood |
| Loccation | Graham, NC | Graham, NC |
| n | 10 | 10 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 597.5 \pm 21.0 \\ (568.7-618.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 476.4 \pm 46.5 \\ & (410.6-510.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| a | $\begin{aligned} & 31.3 \pm 0.8 \\ & (30.3-32.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.1 \pm 1.6 \\ & (28.8-32.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \pm 0.2 \\ & (4.6-5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (3.8-4.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \pm 0.9 \\ (19.6-21.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2 \pm 0.4 \\ & (18.7-19.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.3-2.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.0-2.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $\begin{aligned} & 81.4 \pm 1.1 \\ & (79.9-82.6) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \pm 2.4 \\ (22.0-28.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \pm 1.3 \\ (14.3-17.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{aligned} & 16.1 \pm 0.3 \\ & (15.8-16.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \pm 0.5 \\ (13.9-15.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet shaft length | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (7.2-7.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \pm 0.5 \\ & (6.1-7.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pharynx length <br> (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 125.5 \pm 2.8 \\ (123.5-129.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.2 \pm 12.8 \\ & (102.9-133.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \pm 0.9 \\ (10.7-12.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \pm 0.6 \\ (11.1-12.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{aligned} & 28.6 \pm 0.5 \\ & (27.9-29.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.7 \pm 2.0 \\ & (22.0-26.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{aligned} & 83.3 \pm 5.0 \\ & (76.3-87.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.9 \pm 3.4 \\ & (69.3-76.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip width | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (7.9-8.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6 \pm 0.3 \\ & (7.1-7.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \pm 0.0 \\ & (2.6-2.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \pm 0.3 \\ & (2.1-2.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus length | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \pm 0.9 \\ (10.0-12.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \pm 0.5 \\ (10.0-11.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Metacorpus width | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \pm 1.0 \\ (7.8-10.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (7.6-8.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| VA | $\begin{gathered} 82.4 \pm 8.0 \\ (75.1-93.6) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| VBD | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \pm 1.3 \\ (15.9-19.2) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| PUS | $\begin{gathered} 19.4 \pm 2.3 \\ (16.8-22.4) \end{gathered}$ | - |

TABLE 4. (Continued)

| Sex | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Spicule length | - | $15.0 \pm 1.0$ |
|  |  | $(14.3-16.5)$ |
| Gubernaculum length | - | $4.3 \pm 0.4$ |
|  |  | $(3.8-4.7)$ |
| Lip W/H | $3.0 \pm 0.0$ | $3.1 \pm 0.2$ |
|  | $(3.0-3.1)$ | $(2.9-3.3)$ |
| Metacorpus L/W | $1.2 \pm 0.0$ | $1.4 \pm 0.0$ |
|  | $(1.2-1.3)$ | $(1.3-1.4)$ |
| Gubernaculum \%Spicule | - | $28.9 \pm 2.6$ |
|  |  | $(26.8-32.6)$ |
| PUS/VBD | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ | - |
|  | $(1.0-1.3)$ |  |

## Meloidogyne naasi

(Fig. 3 E-H)

## Measurements. See Table 5.

Remarks. Meloidogyne naasi was described from spring-sown barley (Hordeum vulgare) in Gloucestershire, England by Franklin (1965) and has been reported from Kansas (Michell 1972; Ediz \& Dickerson 1976), Illinois (Michell et al. 1973), the southern USA (Crow 2005b), Canada (Bélair et al. 2006), Argentina (Echeverría \& Chaves 1998; Chaves \& Torres 2000), Chile (Kilpatrick et al. 1976), United Kingdom and Ireland (Franklin 1965, 1973; Cook et al. 1992; Karnkowski 2005), Poland (Kornobis 2001), Belgium (Vandenbossche et al. 2011), Hungary (Amin \& Budai 1992; Amin 1994), the Netherlands (Maas \& Maenhout 1978), Germany (Sturhan 1973; Thomas 1981), Italy and Malta (Inserra et al. 1975, 1978; Lamberti \& Dandria 1979; ), France (Person-Dedryver et al. 1987), Yugoslavia (Grujicic 1969), the SR Serbia (Jovicic \& Grujicic 1986), Libya (Siddiqui \& Khan 1986) and New Zealand (Sheridan \& Grbavac 1979). It was pathogenic to creeping bentgrass in greenhouse experiments at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign (Sikora et al. 1972). In this study, M. naasi was found in nine counties in NC and SC. It occurred in three turf management zones (green, fairway and tee) in both states, two grass species (bermudagrass and bentgrass) in SC and three (bermudagrass, bentgrass and zoysiagrass) in NC. No adult female was detected. The morphological characteristics of the J2 did not differ from those described by Franklin (1965). The 18S rDNA sequence 11-30383 also confirmed this species with $99 \%$ identity ( 2015 bases sequenced, compared with AY593901) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AY593901). This is the first record of $M$. naasi from turfgrasses in NC and SC.

## Heterodera sp.

(Fig. 3 I-K)
Measurements. See Table 6.
Remarks. The J2 of an unidentified species of Heterodera was found in golf course tees established with bermudagrass in Horry County, SC. No cysts or white females were found. The morphology and morphometrics of J2's were similar to those of H. mani, which was described from perennial rye-grass (Lolium perenne) in Magilligan, Northern Ireland by Mathews (1971) and has been recorded from the Western Hemisphere and California (Golden 1979), Northern Ireland (Mowat 1974), Germany (Sturhan 1976a; 2006), Belgium (Vandenbossche et al. 2011), the Netherlands (Maas \& Brinkman 1977), Estonia (Krall et al. 1999), Iran (Moghaddam \& Kheiri 1995) and Pakistan (Maqbool 1980). The 18S rDNA and ITS sequence (2289 bp sequenced) had $99 \%$ identity with a European population of H. mani (EU669916 1700 bp sequenced) (Holterman et al. 2009). However, as no cysts were detected in this study, the species of Heterodera could not be determined. This is the first record of Heterodera from turfgrasses in SC.

TABLE 5. Meloidogyne spp.: morphometrics of second stage juveniles mounted in water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | M. graminis | M. graminis | M. naasi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-30385 | 11-30368 | 11-30383-384 |
| Host | Bentgrass | Bermudagrass | Bentgrass |
| Location | Avery, NC | Richland, SC | Avery, NC |
| n | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 411.7 \pm 19.3 \\ (391.4-441.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 392.4 \pm 16.8 \\ (368.2-420.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 431.9 \pm 13.4 \\ & (414.6-449.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 28.1 \pm 1.3 \\ (26.4-30.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.9 \pm 1.8 \\ (22.7-27.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.8 \pm 1.0 \\ & (27.5-30.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \pm 0.5 \\ & (3.6-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \pm 0.2 \\ & (4.1-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \pm 0.2 \\ & (4.5-4.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \pm 0.2 \\ & (6.4-7.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \pm 0.4 \\ & (6.0-6.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \pm 0.2 \\ & (7.9-8.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \pm 0.3 \\ & (5.6-6.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \pm 0.6 \\ & (4.8-6.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & (0.7-1.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \pm 0.8 \\ (13.9-15.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \pm 1.3 \\ (13.9-17.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0 \pm 0.3 \\ (14.5-15.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \pm 0.4 \\ (11.4-12.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \pm 0.4 \\ (11.0-12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.1 \pm 0.6 \\ & (10.2-11.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body diam. at stylet basal knob | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \pm 0.5 \\ (9.0-10.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \pm 0.3 \\ (9.3-10.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.6 \pm 0.3 \\ & (9.4-10.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pharynx length (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 98.1 \pm 7.2 \\ (90.2-108.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.7 \pm 5.2 \\ (81.3-96.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.8 \pm 4.9 \\ & (84.4-96.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Anal body width | $\begin{aligned} & 10.3 \pm 0.6 \\ & (9.7-11.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.2 \pm 0.9 \\ (10.0-12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \pm 0.5 \\ & (8.4-9.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{gathered} 61.1 \pm 5.1 \\ (56.0-69.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.0 \pm 3.8 \\ (53.9-64.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.9 \pm 1.9 \\ (52.2-57.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hyaline tail part | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \pm 1.1 \\ (10.6-13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \pm 0.8 \\ (10.2-12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.1 \pm 1.8 \\ & (20.2-24.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip width | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \pm 0.5 \\ & (5.0-6.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & (5.1-5.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & (5.2-5.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.3-2.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.2-2.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.1-2.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus length | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \pm 0.4 \\ & (9.4-10.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \pm 0.7 \\ & (9.1-10.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \pm 0.4 \\ & (9.3-10.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus width | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \pm 0.4 \\ & (7.0-8.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \pm 0.5 \\ & (7.1-8.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \pm 0.3 \\ & (6.8-7.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| LipL/W | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.0-2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.3-2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.1-2.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.3-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.2-1.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.3-1.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| H \%tail | $\begin{gathered} 23.2 \pm 3.9 \\ (18.1-29.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \pm 2.0 \\ (16.7-21.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.1 \pm 4.0 \\ & (35.3-45.4) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 6. Heterodera sp. and Cactodera sp.: morphometrics of second stage juveniles mounted in water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | Heterodera sp. | Cactodera sp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-30748 | 11-30146 |
| Host | Bermudagrass | Turfgrass |
| Location | Horry, SC | Cumberland, NC |
| n | 10 | 10 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 558.7 \pm 28.4 \\ (518.7-582.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 570.3 \pm 34.1 \\ (536.3-628.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{aligned} & 24.5 \pm 2.0 \\ & (22.0-26.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \pm 2.8 \\ & (20.8-28.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| b' | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \pm 0.2 \\ & (3.8-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.2 \\ & (3.0-3.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (8.9-9.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \pm 0.7 \\ & (8.2-10.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| c' | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \pm 0.3 \\ & (3.3-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \pm 0.2 \\ & (3.1-3.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 23.0 \pm 2.5 \\ (20.9-26.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.3 \pm 1.7 \\ (21.2-26.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{aligned} & 24.7 \pm 0.2 \\ & (24.4-24.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \pm 0.6 \\ & (26.9-28.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body diam. at stylet basal knob | $\begin{aligned} & 18.9 \pm 2.2 \\ & (16.8-21.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.2 \pm 0.9 \\ & (20.2-22.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pharynx length <br> (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 139.2 \pm 4.9 \\ (134.3-145.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 171.8 \pm 21.7 \\ (147.2-212.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \pm 1.9 \\ (15.6-19.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \pm 0.5 \\ (16.5-17.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{aligned} & 62.4 \pm 2.8 \\ & (58.4-64.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.5 \pm 3.8 \\ & (54.9-65.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Hyaline tail terminus | $\begin{aligned} & 33.7 \pm 3.6 \\ & (29.9-38.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.2 \pm 2.6 \\ & (23.5-31.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip width | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & (9.9-10.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \pm 0.5 \\ (10.0-11.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \pm 0.4 \\ & (4.1-5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \pm 0.4 \\ & (4.5-6.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus length | $\begin{aligned} & 15.4 \pm 2.2 \\ & (12.9-18.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.6 \pm 1.6 \\ & (12.0-17.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus width | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \pm 1.9 \\ & (8.4-12.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.2 \pm 1.7 \\ & (7.3-12.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| LipL/W | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.1-2.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.7-2.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.4-1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.3-1.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| H\%tail | $\begin{aligned} & 53.9 \pm 4.2 \\ & (50.9-59.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.6 \pm 3.8 \\ & (42.5-53.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| m | $\begin{gathered} 54.7 \pm 2.4 \\ (52.1-57.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.2 \pm 2.9 \\ & (50.3-58.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Stylet basal knob diam. | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (5.9-6.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \pm 0.7 \\ & (4.9-6.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Stylet basal knob height | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (3.2-3.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \pm 0.6 \\ & (2.4-4.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Stylet basal knob W/H | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.8-1.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.6-2.2) \end{aligned}$ |

## Cactodera sp.

(Fig. $3 \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{O}$ )

## Measurements. See Table 6.

Remarks. Only the J2 of a Cactodera species was found from a zoysiagrass tee in Moore County, NC, and from a bermudagrass fairway in Florence County, SC. No cysts or white females were detected. The morphological characteristics of the J2 were similar to those of C. thornei, which was first described from the roots of Miner's lettuce (Montia perloliata) in California by Golden \& Raski (1977). This species has been recorded from China (Peng \& Vovlas 1994). However, the species found here could not be identified since no cysts were collected and DNA sequences for comparison are unavailable. This is the first record of the genus Cactodera from turfgrasses in NC and SC.

