Driven apart: fossil parasitic long-legged velvet mite larvae on gall midges represent a long lost parasitic association between mites and dipterans
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Abstract

Parasites are virtually ubiquitous, and this has probably been the case for quite some time. The record of parasitic mites (sensu lato) goes back as far as the Carboniferous (~350–259 mya) and, in fact, they are one of the most reported parasites in amber. The six-legged larvae of the mite group Parasitengona have a wide host range, among which are flies. Here we report for the first time cases of larval erythraeoidean mites, commonly referred to as long-legged velvet mites, parasitising gall midges (Cecidomyiidae) in about 100 million years old (Cretaceous) Kachin amber, Myanmar. In three of the four cases here reported, a single erythraeoidean mite was attached to a gall midge, while in one of the cases two mites are associated to a gall mide host. Of the reported gall midges, one specimen represents the ingroup Lestremiinae, two represent unnamed lineages closely related to Cecidomyiinae, and one specimen might represent the group Cecidomyiinae, being the earliest record of this group so far. In the extant fauna, there are no records of associations of erythraeoidean larvae with gall midges. After the Cretaceous, long-legged velvet mites may have shifted their host range, although knowledge on the host range of parasitengonan mites is still limited to arrive to definitive conclusion.
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Introduction

Parasites rule this world (Klompmaker et al., 2014). This includes parasites in the strict sense (i.e., feeding on their hosts; Nelson et al., 1975; Haug et al., 2018; Gorb et al., 2022), but also parasitoids (which ultimately kill their hosts; Hassel & Waage 1984; Kiesmüller et al., 2022; Fei et al., 2023) and complex aspects such as brood parasitism (Zink, 2000; Su hornsen et al., 2019; Sless et al., 2023) or kleptoparasitism (Sivinski et al., 1999; Shopido et al., 2019, but see van der Wal et al., 2021). Due to the overwhelming presence of all these life strategies (parasitism in the wide sense), we can assume that this has also been the case quite some time ago. Yet, the fossil record of parasitism is still very incomplete (De Baets et al., 2015; De Baets et al., 2015, 2021), and identifying host-parasite interactions is often even more challenging (Castellani et al., 2011; Klomp maker et al., 2014; van der Wal et al., 2021).

Among the most frequently reported ectoparasitic organisms in amber are ticks and other mites (De Baets et al., 2021). When considering parasitism in a wide sense (sensu lato), the record of mites as parasites goes back as far as the Carboniferous, as shown by the sedimentary fossil of an oribatidan mite (supposedly) phoretic on a grasshopper relative found in the Xiaheyan locality (~135–120 mya) (Robin et al., 2016). Parasitic behaviour in a wide sense seems to already be quite frequent in mites in the Cretaceous given its extensive record as amber syninclusions from different deposits (e.g., Magowski, 1995; Grimaldi, 2000; Delclòs et al., 2007; Khaustov & Poinar, 2010; Arillo et al., 2018; Konikiewicz & Ma¬kol, 2018). The first fossil records to be considered as representatives of parasitic behaviour of mites in a strict sense are in fact from the Early Cretaceous. These are Lebanese amber syninclusions (ca. 135–120 mya) in which larvae of parasitengonan mites are attached to dipteran hosts (Poinar et al., 1994; Poinar & Milki, 2001; Azar, 2007; Azar et al., 2010; Bouc et & Poinar, 2010), and possibly feeding on them.
The mite group Parasitengona is an ingroup of Trombidiformes and characterised by a complex life cycle. The six-legged larva (see Haug 2020 for difficulties of the term) is parasitic on other euarthropodans and vertebrates (with very few exceptions (e.g., Smith, 1998; Yoder et al., 2012), while the deutonymph and adult are predatory, and the prelarva, protonymph and tritonymph are inactive (calypostatic; Krantz & Walter, 2009). Among Parasitengona, Erythraeoidea is characterised by representatives with extremely long legs in their larval stage, hence the name long-legged velvet mites (Wohltmann et al., 2006). The larvae of this group are parasitising a wide range of euarthropodan hosts (Welbourn, 1983; Wohltmann, 2000; Stroński et al., 2013; Bernard et al., 2019; Haarder & Mąkol, 2022), among which flies (Diptera) are included. Flies also represent hosts for larvae of Erythraeoidea in the fossil record from a wide range of amber deposits (see Arillo et al., 2018 and citations therein), including a wide range of ingroups of Diptera. In fact, the first records of parasitic mites (sensu stricto) are of erythraeoidean larvae parasitising biting midges (Ceratopogonidae, Poinar et al., 1994; Poinar & Milki, 2001; Azar, 2007; Boucot & Poinar, 2010) and a non-biting midge (Chironomidae, Azar et al., 2010). So far, there is only one report of a parasitic association between a gall midge of Cecidomyiidae and a possible long-legged velvet mite in Raritan New Jersey amber (94–89 mya) (Fig. 1A; Grimaldi, 2000). A gall midge as host for mites has also been reported in Baltic amber (35 mya), which is possibly a terrestrial representative of Parasitengona (i.e., not a water mite). In extant fauna, there is only one report of a red velvet mite (Trombidiidae) larva parasitising a gall midge (Thompson & Simmonds, 1965).

Cecidomyiidae has 25 species recorded from the Mesozoic (Jaschhof, 2021; Peñalver et al., 2022), a relatively poor fossil record in relation to the richness of the extant fauna. For this reason, the most speciose ingroup, Cecidomyiinae, has not yet been recorded from that era, even though it was most probably already present by then (Dorchin et al., 2019). The major lineages recorded from Mesozoic deposits are Catotrichinae, Lestremiinae, Micromyinae, “Porricondylinae”, and “Winnertiinae” (Jaschhof, 2021). The last two are probably not valid names, due to non-monophyly in relation to Cecidomyiinae (Sikora et al., 2019). Despite referred to by the vernacular name of gall midges, immatures of all the gall midges outside Cecidomyiinae are generally regarded as mycophagous and not gall-forming. Phytophagous and predatory species only appeared within Cecidomyiinae during the Cretaceous (Dorchin et al., 2019).

Here we report for the first time cases of long-legged velvet mites (Erythraeoidea) parasitising gall midges (Cecidomyiidae) found in about 100 million-year-old (Cretaceous) Kachin amber, Myanmar. We discuss palaeontological and biological implications of these new findings.

Material and methods

Material
We documented four amber pieces with biosyninclusions in Kachin amber, Myanmar. Kachin amber has been dated to an age of about 100 million years (Cruickshank & Ko, 2003; Shi et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2019). The specimens were legally purchased on the trading platform ebay.com from the trader burmite-miner. Specimens are deposited in the Palaeo-Evo-Devo Research Group Collection of Arthropods, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München (LMU Munich), Germany, under repository numbers PED 3122, PED 3161, PED 3164, PED 3499. Additionally, we took the measurement of the longer axis of each amber piece.

Documentation methods
The specimens in amber were prepared with a drop of glycerol and a coverslip on top, to even the surface and reduce reflections. They were then photographed using an inverted digital microscope, Keyence VHX-6000. Images were produced from multiple stacks of adjacent frames and combined with the microscope built-in software. Either coaxial cross-polarised or ring illumination were used, depending on which retrieved a better contrast (Haug et al., 2018). Accordingly, also the function HDR was used for improved sharpness and contrast (Haug et al., 2013). The final figures were made using Photoshop CS2.

Literature data
Important depictions from the literature were simplified and redrawn using Adobe Illustrator CS2. This should allow the reader to compare the new findings to these already known ones.

Results

Description of amber piece PED 3122
General: The amber piece is 26 mm. Small midge (host) with mite attached to it (Fig. 2A). Other syninclusions present in the amber piece are two wasps, a prostigmatan mite and a dipteran insect.