## Dolichodorus heterocephalus

(Fig. 4 F-I)

## Measurements. See Table 7.

Remarks. Dolichodorus heterocephalus was described from specimens collected from fresh water at Silver Springs, Florida, and Douglas Lake, Michigan, by Cobb (1914). It was reported from Massachusetts (Paracer 1968) and New Jersey (Hutchinson et al. 1961). It is also known from South Africa (Heyns 1971) and Italy (D'Errico et al. 1977). Golden et al. (1986) designated a lectotype of D. heterocephalus and presented detailed morphometric data from its type locality and several other populations including ones from Sanford and Gainesville, Florida, and East Wareham, Massachusetts, which revealed some differences in morphometrics among populations. Perry (1953) reported that D. heterocephalus caused severe stunting accompanied by depleted root systems of celery and corn in Florida. In the current study, D. heterocephalus was only found in a bermudagrass tee in Beaufort County, SC. The morphological features did not differ from the original and other populations (Cobb 1914; Orton Williams 1974b). The morphometrics of the identified population matched the type population in Gainesville, Florida (Golden et al. 1986), except for a slightly smaller range in body lengths for males and females, and a greater $a$ value for females. This is the first record of $D$. heterocephalus from turfgrasses in SC.

## Belonolaimus longicaudatus

(Fig. 4 A-E)

## Measurements. See Table 8.

Remarks. Belonolaimus longicaudatus was described from soil around the roots of Zea mays in Sanford, Florida, by Rau (1958). It is a major plant parasite in the southeastern USA, with widespread distribution throughout the Atlantic Coastal Plain from Virginia to Florida (Orton Williams 1974a). It has been recorded from NC (Lucas et al. 1974, 1978), Connecticut, Louisiana, Texas (Christie 1959), New Jersey (Myers 1979), Oklahoma (Russell et al. 1969), Arkansas (Riggs 1961), Kansas (Dickerson et al. 1972) and California (Mundo-Ocampo et al. 1994). Holdeman (1955) reported B. longicaudatus in Florida, Georgia, NC, SC and Virginia. It has a wide host range including citrus (Duncan et al. 1996), soybean (Handoo et al. 2010), corn (Rhoades 1986), cotton (Koenning et al. 2004), carrot (Rhoades 1975) and turfgrasses (Robbins \& Barker 1973). It is considered the most important pest of turf and pasture grasses (Heald \& Perry 1970; Crow 2005b). This species has been documented in association with many grass species, including bermudagrass, creeping bentgrass, zoysiagrass, crabgrass (Digitaria sanguinalis), pangolagrass ( $D$. decumbens), Pensacola bahiagrass (Paspalum notatum), centipedegrass (Eremochloa ophiuroides), tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea), Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum), sudangrass (Sorghum vulgare var. sudanense), limpograss (Hemarthria altissima) and St. Augustine grass (Stenotaphrum secundatum) (Johnson 1970; Orton Williams 1974a; Busey et al. 1991; Giblin-Davis et al. 1992). In this survey, B. longicaudatus was found in 131 turfgrass samples in three turf management zones (green, fairway and tee) in both NC and SC and three grass species (bermudagrass, creeping bentgrass, zoysiagrass) from 24 counties. There was no difference in morphology and morphometrics compared to the original and other descriptions of the species (Rau 1958; Han et al. 2006).


FIGURE 4. Micrographs of Belonolaimus longicaudatus and Dolichodorus heterocephalus from turfgrasses in NC and SC. All scale bars $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A. Pharyngeal region of B. longicaudatus. B. Vulva region of B. longicaudatus. C. Female tail of B. longicaudatus. D,E. Male tails of B. longicaudatus. F. Pharyngeal region of D. heterocephalus. G. Vulval region of D. heterocephalus. H. Female tail of $D$. heterocephalus. I. Male tails of $D$. heterocephalus.

TABLE 7. Dolichodorus heterocephalus: morphometrics of male and female mounted in formalin-glycerin. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Sex | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 08-01320 | 08-01320 |
| Host | Grass | Grass |
| Location | Brunswick, NC | Brunswick, NC |
| n | 3 | 1 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 1607.2 \pm 7.0 \\ (1600.2-1614.2) \end{gathered}$ | 1912.9 |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 42.9 \pm 6.5 \\ (36.5-49.4) \end{gathered}$ | 49.0 |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \pm 0.2 \\ & (7.5-8.0) \end{aligned}$ | 7.7 |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 60.2 \pm 9.4 \\ (50.8-69.5) \end{gathered}$ | 43.6 |
| c' | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.4-1.6) \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 |
| V | - | 55.3 |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 38.3 \pm 5.9 \\ (32.4-44.3) \end{gathered}$ | 43.9 |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 100.3 \pm 0.1 \\ (100.2-100.4) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 |
| Stylet shaft length | $\begin{gathered} 38.2 \pm 1.9 \\ (36.3-40.1) \end{gathered}$ | 39.3 |
| Pharynx length <br> (Head to dorsal grand base) | $\begin{gathered} 209.4 \pm 4.9 \\ (204.5-214.2) \end{gathered}$ | 247.9 |
| Spicule length | $\begin{gathered} 47.1 \pm 0.1 \\ (46.9-47.2) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \pm 2.0 \\ (16.5-20.5) \end{gathered}$ | 26.7 |
| Tail length | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \pm 4.4 \\ (23.0-31.8) \end{gathered}$ | 54.0 |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 142.8 \pm 5.0 \\ (137.8-147.8) \end{gathered}$ | 157.5 |
| Center of metacorpus from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 134.5 \pm 1.4 \\ (133.0-135.9) \end{gathered}$ | 141.4 |
| Lip width | $\begin{aligned} & 14.2 \pm \pm 0.7 \\ & (13.5-14.9) \end{aligned}$ | 14.6 |
| Lip height | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \pm 0.4 \\ & (6.2-7.0) \end{aligned}$ | 7.3 |
| Metacorpus length | $\begin{gathered} 23.0 \pm 1.2 \\ (21.8-24.3) \end{gathered}$ | 25.8 |
| Metacorpus width | $\begin{gathered} 16.4 \pm 3.1 \\ (13.2-19.5) \end{gathered}$ | 14.3 |
| Lip D/H | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ \pm(1.9-2.4) \end{gathered}$ | 2.0 |
| Metacorpus L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.2-1.7) \end{aligned}$ | 1.8 |
| m | $\begin{gathered} 61.9 \pm 1.9 \\ (60.1-63.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.7 \\ (1.2-1.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Gubernaculum L/Spicule L | $\begin{gathered} 51.6 \pm 1.5 \\ (50.0-53.1) \end{gathered}$ | - |

TABLE 8. Belonolaimus longicaudatus: morphometrics of males and females mounted in water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Sex | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-30008 | 11-30008 | 11-30020 | 11-30020 |
| Host | Bentgrass | Bentgrass | Soybean | Soybean |
| Location | Wilson, NC | Wilson, NC | Robeson, NC | Robeson, NC |
| n | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 1950.0 \pm 21.6 \\ (1930.0-1980.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2367.5 \pm 184.3 \\ (2040.0-2650.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1885.0 \pm 70.2 \\ (1740.0-1960.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2386.7 \pm 160.6 \\ (2140.0-2600.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 50.5 \pm 1.6 \\ (48.3-52.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.5 \pm 5.4 \\ (43.2-60.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.3 \pm 3.1 \\ (56.5-65.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.8 \pm 1.9 \\ (61.9-67.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \pm 0.9 \\ & (6.4-8.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \pm 0.9 \\ & (6.3-9.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7 \pm 0.3 \\ & (8.4-9.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \pm 1.0 \\ (9.5-12.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 13.0 \pm 0.5 \\ (12.5-13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \pm 1.7 \\ (15.1-20.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.1 \pm 0.7 \\ (14.0-16.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \pm 0.9 \\ (15.9-18.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| c' | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \pm 0.4 \\ & (5.4-6.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \pm 0.3 \\ & (3.3-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (4.8-6.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \pm 0.4 \\ (4.1-5.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| V | - | $\begin{gathered} 50.9 \pm 1.2 \\ (49.5-53.5) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 47.5 \pm 0.8 \\ (46.5-48.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \pm 1.2 \\ (25.5-28.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.3 \pm 5.5 \\ (39.0-56.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.3 \pm 1.5 \\ (30.0-34.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.4 \pm 2.4 \\ (34.0-42.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 119.0 \pm 1.4 \\ (117.0-120.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 129.8 \pm 5.7 \\ (120.0-140.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111.2 \pm 4.6 \\ (102.0-116.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117.3 \pm 5.2 \\ (109.0-124.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet cone length | $\begin{gathered} 88.3 \pm 1.2 \\ (87.0-90.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93.3 \pm 4.7 \\ (86.0-100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.2 \pm 5.3 \\ (76.0-92.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.5 \pm 3.8 \\ (80.0-92.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pharynx length (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 273.0 \pm 28.1 \\ (235.0-302.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 304.7 \pm 31.1 \\ (270.0-378.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 217.3 \pm 10.2 \\ (204.0-232.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 222.2 \pm 9.9 \\ (210.0-242.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spicule length | $\begin{gathered} 54.3 \pm 1.7 \\ (52.0-56.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 45.3 \pm 2.4 \\ (42.0-48.0) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \pm 1.2 \\ (25.0-28.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8 \pm 2.5 \\ (33.0-40.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \pm 1.9 \\ (20.0-26.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \pm 2.3 \pm \\ (28.0-34.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{gathered} 150.3 \pm 3.7 \\ (146.0-155.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131.1 \pm 15.6 \\ (110.0-160.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 125.0 \pm 4.5 \\ (117.0-132.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140.7 \pm 4.3 \\ (135.0-148.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 225.3 \pm 3.4 \\ (222.0-230.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 259.8 \pm 14.9 \\ (240.0-290.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188.8 \pm 5.0 \\ (184.0-198.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 212.7 \pm 18.9 \\ (187.0-232.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip width | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \pm 0.0 \\ (20.0-20.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \pm 1.5 \\ (20.0-25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \pm 1.1 \\ (14.0-17.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.9 \pm 0.7 \\ (19.0-21.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \pm 1.7 \\ (11.0-15.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.0 \pm 0.9 \\ (12.0-16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \pm 0.8 \\ (10.0-12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.6 \pm 0.8 \\ (10.0-12.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Metacorpus length | $\begin{gathered} 24.7 \pm 3.8 \\ (22.0-30.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \pm 3.1 \\ (26.0-35.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.2 \pm 1.6 \\ (21.0-26.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \pm 1.7 \\ (23.0-28.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Metacorpus diameter | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \pm 0.5 \\ (18.0-19.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.7 \pm 1.6 \\ (20.0-25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \pm 0.8 \\ (16.0-18.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.2 \pm 1.5 \\ (18.0-22.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.3-1.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.4-2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \pm 0.1 \\ (1.2-1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.8-2.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Metacorpus L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.2-1.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.2-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.3-1.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.2-1.4) \end{aligned}$ |

## Tylenchorhynchus claytoni

(Fig. 1 G-I)

## Measurements. See Table 9.

Remarks. Tylenchorhynchus claytoni was described from soil around roots of tobacco in SC by Steiner (1937). It has been recorded from 10 countries in Europe, 6 in Asia, 1 in Africa, 2 in North America, 1 in Central America, and 1 in Oceania (CABI 2009). In the USA, it occurs in 25 states (Barker \& Clayton 1973; Lucas et al. 1974; CABI 2009). Tylenchorhynchus claytoni occurred commonly in turf soils from Rhode Island (Troll \& Tarjan, 1954), Massachusetts (Troll \& Rohde 1966) and NC (Lucas et al. 1974). In the present study, it was recovered from 25 counties in NC and SC. It was found in three turf management zones (green, fairway and tee) and three grass species (bermudagrass, creeping bentgrass, zoysiagrass) in SC and two turf management zones (green, fairway) and two grass species (bermudagrass and creeping bentgrass) in NC. The morphological features and morphometrics of these populations did not differ from previously described populations (Steiner 1937; Loof 1974b; Golden et al. 1987).

## Ogma floridense

(Fig. 5 E, J)

Measurements. See Table 10.
Remarks. Ogma floridense was described from specimens collected around the roots of Liquidambar styraciflua in swamps in the Aucilla Wildlife Management Area northwest of Perry in Taylor County, Florida (Vovlas et al. 1991). In this survey, O. floridense was found in a golf course tee in Wake County, NC, and a golf course fairway in Beaufort County, SC, both established with bermudagrass. The male of O. floridense was not found. Only one male was described in the original description (Vovlas et al. 1991). The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from the population first described (Vovlas et al. 1991). This is the first record of $O$. floridense from turfgrasses in NC and SC.

## Mesocriconema xenoplax

(Fig. 5 B, D, G)

## Measurements. See Table 11.

Remarks. Mesocriconema xenoplax was first documented from grapevines (Vitis vinifera var. sultanina) in California (Raski 1952). It has been recorded from North America (Nyczepir et al. 1985; Okie et al. 2009), South America (Crozzoli \& Lamberti 2001; Aballay et al. 2009), Europe (Ciancio et al. 1996; Escuer et al. 1999; Nico et al. 2002; Abrantes et al. 2008; Karanastasi et al. 2008), South Africa (Van den Berg 1980), Australia (Stirling 1976), New Zealand (Loof et al. 1997), India (Gupta \& Gupta 1981), Iran (Loof \& Barooti 1991), Japan (Orton Williams 1972), China (Xie et al. 2007) and Iran (Deimi et al. 2008). Ring nematodes, including M. xenoplax, are important pathogens of peach in the USA and other parts of the world (Walters et al. 2008; Nyczepir and Esmenjaud 2008; Gomes et al. 2000). Nyczepir (2011) reported that tall fescue was a good host for this species. Mesocriconema xenoplax developed more rapidly and caused greater damage in grape than other species of Mesocriconema (McKenry \& Anwar 2006). In this study, M. xenoplax was detected in 30 counties in NC and SC. It was found in high numbers in three turf management zones (green, fairway and tee) and three grass species (bermudagrass, creeping bentgrass, zoysiagrass) in both states. The overall morphology and morphometrics were similar to the type population, except that the female has a smaller stylet compared to the population first described (Raski 1952). This is the first record of M. xenoplax from turfgrasses in NC and SC.

TABLE 9. Tylenchorynchus claytoni: morphometrics of males and females mounted in water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Sex | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-29736 | 11-29736 | 12-65 | 12-65 |
| Host | Turfgrass | Turfgrass | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass |
| Location | New Hanover, NC | New Hanover, NC | York, SC | York, SC |
| n | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 652.2 \pm 25.8 \\ (607.6-687.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 676.4 \pm 48.6 \\ (602.9-736.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 646.7 \pm 15.7 \\ (625.6-667.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 627.5 \pm 65.9 \\ (537.6-710.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 28.2 \pm 1.9 \\ (25.1-31.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.2 \pm 1.4 \\ (24.2-28.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.6 \pm 2.4 \\ (21.3-28.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \pm 2.3 \\ (18.6-25.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \pm 0.3 \\ & (4.1-5.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (4.5-5.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \pm 0.2 \\ & (4.7-5.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \pm 0.5 \\ & (3.9-5.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \pm 0.9 \\ (14.0-17.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \pm 2.0 \\ (15.0-23.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.1 \pm 0.5 \\ (14.4-15.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \pm 1.8 \\ (17.1-22.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.2-2.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.0-2.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.2-2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.0-2.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | - | $\begin{gathered} 57.4 \pm 2.8 \\ (53.1-62.4) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 49.5 \pm 5.4 \\ (43.2-57.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 23.2 \pm 1.3 \\ (21.2-25.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.9 \pm 1.3 \\ (23.4-27.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \pm 2.8 \\ (23.3-31.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.0 \pm 1.6 \\ (24.5-29.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 20.5 \pm 0.3 \\ (20.2-21.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \pm 0.4 \\ (20.3-21.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.9 \pm 0.3 \\ (19.4-20.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.2 \pm 0.4 \\ (19.8-20.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet shaft length | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \pm 0.3 \\ (9.1-10.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \pm 0.4 \\ \pm(9.0-10.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \pm 0.4 \\ (9.1-10.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \pm 0.9 \\ (8.3-10.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pharynx length <br> (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 138.1 \pm 10.8 \\ (126.0-166.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 138.4 \pm 7.1 \\ (126.2-150.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133.8 \pm 2.5 \\ (130.2-137.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 136.9 \pm 3.9 \\ (131.4-143.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spicule length | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \pm 1.3 \\ (23.9-27.2) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \pm 1.1 \\ (25.5-28.5) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \pm 0.9 \\ (15.9-18.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \pm 1.1 \\ (15.0-18.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \pm 0.7 \\ (17.1-19.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \pm 0.8 \\ (14.6-16.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{aligned} & 42.0 \pm 2.7 \\ & (37.8-45.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.1 \pm 3.5 \\ & (30.8-40.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.9 \pm 1.9 \\ (40.8-46.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.7 \pm 2.3 \\ (31.2-38.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 106.2 \pm 2.9 \\ (101.9-111.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108.3 \pm 3.9 \\ & (104.2-115.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105.4 \pm 3.5 \\ (101.4-111.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105.7 \pm 3.2 \\ (98.7-108.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip width | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \pm 0.8 \\ & (6.4-8.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.7-8.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 \pm 0.4 \\ & (7.9-8.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \pm 0.4 \\ (7.8-8.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \pm 0.4 \\ & (3.1-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \pm 0.3 \\ & (3.1-4.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \pm 0.2 \\ & (4.4-4.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \pm 0.5 \\ (3.3-4.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Metacorpus length | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \pm 0.9 \\ (12.4-15.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.4 \pm 0.4 \\ (13.7-15.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.5 \pm 0.7 \\ (12.3-14.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \pm 0.9 \\ (12.6-15.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Metacorpus width | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \pm 1.0 \\ (8.1-10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \pm 0.5 \\ & (9.3-10.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \pm 0.5 \\ (8.7-10.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.0 \pm 0.8 \\ & (9.9-12.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.6-2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.8-2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \pm 0.1 \\ \pm(1.7-1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.8-2.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Metacorpus L/W | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.3-1.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.3-1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.0 \\ & (1.4-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.2-1.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| m | $\begin{gathered} 47.0 \pm 1.4 \\ (44.4-48.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.6 \pm 1.9 \\ (42.6-48.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.8 \pm 1.4 \\ (47.1-51.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.8 \pm 3.5 \\ (41.6-51.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Gubernaculum length | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \pm 1.0 \\ & (9.2-12.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \pm 0.8 \\ (12.5-14.7) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Gubernaculum\%Spicule | $\begin{gathered} 42.6 \pm 2.6 \\ (38.5-46.2) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 52.7 \pm 3.8 \\ (46.9-57.4) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| VA | - | $\begin{gathered} 255.5 \pm 22.6 \\ (210.7-279.4) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 237.0 \pm 30.9 \\ (199.3-276.6) \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE 10. Paratylenchus goldeni and Ogma floridense: morphometrics of females mounted in temporary water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | P. goldeni | O. floridense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-29913 | 08-26310 |
| Host | Centipedegrass | Bermudagrass |
| Location | Cumberland, NC | Beaufort, NC |
| n | 10 | 1 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 374.9 \pm 17.1 \\ (358.9 \pm 399.8) \end{gathered}$ | 391.5 |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 21.4 \pm 1.3 \\ (20.2-23.6) \end{gathered}$ | 8.6 |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & (3.8-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7 \pm 0.9 \\ & (8.9-11.2) \end{aligned}$ | 10.7 |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \pm 0.4 \\ & (3.6-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | 2.4 |
| V | $\begin{gathered} 78.9 \pm 0.9 \\ (78.1-80.3) \end{gathered}$ | 83.3 |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \pm 0.4 \\ (16.9-18.1) \end{gathered}$ | 45.3 |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \pm 0.8 \\ (18.2-20.2) \end{gathered}$ | 100.2 |
| Stylet conus length | - | 78.4 |
| Stylet knob width | - | 10.2 |
| Stylet knob height | - | 2.6 |
| Pharynx length <br> (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{aligned} & 90.6 \pm 4.7 \\ & (82.9-94.8) \end{aligned}$ | 122.4 |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \pm 0.4 \\ (9.1-10.3) \end{gathered}$ | 15.6 |
| Tail length | $\begin{gathered} 39.0 \pm 3.2 \\ (34.2-43.0) \end{gathered}$ | 36.6 |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{aligned} & 74.8 \pm 4.1 \\ & (68.5-79.2) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| VA | $\begin{aligned} & 40.3 \pm 2.8 \\ & (37.6-44.4) \end{aligned}$ | 28.8 |
| VB | $\begin{aligned} & 14.1 \pm 0.6 \\ & (13.5-15.0) \end{aligned}$ | 32.1 |
| First lip annulus width | - | 16.7 |
| Second lip annulus width | - | 14.8 |
| R | - | 55 |
| Rs | - | 15 |
| Ran | - | 8 |
| Reso | - | 17 |
| RV | - | 12 |
| RVan | - | 4 |
| Body annulus width | - | 7.3 |
| Scale length | - | 11.3 |
| VL | - | 65.5 |
| VL/VB | - | 2.0 |
| St\% L | - | 25.6 |
| St\%Oes | - | 81.9 |
| m | - | 78.2 |