Mite: Specimen preserved in ventral view. Body organised into gnathosoma and idiosoma; idiosoma with three pairs of legs, hence representing a larva. Gnathosoma attached to the dorsal side of the trunk of the host, between the posterior part of the thorax and anterior part of the abdomen, through anterior protruding structure (Fig. 2B). One pedipalp free, the other one in close proximity to its host. Four segments visible on the pedipalps. Thumb-like process of pedipalp not accessible. Urstigmata (structure between coxae of two anterior legs) and anus absent. Shape of idiosoma indicates possible engorgement of host tissue. Length of idiosoma 0.46 mm, length of leg I 1.35 mm, leg II 1.13 mm, leg III 1.46 mm. First and second basipods (“coxae”) separated from each other.

Midge: Adult male, in lateral view. Ocelli seem absent (Fig. 2D). Antenna prominent, length of antenna 1.17 mm; antenna with 12 flagellomeres (Fig. 2D); each flagellomere with an elongate constriction, equally long as the thickened portion, the latter bearing several rows of simple setae (Fig. 2D). Maxillary palpus seemingly 3-segmented, about 0.7× the height of head (Fig. 2A). Wing length 1.17 mm; wing veins hard to distinguish, but at least C, R1-2, Rs, R4-5, and one Cu present; Rs apparently complete, near midlength; R4-5 meeting C at distal part of wing (Fig. 2C). All legs missing tarsomeres distal to the first; first tarsomere very short, less than 0.2× the length of tibia on all legs (Fig. 2A). Genitalia indistinguishable.

Description of amber piece PED 3161
General: The amber piece is 15 mm. Small midge (host) with mite attached to it (Fig. 3A). A psocodean is also present in the amber piece.

Mite: Specimen preserved in dorsolateral position. Body organised into gnathosoma and idiosoma; idiosoma with three pairs of legs, hence representing a larva. Gnathosoma attached to the dorsal side of the abdomen of the host, between 3rd and 4th abdominal segment, through anterior protruding structure. One pedipalp visible. Thumb-like process of pedipalp and ventral side not accessible. Shape of idiosoma indicates possible engorgement of host tissue. Length of idiosoma 0.42 mm, length of leg I 0.32 mm.

Midge: Adult male in dorsolateral view (Fig. 3A). Ocelli indistinguishable. Antenna prominent, length of antenna 1.42 mm; antenna with 12 flagellomeres; each flagellomere with an elongate constriction, equally long as the thickened portion, the latter bearing several rows of simple setae. Maxillary palpus indistinguishable. Wings with only anterior portion visible; length of Rs 1.23 mm; veins C, R1-2, Rs, and R6 distinguishable. Rs complete, situated near the apex of Rs1-2, Rs4-5 meeting C on anterior margin. Legs and genitalia mostly indistinguishable, but first tarsomere seems elongate (>0.3) in relation to the tibia.

Description of amber piece PED 3164
General: The amber piece is 37 mm. Small midge (host) with two mites attached to it (Fig. 3D). The piece had plant debris, but no other animal biosyninclusions were found.

Mite: Two mites. Body organised into gnathosoma and idiosoma; idiosoma of both specimens with three
pairs of legs, hence representing two larvae. Gnathosoma attached to the dorsal side of the trunk of the host through anterior protruding structure, one of the mites attached to the dorsal side of the anterior part of the thorax and the second one to the dorsal side of the anterior part of the abdomen. Shape of idiosoma indicates possible engorgement of host tissue. Of specimen on thorax, length of idiosoma 0.24 mm, length of leg III 0.40 mm, leg I and II inaccessible for measurements due to overlap with the midge head, thorax, and wing veins. Of specimen on abdomen, length of idiosoma 0.48 mm, length of leg III 1.04 mm, leg I and II inaccessible for measurements due to overlap with the wing veins of the midge.

Midge: Adult male in lateral view (Fig. 3D). Ocelli absent. Length of antenna 1.32 mm; antenna with 13 flagellomeres; each flagellomere with an elongate constriction, equally long as the thickened portion, the latter bearing several rows of simple setae. Maxillary palpus apparently 3-segmented, about 0.7× the height of head. Wing length 1.23 mm; wings with only anterior portion visible; veins C, R_{1,2}, Rs, R_{4,5}, and M+R-m, distinguishable; Rs situated near the base; R_{2,3} meeting C

FIGURE 2. Fossil erythraeoidean mite on gall midge (Cecidomyiidae) from Kachin amber, Myanmar (PED 3122). A, Overview. B, Detail of the attachment point; note that the mite is only attached by its mouthparts, the long legs are not involved in attaching to the host. C, Close-up on the wings, showing gall-midge-type venation. D, Close-up on the gall-midge-type antennae.
at the wing apex. All legs missing tarsomeres distal to the first; first tarsomere very short, less than 0.2× the length of tibia on all legs. Genitalia indistinguishable.