TABLE 11. Mesocriconema spp.: morphometrics of females mounted in water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | M. curvatum | M. sphaerocephala | M. sphaerocephala | M. xenoplax | M. xenoplax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-29913 | 11-29593 | 11-30664 | 12-44-46 | 11-30213 |
| Host | Centipedegrass | Turfgrass | Bermudagrass | Bentgrass | Bentgrass |
| Location | Cumberland, NC | New Hanover, NC | Beaufort, SC | Greenville, SC | Moore, NC |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 436.8 \pm 12.6 \\ (424.21-449.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 382.0 \pm 53.3 \\ (326.0-500.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 369.8 \pm 30.9 \\ (338.8-400.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 573.8 \pm 31.0 \\ & (518.9-618.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 532.8 \pm 44.7 \\ (472.7-632.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \pm 0.5 \\ & (8.5-9.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.0 \pm 1.3 \\ & (9.6-13.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (10.1-10.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \pm 0.6 \\ (12.1-13.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \pm 0.9 \\ (11.3-14.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (3.8-4.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \pm 0.4 \\ & (3.2-4.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.2 \\ & (3.1-3.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \pm 0.3 \\ & (4.3-5.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \pm 0.3 \\ & (4.2-5.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 30.5 \pm 2.0 \\ (28.5-32.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.9 \pm 7.0 \\ (24.7-42.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.7 \pm 4.8 \\ & (37.9-47.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.3 \pm 5.9 \\ (24.7-43.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.1 \pm 3.4 \\ & (23.9-35.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.7-0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.4-0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.4-0.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.6-0.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.7-0.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $\begin{aligned} & 94.1 \pm 0.3 \\ & (93.7-94.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.5 \pm 1.1 \\ & (91.8-94.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (94.4-94.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.0 \pm 0.8 \\ & (91.2-94.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.3 \pm 0.4 \\ & (91.7-92.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 48.7 \pm 1.1 \\ (47.7-49.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.6 \pm 1.5 \\ (32.0-37.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.6 \pm 2.0 \\ (33.6-37.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.4 \pm 2.7 \\ (38.2-47.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.5 \pm 2.2 \\ (39.1-46.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 51.3 \pm 1.3 \\ (50.0-52.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.4 \pm 1.7 \\ (52.0-58.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.6 \pm 2.0 \\ (52.6-56.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.5 \pm 1.6 \\ (57.4-62.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.3 \pm 1.6 \\ (52.3-58.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet shaft length | - | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \pm 1.4 \\ (13.0-18.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \pm 0.4 \\ (16.2-17.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 18.1 \pm 1.3 \\ (16.7-21.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pharynx length <br> (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 112.4 \pm 0.2 \\ (112.2-112.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103.1 \pm 6.7 \\ (91.0-112.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112.9 \pm 2.9 \\ (110.0-115.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 126.2 \pm 8.0 \\ (112.4-138.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 114.2 \pm 5.1 \\ (109.6-122.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \pm 0.5 \\ (17.8-18.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.4 \pm 1.7 \\ (18.0-23.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \pm 0.6 \\ (17.7-18.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.9 \pm 2.1 \\ & (21.8-30.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.5 \pm 1.3 \\ & (21.5-26.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4 \pm 0.5 \\ & (13.9-14.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.3 \pm 3.6 \\ & (8.0-19.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \pm 1.7 \\ (7.1-10.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \pm 2.9 \\ (13.1-23.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \pm 2.1 \\ (15.2-21.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 143.7 \pm 2.8 \\ (141.0-146.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113.5 \pm 4.5 \\ (109.0-118.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 139.4 \pm 8.0 \\ (131.6-155.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127.7 \pm 5.0 \\ (120.6-133.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| R | $\begin{gathered} 79.5 \pm 1.5 \\ (78.0-81.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.1 \pm 7.7 \\ (66.0-90.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.5 \pm 1.5 \\ (72.0-75.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.5 \pm 3.3 \\ & (96.0-108.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.8 \pm 4.2 \\ & (96.0-112.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rs | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \pm 0.5 \\ (12.0-13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.3 \pm 0.5 \\ & (11.0-12.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \pm 0.5 \\ (11.0-12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.9 \pm 0.5 \\ (12.0-14.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.4 \pm 0.5 \\ & (13.0-14.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Roes | $\begin{aligned} & 22.0 \pm 1.0 \\ & (21.0-23.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.7 \pm 1.0 \\ & (19.0-22.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.0 \pm 1.0 \\ (22.0-24.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.9 \pm 1.5 \\ & (22.0-27.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.5 \pm 0.9 \\ & (23.0-26.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rex | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \pm 1.0 \\ (27.0-29.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \pm 0.0 \\ (22.0-22.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \pm 0.8 \\ (27.0-29.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.2 \pm 1.3 \\ (25.0-30.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Ran | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (4.0-5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \pm 0.5 \\ (2.0-3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (2.0-3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \pm 1.0 \\ & (2.0-6.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \pm 0.5 \\ & (4.0-5.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| RV | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (6.0-7.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \pm 1.3 \\ & (4.0-7.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (4.0-5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \pm 0.9 \\ & (7.0-10.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \pm 0.7 \\ (8.0-10.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| RVan | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.0 \\ & (2.0-2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \pm 0.9 \\ & (2.0-4.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.9 \\ & (1.0-3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \pm 0.9 \\ & (2.0-5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \pm 0.6 \\ & (3.0-5.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| VL | $\begin{aligned} & 25.9 \pm 0.8 \\ & (25.1-26.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.1 \pm 6.5 \\ & (17.0-36.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.4 \pm 2.0 \\ (18.4-22.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.2 \pm 4.4 \\ & (35.5-48.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.4 \pm 4.8 \\ & (33.4-50.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| VB | $\begin{gathered} 27.2 \pm 0.4 \\ (26.8-27.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.9 \pm 1.3 \\ & (24.0-32.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \pm 0.4 \\ (22.4-23.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.9 \pm 2.7 \\ (30.9-39.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.3 \pm 1.4 \\ (30.7-34.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| VL/VB | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \pm 0.0 \\ & (0.9-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (0.6-1.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (0.8-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.1-1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.1-1.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| m | - | $\begin{gathered} 28.9 \pm 2.9 \\ (24.1-34.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (30.1-30.8) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| $\mathrm{St} \% \mathrm{~L}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \pm 0.0 \\ (11.7-11.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \pm 1.7 \\ (10.8-16.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \pm 0.7 \\ (14.1-15.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \pm 0.4 \\ & (9.7-11.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \pm 0.7 \\ & (8.9-11.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{St} \%$ Oes | $\begin{gathered} 45.6 \pm 1.2 \\ (44.4-46.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.0 \pm 3.7 \\ (48.2-59.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.3 \pm 0.5 \\ (47.8-48.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.1 \pm 2.3 \\ & (44.8-53.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.5 \pm 2.3 \\ (45.8-52.5) \end{gathered}$ |

## Mesocriconema curvatum

(Fig. 5 A, F)

## Measurements. See Table 11

Remarks. Mesocriconema curvatum was first described from soil around the roots of snapdragon (Antirrhinum sp.) in California. It has been recorded from other western states including Nevada (Raski 1952), as well as from New York, New Jersey, NC and Maryland (Loof 1974a). It has also been reported from Europe, in Spain (Alcala et al. 1970; Castillo \& Gomez-Barcina 1993; Escuer et al. 1999), the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, Poland and France (Loof 1974a); from Asia, in India (De Grisse \& Loof 1970), Thailand (Timm 1965), Korea (Choi \& Geraert 1975), Iran (Jabbari \& Niknam 2007) and Pakistan (Khan et al. 2011); and from Africa, in Guinea, Ivory Coast Senegal and Togo (Luc 1959, 1970) and Namibia (Waele et al. 1998). In this study, M. curvatum occurred in nine turfgrass samples taken in six counties in NC and SC. It was found in creeping bentgrass putting greens in both states and in bermudagrass and zoysiagrass fairways and tees in NC. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified populations did not differ from previously described populations (Loof 1974a), except for a smaller stylet and a greater Rex in females. This is the first record of M. curvatum from turfgrasses in SC.


FIGURE 5. Micrographs of Mesocriconema curvatum, M. xenoplax, M. sphaerocephala and Ogma floridense from turfgrasses in NC and SC. All scale bars $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A. Pharyngeal region of M. curvatum. B. Pharyngeal region of M. xenoplax. C. Pharyngeal region of M. sphaerocephala. D,G. Female tail of M. xenoplax. E. Pharyngeal region of O. floridense. F. Female tail of M. curvatum. H. Female tail of M. sphaerocephala. I. Body with anastomoses of M. sphaerocephala. J. Vulva and tail region of O. floridense.

## Mesocriconema sphaerocephala

(Fig. 5 C, H, I)

## Measurements. See Tables 11.

Remarks. Mesocriconema sphaerocephala was first described from soil around the roots of a grass on Trinidad by Taylor (1936). It has been found with centipedegrass (Eremochloa ophiuroides) in Florida (Tarjan 1964b; Tarjan \& Frederick 1981), bermudagrass in India (Siddiqi 1961), grasslands in Spain (Escuer et al. 1999), and other plants in many countries. In the present study, M. sphaerocephala was found in Montgomery County, NC, and Beaufort County, SC. It occurred mainly in the warm-season (C4) grasses (bermudagrass and zoysiagrass), but not in the cool-season (C3) grasses such as creeping bentgrass. The morphology and morphometrics fit the description of previously described populations (Orton Williams 1973b). This is the first record of M. sphaerocephala from turfgrasses in NC and SC.

## Diagnosis of three Mesocriconema species from turfgrasses

Ring nematodes are the most common species encountered in golf courses and occur at fairly high densities, but species identification is challenging. The three ring nematodes identified above (M. xenoplax, M. curvatum and M. sphaerocephala) are similar in general morphology. They can be distinguished from each other using the characteristics listed in Table 12. Mesocriconema sphaerocephala is the shortest of these species, lacks labial plates, and has the least body annulations with posterior margins and anastomoses, hemispherical head and bluntly rounded or hemispherical tail. Mesocriconema xenoplax is the longest of the three species and has rounded, retrorse body annulations that may be smooth or slightly rough, a broad head and four distinct and well-separated labial plates, a sigmoid vagina and broadly rounded to more conoid tail. Mesocriconema curvatum has smooth body annulations without posterior margins and anastomoses, more or less rectangular labial disc with slit-like apertures along its lateral margins, a distinctly lower labial disc than M. xenoplax and a conoid-rounded tail, head not offset and vagina not sigmoid.

## Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi

(Fig. 6 A-C)

## Measurements. See Table 12.

Remarks. Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi was described from Camellia sp. in Florida (Esser 1960). It has been reported from California, Georgia, Louisiana, New Jersey, New York, NC and SC (Siddiqi 1974a; Ye \& Robbins 2000), as well as from China (Wang 1993), Iran (Afshar et al. 2006) and Japan (Toida 1983; Hirata \& Yuhara 1986). Economically important hosts include camellia in Florida, grape in California, mulberry and bonsai in Japan, jiroft in Iran, and fruit trees in China. In this study, it was found in samples taken in Cumberland County, NC, from turfgrass. Both morphology and morphometrics fit previous descriptions of this species (Esser 1960; Ye \& Robbins 2000).

## Hemicriconemoides wessoni

(Fig. 6 D-F)

## Measurements. See Table 13.

Remarks. Hemicriconemoides wessoni was first documented in Alturas, Florida by Chitwood \& Birchfield (1957) from around the roots of Myrica cerifera L. It has also been found in Georgia (Dasgupta et al. 1969), Alabama (USDA collection, Beltsville), and SC (Ye \& Robbins 2000). Pinochet \& Raski (1975) described H. annulatus collected from St. Augustine grass at the Crago Sod Farm (South Bay, Florida) as being closest to $H$. wessoni. Ye \& Robbins (2000) examined several populations of H. wessoni from different geographical origins, compared them with the type specimens of $H$. annulatus, and found that the small differences observed in $H$. annulatus were within the intraspecific variation of $H$. wessoni, thus supporting the synonymy of these two species. They found $H$. wessoni in samples from creeping bentgrass in SC. In the present survey, H. wessoni was only found in association with bermudagrass fairways and tees in SC and greens, fairways and tees in NC. Bermudagrass was not previously known to be a host. Hemicriconemoides wessoni was obtained from 50 bermudagrass samples taken in 13 counties in both NC and SC. Both morphology and morphometrics fit previous descriptions (Esser 1960; Ye \& Robbins 2000). This is the first record of $H$. wessoni from bermudagrass, and also the first record of this nematode in NC.

TABLE 12. Species comparision of three common Mesocriconema species from golf courses (all measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).

| Characters | M. curvatum | M. sphaerocephala | M. xenoplax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | 320-450 | 300 | 400-780 |
| Stylet | 56-70 | 30-69 | 55-101 |
| a | 8.4-11.2 | 5.4-13.0 | 7.6-17.0 |
| b | 3.2-4.2 | 2.3-4.3 | 3.1-5.9 |
| c | 22.0-38.0 | 19.0-122.0 | 17.0-55.6 |
| V | 92.0-95.0 | 85.0-97.0 | 90.0-96.0 |
| R | 78-83 | 55-79 | 74-118 |
| Rex | 22-24 | 17-28 | 19-35 |
| Ran | 4-6 | 2-5 | 4-8 |
| RV | 6-8 | 3-7 | 6-11 |
| RVan | 0-2 | 0-2 | 0-3 |
| Body | Stout, curved ventrally, tapering slightly to both extremities, head not offset | Cuticle thick, curved ventrally, anterior end tapering slightly to bluntly rounded or hemispherical head | Head broad, body cylindroid except extremities |
| Body annule | Smooth, no cuticular markings, no posterior margins and generally without anastomoses | Course with smooth posterior margins and rounded profiles, typically many anastomoses producing a zig-zag line laterally | Rounded, retrorse with smooth or slightly rough |
| Labial disc | Distinctly lower than $M$. xenoplax, more or less rectangular with slit-like apertures along its lateral margins | Labial plates generally lacking, submedian lobes present | Typically 4 distinct and well separated labial plates |
| First annule | Broken up into labial plates, often irregular | Anterior annules to about level of stylet base | First annule entire or indented laterally, not circular |
| Lip region | 4 well developed, separate submedian lobes with rounded anterior margins | Anterior lip lacking spines or processes | Conspicuous, elevated |
| Vagina | Not sigmoid | About half as long as body width | Always sigmoid |
| Vulva | Generally on $7-7^{\text {th }}$ annule from end of body, anterior flap variable, usually present a slightly bilobed appearance but may be simple | With conspicusly large prevulvar annule on ventral side | Usually on 6-7 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ annule from terminus |
| Excretory pore | On 21-29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ annule from anterior end near base of pharynx |  | Not observed |
| Tail | Conoid-rounded | Bluntly rounded or hemispherical | Broadly rounded to more conoid, terminus generally a simple rounded or lobed |
| Anus | on 5-6 $6^{\text {th }}$ annule from end of body | At next to last annule | Not definitely seen |

TABLE 13. Hemicriconemoides spp.: morphometrics of females mounted in formalin-glycerin. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | H. chitwoodi | H. chitwoodi | H. wessoni | H. wessoni | H. wessoni |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 06-26301 | 11-29905 | 07-27685 | 08-25063 | 11-30664 |
| Host | Grass | Boxwood | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass |
| Location | Cumberland, NC | Cumberland, NC | Onslow, NC | New Hanover, NC | Beaufort, SC |
| n | 4 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 10 |
| L | $450.0 \pm 18.7$ | $459.0 \pm 56.3$ | $453.3 \pm 22.2$ | $453.4 \pm 37.3$ | $434.3 \pm 46.2$ |
|  | (430.0-480.0) | (370.0-514.0) | (422.0-480.0) | (402.0-500.0) | (355.7-506.5) |
| a | $14.4 \pm 0.8$ | $16.3 \pm 2.1$ | $13.1 \pm 0.7$ | $15.0 \pm 0.9$ | $12.6 \pm 1.1$ |
|  | (13.4-15.5) | (13.2-19.0) | (12.4-14.6) | (14.1-16.7) | (10.4-13.9) |
| b | $3.4 \pm 0.3$ | $4.1 \pm 0.1$ | $4.3 \pm 0.6$ | $4.7 \pm 0.3$ | $4.3 \pm 0.3$ |
|  | (3.2-3.8) | (4.0-4.2) | (3.5-4.9) | (4.2-5.0) | (3.7-4.8) |
| c | $16.5 \pm 2.3$ | $16.9 \pm 2.3$ | $19.5 \pm 1.4$ | $22.2 \pm 2.5$ | $23.7 \pm 2.4$ |
|  | (13.6-20.0) | (13.9-19.2) | (17.6-20.9) | (19.6-26.6) | (20.3-28.6) |
| c' | $1.6 \pm 0.2$ | $1.5 \pm 0.2$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | $1.2 \pm 0.2$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | (1.2-1.8) | (1.3-1.8) | (1.1-1.3) | (1.0-1.5) | (1.0-1.4) |
| V | $90.6 \pm 0.6$ | $90.4 \pm 0.7$ | $92.3 \pm 0.6$ | $93.0 \pm 0.8$ | $93.8 \pm 0.7$ |
|  | (90.0-91.7) | (89.5-91.3) | (91.2-93.0) | (91.8-94.0) | (92.8-94.9) |
| Body width | $31.3 \pm 0.8$ | $28.3 \pm 1.1$ | $34.7 \pm 1.1$ | $30.2 \pm 1.8$ | $34.5 \pm 2.7$ |
|  | (30.0-32.0) | (27.0-30.0) | (33.0-36.0) | $(27.0-32.0)$ | $(28.0-38.3)$ |
| Stylet length | $85.8 \pm 5.9$ | $84.0 \pm 4.2$ | $55.2 \pm 2.1$ | $53.1 \pm 3.4$ | $55.0 \pm 2.4$ |
|  | (80.0-93.0) | (80.0-91.0) | (52.0-58.0) | (48.0-58.5) | (50.8-58.4) |
| Stylet shaft length | $15.8 \pm 3.1$ | $15.3 \pm 0.9$ | $15.6 \pm 1.0$ | $14.3 \pm 3.1$ | $14.9 \pm 0.8$ |
|  | (14.0-16.0) | (14.2-16.8) | (14.0-17.0) | (9.5-19.0) | (13.1-15.4) |
| Pharynx length | $131.0 \pm 5.8$ | $113.0 \pm 14.0$ | $106.8 \pm 12.7$ | $97.0 \pm 12.5$ | $101.3 \pm 8.0$ |
| (Head to metacorpus base) | (125.0-140.0) | (92.0-130.0) | (92.0-128.0) | (85.0-120.0) | (89.5-117.1) |
| Anal body width | $17.9 \pm 2.2$ | $18.6 \pm 1.5$ | $20.0 \pm 1.2$ | $17.4 \pm 1.0$ | $16.1 \pm 1.7$ |
|  | (15.0-21.0) | (16.5-20.0) | (18.0-22.0) | (16.0-19.0) | (13.0-18.5) |
| Tail length | $27.8 \pm 4.2$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | (22.0-33.0) | (23.5-36.0) | (22.0-24.0) | $(16.0-25.0)$ | $(14.8-21.1)$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end R | 130.0 | 101.0 | - | - | $122.6 \pm 16.4$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | (96.9-143.6) |
|  | $118.3 \pm 4.9$ | $118.8 \pm 3.8$ | $89.2 \pm 2.9$ | $87.0 \pm 1.4$ | $87.0 \pm 3.8$ |
|  | (111.0-124.0) | (115.0-123.0) | (85.0-93.0) | (85.0-89.0) | (83.0-95.0) |
| Rs | $24.5 \pm 2.1$ | $22.5 \pm 1.7$ | $11.2 \pm 0.4$ | $11.2 \pm 0.8$ | $11.2 \pm 1.2$ |
|  | (23.0-28.0) | (21.0-25.0) | (11.0-12.0) | (10.0-12.0) | (10.0-14.0) |
| Roes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (31.0-40.0) | (29.0-30.0) | (17.0-25.0) | (18.0-23.0) | (17.0-26.0) |
| Rex | 33 | 33 | - | - | $23.1 \pm 2.4$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | (19.0-28.0) |
| RV | $14.3 \pm 1.9$ | $13.8 \pm 0.8$ | $7.8 \pm 0.7$ | $7.6 \pm 0.5$ | $9.7 \pm 0.6$ |
|  | (12.0-17.0) | (13.0-15.0) | (7.0-9.0) | (7.0-8.0) | (8.0-10.0) |
| Ran | $9.5 \pm 1.7$ | $9.0 \pm 0.0$ | $5.7 \pm 0.8$ | $5.8 \pm 0.4$ | $8.0 \pm 0.8$ |
|  | (7.0-11.0) | (9.0-10.0) | (5.0-7.0) | (5.0-6.0) | (7.0-9.0) |
| RVan | $4.8 \pm 1.3$ | $4.5 \pm 0.5$ | $2.2 \pm 0.7$ | $1.8 \pm 0.8$ | $1.7 \pm 0.6$ |
|  | (3.0-6.0) | (4.0-5.0) | (1.0-3.0) | (1.0-3.0) | (1.0-3.0) |
| VL | $42.0 \pm 1.4$ | $43.8 \pm 3.7$ | $35.0 \pm 2.5$ | $31.6 \pm 4.5$ | $26.6 \pm 2.7$ |
|  | (40.0-44.0) | (39.0-49.0) | (32.0-38.0) | (27.0-40.0) | (22.2-31.0) |
| VB | $25.3 \pm 1.6$ | $23.0 \pm 1.0$ |  | $24.0 \pm 1.3$ | $20.2 \pm 2.0$ |
|  | (24.0-28.0) | (22.0-24.0) | $(24.0-30.0)$ | (22.0-26.0) | $(16.7-23.1)$ |
| VL/VB | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | (1.5-1.8) | (1.8-2.0) | (1.1-1.4) | (1.1-1.5) | (1.2-1.6) |
| m | $18.4 \pm 1.7$ | $18.2 \pm 0.9$ | $28.3 \pm 2.2$ | $26.7 \pm 4.3$ | $27.8 \pm 2.0$ |
|  | (17.2-17.6) | (17.8-18.5) | (25.4-31.4) | (19.8-32.5) | (18.7-24.1) |
| $\mathrm{St} \% \mathrm{~L}$ | $19.1 \pm 1.5$ | $19.1 \pm 1.5$ | $12.2 \pm 0.3$ | $11.8 \pm 1.1$ | $12.8 \pm 1.2$ |
|  | (11.7-12.6) | (11.7-12.6) | (11.7-12.6) | (9.8-12.9) | (10.6-14.7) |
| $\mathrm{St} \%$ Oes | $65.7 \pm 6.0$ | $75.4 \pm 9.1$ | $52.4 \pm 6.6$ | $55.4 \pm 5.8$ | $54.5 \pm 2.9$ |
|  | (57.1-72.0) | (63.9-89.1) | (42.5-58.7) | (48.0-61.2) | (48.0-57.8) |
| Spermatheca length | $19.7 \pm 1.3$ | 24.0 | 20 | - | $19.5 \pm 4.2$ |
|  | (18.0-21.0) |  |  |  | (12.3-25.9) |
| Spermatheca width | $13.0 \pm 1.4$ | 12.0 | 10 | - | $14.0 \pm 2.6$ |
|  | (11.0-14.0) |  |  |  | (9.0-17.0) |