Description of amber piece PED 3499

General: The amber piece is 19.9 mm. Small midge (host) with one mite attached to it (Fig. 3B, C). Other biosyninclusions in the amber piece are a beetle, a rake-legged mite, and three spiders.

Mite: Specimen preserved in dorsolateral position. Body organised into gnathosoma and idiosoma; idiosoma with three pairs of legs, hence representing a larva. Gnathosoma attached to the dorsal side of the anterior part of the abdomen of the host through anterior protruding structure. Due to the shape of idiosoma, it does not seem to be engorged of host tissue. Length of idiosoma 0.23 mm, length of leg I 0.63 mm, leg II 0.54 mm and leg III 0.78 mm.

Midge: Adult male in lateral view (Fig. 3B, C). Ocelli seem absent. Length of antenna 0.84 mm; antenna with 12 flagellomeres; each flagellomere with a short constriction, half as long as the thickened portion, the latter bearing several rows of simple setae. Maxillary palpus 3-segmented, about 0.62× the height of head. Wing length 1.02 mm; wing veins C, R₁+₂, Rs, R₄+₅ and one Cu present. Rs faint; R₄+₅ meeting C near the wing apex. Legs with tarsomeres attached; first tarsomere very short, less than 0.2× the length of tibia on all legs, and about 0.15× the length of second tarsomere. Genitalia indistinguishable.

Discussion

Identity of the specimens: the mites

Although many characteristics of the mites are concealed,
as is often the case for most mites embedded in amber, the general habitus and the leg length of at least twice the length of the idiosoma identify the mites in PED 3122, 3164 and 3499 as representatives of Erythraeoidea (Wohltmann et al., 2006). As they only have three pairs of legs like in almost all larvae of mites, they are identified as larvae. The habitus of the mite in PED 3161 is compatible with erythraeoidean mites, although the legs are not as long as those of the remaining specimens reported here. Nevertheless, the apparent separation between the first two basipods found in this specimen leads to its identification as Erythraeoidea. The specimen in which most characters are visible (PED 3122; Fig. 2) demonstrates, besides the long legs, absence of urstigmata and anus, and the separation of the basipods. All these characters support the interpretation of the larvae as representatives of Erythraeoidea. Based on the difference of leg length, we assume the reported mite larvae belong to at least two different species.

Unfortunately, the ventral position of the mite in PED 3122 precludes the possibility of looking at further characters (or absence of them) on the dorsal side, such as the scutum (dorsal shield), eyes and idiosomal sensillae (bothrialae sensu Southcott, 1961). The non-accessibility of these structures prohibits a further-reaching phylogenetic interpretation of the mite larvae.

The large size of the mite specimen in PED 3122 compared to its host is not only a result of the engorgement of its idiosoma due to feeding on (possibly) the haemolymph of their host, but could also be linked to the process of neosomy that has been reported for other parasitengonan mites (secretion of new cuticle without relation to moulting; Mąkol & Wohltmann, 2000; Wohltmann, 2000; Moniuszko & Mąkol, 2016), although such phenomenon has yet to be confirmed for Erythraeoidea in particular.

**Identity of the specimens: the midges**

For the midges, *i.e.*, the hosts, more characters are available. The combination of long antennae with pedunculate flagellomeres (Figs 2D, 3A, B, D), the pattern of wing venation (Figs 2C, 3A, B, D), and the lack of tibial spurs, well visible in all the fossils, is unique to the group of gall midges, Cecidomyiidae (Gagné, 1981; Sikora et al., 2019). Specimen PED 3161, with the vein C ending at the anterior margin of the wing (Fig. 3A) and a first tarsomere relatively elongate in relation to the tibia, is likely a representative of Lestremiinae (Gagné & Jaschhof, 2021).