FIGURE 6. Micrographs of Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi, H. wessoni, Paratylenchus goldeni and Aphelenchoides myceliophagus from turfgrasses in NC and SC. Scale bars: A, D=50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$; B, C, E-M=20 m . A. Entire body of H. chitwoodi. B. Pharyngeal region of H. chitwoodi. C. Vulva and tail region of H. chitwoodi. D. Entire body of H. wessoni. E. Pharyngeal region of H. wessoni. F. Vulva and tail region of $H$. wessoni. G. Pharyngeal region of $P$. goldeni. H. Vulva and tail region of $P$. goldeni. I. Pharyngeal region of A. myceliophagus. J. Vulval region of A. myceliophagus. K. Pharyngeal region of $A$. myceliophagus. L. Female tail of A. myceliophagus. M. Male tail of A. myceliophagus.

## Hemicycliophora conida

(Fig. 7 D-F)

## Measurements. See Table 14.

Remarks. Hemicycliophora conida was described from sugar beet in Ireland (Thorne 1955). It has been documented in Belgium, England, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Switzerland (Loof 1968; Brzeski 1974), Iran (Loof 1984), Spain (Castillo et al. 1989), South Africa (Van den Berg 1981), and UK (Spaull \& Mewton 1982). In the present study, H. conida was detected in five counties in NC and SC. It was found in bermudagrass fairways and tees in SC and bentgrass putting greens and tees in NC. It was not found in zoysiagrass in either state. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from other populations (Loof 1968). Hemicycliophora conida was divided into two morphological forms (Forms I and II) differing in the number of annuli ( 230 or more vs 180 to 220), the excretory pore position (about 50 annuli from the head $v s 40$ ), female stylet length (average about $90 v s 80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and spicule length ( $23 v s 19 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). These forms were regarded as conspecific (Loof 1968). The type populations were Form II. The populations in this study were primarily Form I. This is the first record of H. conida from turfgrasses in NC and SC.

## Loofia thienemanni

(Fig. 7 A-C)

## Measurements. See Table 14.

Remarks. Loofia thienemanni was described from a compost heap in Bergen-op-Zoom, the Netherlands (Schneider 1925). It has been reported from France, Italy, the Netherlands, Peru, Poland (Brzeski 1974; Kuiper 1977), South Africa (Van den Berg 1987), Argentina (Chaves 1983), the Solomon Islands (Coomans et al. 1985), Greece (Koliopanos \& Vovlas 1977), and Czechoslovakia (Valocka \& Sabova 1978). In this study, L. thienemanni was detected only in Charleston County, SC, in a bermudagrass fairway and a zoysiagrass tee. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from those previously described (Brzeski 1974). This is the first record of L. thienemanni from turfgrasses in SC.

## Hemicaloosia graminis

(Fig. 7 G-K)

Measurements. See Table 14.
Remarks. Hemicaloosia graminis was collected from a bermudagrass tee in Charleston County, SC, a bermudagrass zoysiagrass tee in Beaufort County, SC, and from turfgrass in New Hanover County, NC. The species description, using morphological and molecular approaches, was presented in a separate study (Zeng et al., 2012).

## Paratylenchus goldeni

(Fig. 6 G-H)

## Measurements. See Table 10.

Remarks. Paratylenchus goldeni was described from specimens collected from boxwood (Buxus sp.) in Salemburg, NC. In this study, it was found in a centipedegrass turf area sampled in Cumberland County, NC. No males of $P$. goldeni were found, although seven males were observed in the original description (Raski 1975). The morphology and morphometrics of the females fit with the original description.


FIGURE 7. Micrographs of Hemicycliophora thienemanni, H. conida, Hemicaloosia graminis from turfgrasses in NC and SC. All scale bars $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A. Female esophageal region of H. thienemanni. B. Vulva region of H. thienemanni. C. Female tail of $H$. thienemanni. D. Female esophageal region of H. conida. E,F. Vulva region of H. conida. G. Female esophageal region of Hemicaloosia graminis. H. Vulva region of Hemicaloosia graminis. I. Female tail of Hemicaloosia graminis. J. Male esophageal region of Hemicaloosia graminis. K. Male tail of Hemicaloosia graminis.

TABLE 14. Hemicycliophora spp. and Hemicaloosia graminis: morphometrics of females mounted in water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | Hemicycliophora thienemanni | Hemicycliophora conida | Hemicycliophora conida | Hemicycliophora conida | Hemicaloosia graminis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-29594 | 11-29736 | 11-30286 | 11-30321 | 10-27720 |
| Host | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass |
| Location | New Hanover, NC | New Hanover, NC | New Hanover, NC | New Hanover, NC | New Hanover, NC |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 1008.4 \pm 19.4 \\ (981.4-1026.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1024.3 \pm 37.6 \\ (957.8-1071.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1053.5 \pm 45.9 \\ (1003.2-1120.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 987.4 \pm 41.2 \\ (925.8-1037.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 712.3 \pm 70.1 \\ (610.4-805.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{aligned} & 26.6 \pm 3.2 \\ & (22.2-29.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.2 \pm 2.5 \\ & (22.8-30.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.2 \pm 1.8 \\ & (23.1-27.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.9 \pm 1.4 \\ & (23.4-27.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.8 \pm 0.9 \\ & (27.2-29.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \pm 0.2 \\ & (5.6-6.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \pm 0.2 \\ & (5.9-6.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \pm 0.1 \\ & (6.4-6.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.3 \pm 0.2 \\ & (6.0-6.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \pm 0.4 \\ & (5.1-6.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \pm 1.4 \\ & (10.1-13.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7 \pm 0.5 \\ & (8.1-9.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.8 \pm 0.6 \\ & (8.8-10.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & (8.1-8.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \pm 0.6 \\ & (8.3-10.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.2 \\ & (3.1-3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \pm 0.2 \\ & (3.8-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.3 \\ & (2.8-3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (3.7-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \pm 0.3 \\ & (4.0-4.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $\begin{aligned} & 82.5 \pm 1.2 \\ & (81.0-83.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.9 \pm 1.0 \\ & (81.5-84.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.2 \pm 1.2 \\ & (81.9-85.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.6 \pm 0.7 \\ & (82.9-84.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.7 \pm 0.7 \\ (84.1-85.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 38.5 \pm 5.3 \\ (33.7-45.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.8 \pm 2.5 \\ (35.3-42.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.9 \pm 1.5 \\ (40.0-44.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.2 \pm 1.4 \\ (36.1-39.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \pm 3.1 \\ (20.9-29.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 94.7 \pm 1.3 \\ (93.1-96.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.0 \pm 1.5 \\ & (85.3-89.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.3 \pm 4.9 \\ & (78.3-90.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.1 \pm 2.7 \\ & (79.3-86.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.0 \pm 3.3 \\ (66.8-74.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet shaft length | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \pm 0.7 \\ (15.5-17.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \pm 0.7 \\ (13.9-15.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \pm 1.2 \\ (15.4-18.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \pm 1.3 \\ & (15.7-18.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.82 .8 \\ (55.3-62.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet knobW/H | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.9-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.7-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.8-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.7-1.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \pm 0.4 \\ & (2.2-3.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body width at stylet base | $\begin{gathered} 34.3 \pm 2.7 \\ (30.5-36.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.1 \pm 1.0 \\ (30.9-33.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.0 \pm 0.6 \\ (33.3-34.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.4 \pm 1.3 \\ (30.3-33.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.2 \pm 2.4 \\ (19.4-25.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pharynx length (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 173.2 \pm 5.8 \\ (168.6-181.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166.6 \pm 4.7 \\ (160.3-172.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161.2 \pm 4.8 \\ (155.4-166.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 157.7 \pm 3.7 \\ (152.0-161.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123.6 \pm 5.9 \\ (119.5-133.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 26.2 \pm 2.1 \\ (23.4-28.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \pm 0.6 \\ & (28.8-30.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.3 \pm 2.1 \\ (31.2-35.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.0 \pm 1.0 \\ (30.0-32.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \pm 1.2 \\ (17.0-20.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{gathered} 85.511 .9 \\ (72.8-101.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117.86 .0 \\ (109.5-126.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108.3 \pm 6.7 \\ (100.5-116.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117.7 \pm 6.2 \\ (112.4-128.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79.3 \pm 7.0 \\ (67.5-84.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| VA | $\begin{gathered} 90.7 \pm 19.1 \\ (65.0-111.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.2 \pm 4.0 \\ & (52.0-63.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.3 \pm 1.7 \\ (56.0-60.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.6 \pm 2.7 \\ (45.0-52.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.4 \pm 3.6 \\ (26.0-33.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 187.2 \pm 6.5 \\ (179.5-195.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197.6 \pm 13.6 \\ (179.2-217.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 198.8 \pm 6.0 \\ (193.0-207.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 202.2 \pm 5.8 \\ (195.5-209.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131.0 \pm 6.5 \\ (122.3-138.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Ring width at midbody | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (3.9-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \pm 0.5 \\ & (3.2-4.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \pm 0.2 \\ & (3.0-3.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.9-3.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.7-2.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| R | $\begin{gathered} 248.7 \pm 4.5 \\ (245.0-255.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 255.0 \pm 2.7 \\ (251.0-258.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 253.8 \pm 5.4 \\ (248.0-262.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 264.3 \pm 4.3 \\ (259.0-269.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 269.3 \pm 11.4 \\ (254.0-283.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Rs | $\begin{aligned} & 28.3 \pm 1.3 \\ & (27.0-30.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.0 \pm 0.6 \\ & (22.0-24.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.3 \pm 1.1 \\ & (21.0-24.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.8 \pm 1.5 \\ & (22.0-26.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \pm 1.7 \\ (23.0-27.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Reso | $\begin{aligned} & 48.0 \pm 3.3 \\ & (44.0-52.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.4 \pm 1.0 \\ & (44.0-47.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.8 \pm 6.5 \\ & (43.0-59.0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.3 \pm 1.6 \\ & (46.0-50.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.5 \pm 3.4 \\ (42.0-51.0) \end{gathered}$ |

......continued on next page

TABLE 14. (Continued)

| Species | Hemicycliophora <br> thienemanni | Hemicycliophora <br> conida | Hemicycliophora <br> conida | Hemicycliophora <br> conida | Hemicaloosia <br> graminis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rex | $51.7 \pm 2.5$ | $54.0 \pm 1.9$ | $57.3 \pm 7.4$ | $60.0 \pm 1.6$ | $48.0 \pm 4.1$ |
|  | $(49.0-55.0)$ | $(52.0-57.0)$ | $(49.0-67.0)$ | $(58.0-62.0)$ | $(43.0-54.0)$ |
| RV | $191.3 \pm 2.6$ | $205.2 \pm 2.0$ | $204.0 \pm 3.3$ | $211.8 \pm 4.4$ | $47.3 \pm 5.6$ |
|  | $(189.0-195.0)$ | $(202.0-208.0)$ | $(200.0-208.0)$ | $(205.0-217.0)$ | $(38.0-53.0)$ |
| Ran | $33.3 \pm 2.4$ | $34.0 \pm 1.3$ | $35.3 \pm 3.4$ | $39.5 \pm 2.1$ | $34.3 \pm 5.1$ |
|  | $(30.0-35.0)$ | $(32.0-35.0)$ | $(32.0-40.0)$ | $(37.0-42.0)$ | $(26.0-39.0)$ |
| RVan | $24.0 \pm 4.3$ | $15.8 \pm 0.8$ | $15.7 \pm 1.7$ | $13.0 \pm 0.7$ | $13.0 \pm 1.0$ |
|  | $(20.0-30.0)$ | $(15.0-17.0)$ | $(14.0-18.0)$ | $(12.0-14.0)$ | $(12.0-14.0)$ |
| VL | $176.2 \pm 12.5$ | $175.0 \pm 8.4$ | $176.4 \pm 11.9$ | $161.6 \pm 9.4$ | $108.7 \pm 9.4$ |
|  | $(166.6-193.8)$ | $(164.2-189.8)$ | $(159.2-191.5)$ | $(151.7-177.0)$ | $(93.2-117.6)$ |
| VB | $36.0 \pm 4.4$ | $34.2 \pm 0.9$ | $41.3 \pm 0.9$ | $36.8 \pm 2.1$ | $19.4 \pm 1.9$ |
|  | $(31.5-42.0)$ | $(33.2-35.9)$ | $(40.0-42.3)$ | $(34.2-39.6)$ | $(18.1-22.7)$ |
| VL/VB | $4.9 \pm 0.3$ | $5.1 \pm 0.2$ | $4.3 \pm 0.2$ | $4.4 \pm 0.3$ | $5.6 \pm 0.6$ |
|  | $(4.6-5.3)$ | $(4.8-5.3)$ | $(4.0-4.6)$ | $(4.0-4.7)$ | $(5.0-6.5)$ |
| m | $17.2 \pm 0.6$ | $17.4 \pm 0.8$ | $19.8 \pm 1.7$ | $20.0 \pm 1.8$ | $83.8 \pm 0.9$ |
|  | $(16.7-18.1)$ | $(16.3-18.2)$ | $(17.9-22.3)$ | $(18.1-22.6)$ | $(82.3-84.7)$ |
| St\% L | $9.4 \pm 0.3$ | $8.5 \pm 0.3$ | $8.2 \pm 0.3$ | $8.4 \pm 0.3$ | $9.8 \pm 0.8$ |
|  | $(9.1-9.7)$ | $(8.2-8.9)$ | $(7.8-8.4)$ | $(8.0-8.6)$ | $(9.1-11.0)$ |
| St\% Eso | $54.7 \pm 1.4$ | $52.3 \pm 0.7$ | $53.5 \pm 1.8$ | $52.7 \pm 1.6$ | $55.8 \pm 0.2$ |
|  | $(53.0-56.3)$ | $(51.1-53.2)$ | $(50.4-54.6)$ | $(51.6-55.4)$ | $(55.6-55.9)$ |

## Aphelenchoides myceliophagus

(Fig. 6 I-M)

## Measurements. See Table 1.

Remarks. Aphelenchoides myceliophagus was described from a cropping bed of the common mushroom, Agaricus bisporus, in Saproon, Solan (H. P.), India (Seth \& Sharma 1986). Khanna \& Sharma (1988) reported strong pathogenicity of this species toward Agaricus bisporus. In this survey, A. myceliophagus was found only in Cumberland County, NC. The morphological characteristics did not differ from the original description. This is the first record of A. myceliophagus from turfgrasses in NC.

## Longidorus paralongicaudatus

(Fig. $8 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N}$ )

Measurements. See Table 15.
Remarks. Longidorus paralongicaudatus was described from sandy soil around American elm (Ulmus Americana), maple (Acer), and oak (Quercus) trees in Arkansas, and in Georgia, Iowa and Tennessee (Ye \& Robbins 2003). In this study, L. paralongicaudatus was found only in a bermudagrass tee in Beaufort County, SC. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from original description. This is the first record of $L$. paralongicaudatus from turfgrasses in SC.

## Xiphinema americanum sensu lato

(Fig. 8 A, B, G)

Measurements. See Table 15.


FIGURE 8. Micrographs of Xiphinema americana, X. bakeri, X. chambersi, Longidorus paralongicaudatus from turfgrasses in NC and SC. All scale bars $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A. Female esophageal region of $X$. americana. B. Vulva region of $X$. americana. C,H. Female esophageal region of $X$. bakeri. D. Vulva region of $X$. bakeri. E. Female esophageal region of $X$. chambersi. F. Vulva region of $X$. chambersi. G. Female tail region of $X$. americana. I. Female tail region of $X$. bakeri. J. Female tail region of $X$. chambersi. K. Female esophageal region of L. paralongicaudatus. L. Vulva region of L. paralongicaudatus. M,N. Female tail region of L. paralongicaudatus.

Remarks. Xiphinema americanum sensu lato is a cosmopolitan species, and has been reported from North America (Canada, Mexico and USA), Australia, Belize, Chile, Egypt, Guatemala, India, Japan, Korea, Iran, New Zealand, Pakistan, South Africa, Sri Lanka and Uruguay and the EPPO region (Poland, USSR and the Mediterranean region) (EPPO 1984; Fadaei et al. 2003; Ye \& Robbins 2010). It is a very common species in Arkansas (Ye \& Robbins 2010), also in NC and SC. In the present study, X. americanum sensu lato was detected in 13 counties in NC and SC. The morphological features of the identified populations did not differ from other populations and the morphometrics did not differ from populations Xiph-4 and Xiph-16 (Ye \& Robbins 2010). It is pathogenic to a wide range of field crops, ornamentals, native plants and shade trees. In the USA, it causes damage to strawberries, fruit trees, forage legumes and forest trees. In this study, it was found in golf course greens, fairways and tees established with bermudagrass but was not found in creeping bentgrass or zoysiagrass. This nematode is most important as a vector of damaging nepoviruses, including tomato ringspot virus (Forer \& Stouffer 1981), tobacco ringspot virus (TRSV) (McGuire 1964), and cherry rasp leaf virus (EPPO 1984). Sammons \& Barnett (1987) firstly reported TRSV from squash in SC. Due to its economic importance, it is listed as an A1 quarantine organism by the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization and many other countries (Kulinich et al. 2003; Brito et al. 2005; Bello et al. 2005). Xiphinema americanum sensu lato is considered to be a species complex (Lima 1965). By 2000, the number of species in the $X$. americanum-group had expanded to 49 (Lamberti et al. 2000), 20 of which have been reported in North America (Robbins \& Brown 1991; Luc et al. 1998). However, separation of the species within the group is questionable as it is based on minor differences in head and tail shapes (Ye \& Robbins 2010).

## Xiphinema bakeri

(Fig. 8 C, D, H, I)

## Measurements. See Table 15.

Remarks. Xiphinema bakeri was first described form British Columbia, Canada by Williams (1961). It has been reported from Arkansas (Ye \& Robbins 2010), Iowa (Norton et al. 1982), Florida (Tarjan 1974), California, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Oregon, Tennessee, Washington (Norton et al. 1984; Tarjan 1964b), Korea (Lee \& Han 1976) and Japan (Yokoo 1970). Xiphinema bakeri was considered to be the primary pathogen in corky root etiology (Sutherland 1977) and acquired and transmitted arabis mosaic nepovirus in laboratory experiments (Iwaki \& Komuro 1974). In this study, X. bakeri was found in golf course fairways established with bermudagrass in Lee County, NC. The morphological characteristics differed from those described by Williams (1961) in body length and $a$ value in females. This is the first record of X. bakeri from turfgrasses in NC.

## Xiphinema chambersi

(Fig. 8 E, F, J)

## Measurements. See Table 15.

Remarks. Xiphinema chambersi was described from specimens collected in Virginia (Thorne, 1939). It has been recorded in Arkansas (Robbins et al. 1987; Ye \& Robbins 2010), Florida (Tarjan 1974; Lamberti et al. 2002), Iowa (Norton \& Hoffmann 1974; Norton et al. 1982), Connecticut, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnisota, NC, New Jersey, SC, Tennessee, Wisconsin, and West Virginia (Norton et al. 1984), and in Canada (Yu et al. 2010), Japan (Yokoo, 1970; Shishida 1983) and Korea (Choi et al. 1992). In the present study, X. bakeri was found in bermudagrass fairways in Lee County, NC. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from those of previously described populations (Ye \& Robbins 2010).