The flagellomeres composed of an enlarged portion and a peduncle (uninodose flagellomere, as in Fig. 2D), lack of ocelli, long legs with a short first tarsomere (Figs 2A, 3B, D), indicate that PED 3164 and PED 3499 are likely representatives of a larger unnamed ingroup of Cecidomyiidae (Jaschhof, 2000, 2021; Perkovsky & Fedotova, 2017; Fedotova et al., 2022); this ingroup includes lineages traditionally considered “Porridonylinae” and “Winnertziinae”, as well as Cecidomyiinae. According to the phylogeny proposed by Sikora et al. (2019), “Porridonylinae” and “Winnertziinae” are non-monophyletic in relation to Cecidomyiinae, rendering them invalid. Specimens PED 3122 and PED 3164 lack the single apomorphy character of Cecidomyiinae (possible to be observed in these fossils), which is the number of flagellomeres different from 14. In combination, these characters allow to restrict the area of the tree for these two specimens to being outside Cecidomyiinae, but still within the larger unnamed group outlined above.

Specimen in PED 3499 has an antenna with 12 flagellomeres, which is generally considered an autapomorphy of Cecidomyiinae. Additionally, a faint vein Rs is diagnostic of Cecidomyiinae. The specimen may represent the first record of Cecidomyiinae during the Mesozoic.

In gall midges outside Cecidomyiinae (here represented by PED 3122, 3161 and 3164), the larvae are generally considered mycophagous, which is the ancestral feeding mode in Cecidomyiidae (Dorchin et al., 2019). More precisely, the larvae are associated with fungi growing under tree bark and in forest litter (Penttinen & Spungis, 2007; Fedotova et al., 2022), the same habitat as for erythraeoidean mite larvae (Wohltmann, 2000). This could explain their encounter with the parasites. Moreover, the proximity with trees also facilitates the entrapment in amber. The specimen in PED 3499, seemingly representing the lineage in which predatory and gall-forming larvae arose, may have had a different diet than mycophagy, but that is still uncertain given the lack of information about immature gall midges from the Mesozoic.

**Interaction**

The interaction of the fossils, with the mites being in contact with the midges by their anterior protruding structures, most likely representing the mouthparts, clearly indicates that it is a parasitic one. The overall posture of the fossil mites resembles that known from modern parasitic ones (*e.g.*, Southcott, 1992: fig 13; Baker & Selden, 1997: figs 9, 10; Goldarazena et al., 1999: figs 1, 2; Mąkol et al., 2010: fig. 1; Haarder & Mąkol, 2022: fig. 1A, B; Mayoral et al., 2023: fig. 1). Together with the relationship (as representatives of Erythraeoidea), it clearly provides strong indication that the mites parasitised on the midges.

**Parasitism by long-legged velvet mites on diptera**

The syninclusions here reported are considered the
oldest report of long-legged velvet mites parasitising gall midges in the fossil record, since Kachin Myanmar amber is considered to be 100 million years of age. This is close in age to, but still older than, the Grimaldi (2000) report from New Jersey amber, both being Cretaceous, although not close geographically. Therefore, the association of gall midges and long-legged velvet mites seems to have been widespread in Cretaceous times, at least in the Kachin amber forest.

Erythraeoidean mites parasite a wide range of hosts, and host specificity appears to vary between lineages (Southcott, 1992; Wohltmann, 2000). The consistency of the cases described here shows that the association between gall midges and erythraeoidean mites was probably not accidental. To the best of our knowledge, there is no record of gall midges being parasitised by long-legged velvet mites in the extant fauna. The larvae that produce galls in plants are representatives of Cecidomyiinae and are considered detrimental for crops (Harris et al., 2003; Stuart et al., 2012; McMechan et al., 2021). Due to this economic importance, gall midges of the group Cecidomyiinae and their biological associations have been widely studied. Even an ingroup of terrestrial mites of Parasitengona, Balaustium, has been proposed as a possible biological control method of their larval population through predation (Muñoz-Cárdenas et al., 2015). Nevertheless, we still lack knowledge on all other gall midge groups and their possible interactions with parasites. On the other hand, it has been estimated that hosts are still unknown for more than 20% of terrestrial mites of the group Parasitengona (Małkol et al., 2012).