TABLE 15. Xiphinema spp. and Longidorus paralongicaudatus: morphometrics of females mounted in water. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | X. americanum | X. americanum | X. chambersi | X. bakeri | L. paralongicaudatus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | 11-29987 | 06-27878 | 11-27763 | 11-30099 | 08-09943 |
| Host | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass | Grass | Bermudagrass | Bermudagrass |
| Location | Moore, NC | Sampson, NC | Cumberland, NC | Lee, NC | Cumberland, NC |
| n | 10 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 1506.6 \pm 82.1 \\ (1374.7-1637.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1585.8 \pm 50.8 \\ (1497.0-1639.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2137.0 \pm 58.0 \\ (2079.0-2195.0) \end{gathered}$ | $2437.5 \pm 95.0$ | $\begin{gathered} 2551.4 \pm 233.6 \\ (2265.1-2837.3) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (2342.4-2532.5) |  |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \pm 3.4 \\ (35.4-45.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.5 \pm 2.7 \\ (46.4-53.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.8 \pm 0.8 \\ (63.0-64.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.7 \pm 1.6 \\ & (41.0-43.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.4 \pm 3.3 \\ (73.8-81.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \pm 0.3 \\ & (6.6-7.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (5.6-6.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \pm 0.4 \\ & (4.9-5.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \pm 0.4 \\ & (5.2-6.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.9 \pm 0.6 \\ (9.2-10.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 45.8 \pm 1.3 \\ (43.8-47.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.9 \pm 3.8 \\ & (40.6-52.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.6 \pm 1.2 \\ (22.4-24.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.1 \pm 2.7 \\ (59.4-64.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.8 \pm 3.5 \\ (57.0-65.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| c' | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.5-1.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.6-2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \pm 0.3 \\ & (3.9-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.1-1.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.8-2.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $\begin{gathered} 51.3 \pm 0.4 \\ (50.5-51.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.2 \pm 1.3 \\ (49.5-53.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \pm 0.4 \\ (22.5-23.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.1 \pm 0.4 \\ (33.8-34.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.6 \pm 1.0 \\ (45.6-48.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Body width | $\begin{gathered} 37.8 \pm 2.6 \\ (34.1-41.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.5 \pm 2.4 \\ (28.0-35.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.5 \pm 0.5 \\ (33.0-34.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.6 \pm 4.6 \\ (54.0-63.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.1 \pm 3.9 \\ (29.6-38.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 116.0 \pm 5.3 \\ (104.7-121.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115.3 \pm 2.7 \\ (113.0-120.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 162.0 \pm 4.0 \\ (158.0-166.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182.1 \pm 0.2 \\ (181.9-182.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151.1 \pm 2.0 \\ (149.1-153.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Odontophore length | $\begin{gathered} 42.7 \pm 2.4 \\ (37.8-45.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.2 \pm 1.5 \\ (46.0-50.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.5 \pm 0.5 \\ (58.0-59.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.8 \pm 1.8 \\ (71.0-74.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.5 \pm 4.3 \\ (41.6-45.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Odontostyle length | $\begin{gathered} 73.3 \pm 3.7 \\ (66.9-78.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.2 \pm 1.5 \\ (66.0-70.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103.5 \pm 3.5 \\ (100.0-107.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109.3 \pm 1.7 \\ (107.6-111.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103.6 \pm 3.7 \\ (100.0-108.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guiding ring from anterior end | $\begin{gathered} 53.3 \pm 2.4 \\ (50.9-57.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.5 \pm 6.8 \\ (54.0-76.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97.0 \pm 5.0 \\ (92.0-102.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97.5 \pm 0.6 \\ (97.0-98.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.2 \pm 1.4 \\ (20.6-24.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pharynx length <br> (Head to metacorpus base) | $\begin{gathered} 210.8 \pm 11.5 \\ (198.2-231.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 268.0 \pm 12.3 \\ & (244.0-278.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 409.5 \pm 18.5 \\ (391.0-428.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 436.5 \pm 16.5 \\ & (420.0-453.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 256.8 \pm 8.1 \\ (245.8-265.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anal body width | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \pm 1.8 \\ (17.5-22.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \pm 0.9 \\ (18.0-20.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \pm 3.0 \\ (19.0-25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.1 \pm 1.8 \\ (31.3-34.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \pm 1.9 \\ (20.6-24.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{gathered} 32.9 \pm 1.4 \\ (32.0-34.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.3 \pm 3.2 \\ (110.0-160.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91.0 \pm 7.0 \\ (84.0-98.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.2 \pm 0.2 \\ (39.0-39.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.9 \pm 1.4 \\ (32.0-34.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Excretory pore from anterior end | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 198.0 \pm 0.0 \\ (197.9-198.0) \end{gathered}$ | 123.0 |
| Lip width | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (10.2-11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.3 \pm 1.1 \\ & (8.0-11.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \pm 1.1 \\ & (7.0-9.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (13.9-14.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (10.2-11.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip height | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \pm 0.3 \\ & (3.4-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \pm 0.8 \\ & (3.0-5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \pm 0.9 \\ & (3.0-5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \pm 0.1 \\ & (5.6-5.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \pm 0.3 \\ & (3.4-4.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| G1 | - | $\begin{gathered} 530.0 \pm 5.0 \\ (525.0-535.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| G2 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 477.5 \pm 42.5 \\ & (435.0-520.0) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - |
| Hyaline tail tip | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \pm 0.6 \\ (11.1-12.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \pm 0.7 \\ (10.0-12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.5 \pm 2.5 \\ (18.0-23.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.4 \pm 1.4 \\ (14.1-16.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \pm 0.6 \\ (11.1-12.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip D / H | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \pm 0.3 \\ & (2.3-3.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \pm 0.4 \\ (1.8-2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.0-2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \pm 0.0 \\ & (2.4-2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.0-2.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| H\% | $\begin{gathered} 36.0 \pm 1.3 \\ (33.7-37.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.3 \pm 3.8 \\ (25.0-37.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \pm 4.5 \\ (18.4-27.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.3 \pm 3.7 \\ (35.6-43.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.1 \pm 2.0 \\ (20.5-25.3) \end{gathered}$ |

## Paratrichodorus allius

(Fig. 9 A-C)

## Measurements. See Table 16.

Remarks. Paratrichodorus allius was described from Oregon by Jensen (1963). It has been recorded in Washington (Mojtahedi \& Santo 1999), Chile (Aballay \& Eriksson 2006), Israel (Waele \& Cohn 1992), and Hong Kong (Xie \& Feng 1996). In this study, P. allius was found in seven counties in NC and SC, in creeping bentgrass putting greens in both states and in bermudagrass fairways and tees in SC. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from the original description. This is the first record of $P$. allius from turfgrasses in NC and SC.


FIGURE 9. Micrographs of Paratrichodorus allius, Paratrichodorus minor from turfgrasses in NC and SC (Scale bars: $\mathrm{A}=100 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{F}=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). A. Female entire body of $P$. allius. B. Female esophageal region of $P$. allius. C. Female tail region of $P$. allius. D. Female esophageal region of $P$. minor. E. Vulva and tail region of $P$. minor. F. Female tail region of $P$. minor.

## Paratrichodorus minor

(Fig. 9 D-F)
Measurements. See Table 16.
Remarks. Paratrichodorus minor was described from Queensland, Australia (Colbran 1956). The type host was not indicated. The names of this species have changed from Trichodorus christiei to Paratrichodorus christiei to Paratrichodorus Nanidorus minor to Nanidorus minor (Rafael Rodriguez) to Paratrichodorus minor. It has been documented in many countries worldwide, including 11 in Europe, 11 in Asia, 13 in Africa, two in North America, five in Central America and Caribbean, six in South America, and four in Oceania (CABI 2002). In the USA, it has been found in 22 states (CABI 2002; Crow 2005c; Hooper 1977; Karanastasi et al. 2006; Li et al. 2010). In this survey, P. minor was found in 121 turfgrass samples taken in 33 counties in both states. It was common in three turf management zones (green, fairway and tee) and three grass species (bermudagrass, creeping bentgrass, zoysiagrass) in both states. The morphology and morphometrics of the identified population did not differ from previously described populations (Bell \& Watson 2001).

TABLE 16. Paratrichodorus spp.: morphometrics of females mounted in formalin-glycerin. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the format: mean $\pm$ S.D. (range).

| Species | P. $\boldsymbol{\text { minor }}$ | P. allius |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lab ID | $11-30365$ | $11-30383$ |
| Host | Bermudagrass | Bentgrass |
| Location | Kershaw, SC | Avery, NC |
| n | 15 | 15 |
| L | $692.9 \pm 50.6$ | $636.4 \pm 13.5$ |
|  | $(646.8-763.4)$ | $(619.3-652.3)$ |
| a | $16.9 \pm 2.9$ | $15.0 \pm 1.1$ |
|  | $(13.8-20.9)$ | $(13.7-16.3)$ |
| b' | $6.4 \pm 1.0$ | $5.7 \pm 0.3$ |
|  | $(5.6-7.8)$ | $(5.3-6.0)$ |
| c | $194.0 \pm 1.5$ | $176.5 \pm 14.2$ |
|  | $(192.3-196.0)$ | $(160.0-194.7)$ |
| c' | $0.3 \pm 0.0$ | $0.3 \pm 0.0$ |
|  | $(0.3-0.4)$ | $(0.3-0.3)$ |
| V | $52.4 \pm 0.4$ | $54.3 \pm 0.7$ |
|  | $(52.0-52.9)$ | $(53.5-55.3)$ |
| Body width | $41.6 \pm 4.2$ | $42.8 \pm 4.0$ |
|  | $(36.6-46.9)$ | $(38.0-47.7)$ |
| Stylet length | $32.7 \pm 1.2$ | $46.5 \pm 2.2$ |
| Pharynx length | $(31.1-33.9)$ | $(43.4-48.5)$ |
| (Head to metacorpus base) | $109.3 \pm 8.8$ | $112.1 \pm 6.0$ |
| Anal body width | $(97.6-118.7)$ | $(106.6-120.4)$ |
| Tail length | $10.7 \pm 0.8$ | $11.7 \pm 0.8$ |
|  | $(9.6-11.4)$ | $(10.8-12.8)$ |

## Discussion

This survey revealed that turfgrasses including bermudagrass, creeping bentgrass, and zoysiagrass in NC and SC support a wide variety of plant-parasitic nematodes. Twenty nine nematode species were identified from turfgrasses in the Carolinas. Among them, 11 species (M. graminis, M. naasi, Cactodera sp., P. penetrans, H. conida, H. graminis, M. xenoplax, M. sphaerocephala, O. floridense, P. allius, and D. heterocephalus) were new records from turfgrass in both states; five (Heterodera sp., L. thienemanni, M. curvatum, L. paralongicaudatus, and F. cylindricus) were new in SC; and three (H. wessoni, X. bakeri and A. myceliophagus) were new in NC. The results also revealed a relatively wide distribution of eight species including B. longicaudatus, P. minor, M. graminis, M. xenoplax, H. dihystera, T. claytoni, H. galeatus and P. penetrans in golf courses.

This survey clarified the identity of the soil-inhabiting nematodes that parasitize golf course turf in the Carolinas. The prevalence, population level, and distribution map of each nematode species, hazard species, damage threshold and standard management recommendations were presented in Ye et al. (2012). This work was a first step for future study to provide more precise and effective management options to golf-course superintendents.
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