Parasitism on “flying insects” (most of them do in fact not fly) is generally considered an autapomorphy for Parasitengona (Stöller et al., 2001). In the extant fauna, Diptera is only one of the many groups long-legged velvet mites (Erythraeoidea) have been found parasitising (Welbourn, 1983; Wohltmann, 2000; Stoinski et al., 2013; Bernard et al., 2019; Haarder & Małkol, 2022). Nevertheless, fossil representatives of Erythraeoidea have mainly been reported in association with dipterans (see Arillo et al., 2018; Konikiewicz & Małkol, 2018), and reports of them parasitising other groups of Euarthropoda are scarce (a moth in Poinar et al., 1991; a spider in Weitschat & Wichard, 1998, and a booklouse, also in Weitschat & Wichard, 1998). For this aspect, we have to consider that amber represents an imperfect sampling method (De Baets et al., 2021), and it should not be disregarded that the chances of finding associations between erythraeoideans and dipterans being more common than to any other euarthropodan may be the result of this bias in the fossil record. What the resin has caught while still fresh depends on the resin-producing tree, the height where the resin was produced, and the behaviour and size of the organisms, among other factors (Solórzano-Kraemer et al., 2015). Moreover, what is later found in amber is also affected by the possibilities of the tissues of the organism being preserved over time (De Baets et al., 2021). Resin tends to entrap small flying insects close to the tree bark on the trunk region of the tree (Solórzano-Kraemer et al., 2015, 2018), therefore not accurately representing the entire diversity of Euarthropoda. In consequence, dipterans are likely overrepresented in amber, leading to a higher chance of an overrepresentation of dipteran parasitic relationships as well.

Yet, even accounting for a possible bias in the fossil record, it is astonishing that the present report is not the first parasitic association between dipteran hosts and long-legged velvet mites that seem to have gone extinct. Poinar et al. (1997) also reported the presence of a long-legged velvet mite larva parasitising a non-biting midge (Chironomidae) in Canadian Cretaceous amber (79 mya). Also, this parasite-host relationship has possibly been lost in time, since there is no present counterpart of such association. Konikiewicz & Małkol (2018) have also pointed out that biting midges (Ceratopogonidae) are now considered rare hosts for erythraeoidean larvae, but this association may have been much more frequent in the past based on the fossil record (see also Fig. 1C).

In many extant representatives, long-legged velvet mites are highly resistant to dryness, compared to the other terrestrial representatives of Parasitengona (Wohltmann, 2000). This seems to have been different in the past. Therefore, a possible shift from more moist-prefering hosts to more dry-prefering ones, linked to their ability to occupy drier environments, should have occurred after the Cretaceous due to novel host associations (Konikiewicz & Małkol, 2018). Since throughout the larval stage the host not only serves as an energy source for Parasitengona, but also for dispersion (Treat, 1975; Seeman & Walter, 2023), the change to new hosts should also have enabled dispersal to new habitats.

For a parasitic relationship between two groups, the first filter is the possibility of an encounter between them (Combes, 1991). Although knowledge concerning the environment larvae of Erythraeoidea inhabit before attaching to their hosts is limited, in general, extant representatives of long-legged velvet mites are associated to litter and tree bark (Wohltmann, 2000, 2001). Larvae of gall midges represented in the present study likely inhabited under tree bark and forest litter, where erythraeoidean mites could find the adults in copula or the ovipositing females. It could be the case that extant representatives of Cecidomyiidae do not share the same biotope with erythraeoidean mites, and the probability of an encounter between these two groups is lower than in the past, leading to lack of reports of parasitism in the present fauna and crop environments. Much is still to unravel about the evolution of parasitic associations in
Parasitengona, in particular with respect to host availability in the microhabitats occupied by mite larvae. However, the present study reveals that the fact that much is yet to explore concerning current ecological associations between mites and their hosts makes interpreting the fossil record a challenging task.
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