A new species of *Bemisia* (Hemiptera, Aleyrodidae) from New Zealand
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Abstract

*Bemisia flocculosa* sp. n. is described from *Melicytus obovatus* (Violaceae) trees in New Zealand. It is similar in structure to *B. tabaci* and also to *B. capitata*, differing from the former in its wax producing habit, the associated wax producing callosity areas, and lingula shape, and from the latter in the lingula shape and lack of capitate setae. In the production of white dorsal wax secretions it resembles species previously placed in *Lipaleyrodes*, but *B. flocculosa* produces waxes along the discal/submarginal arc and in the center of the disc, rather than on the submarginal areas.
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Introduction

In March 2004, Dr Nicolas Martin discovered a novel whitefly heavily infesting *Melicytus obovatus* (Violaceae) trees in New Zealand. It was recognized as a *Bemisia* or *Lipaleyrodes* species. As the novel species is significantly distant systematically from the eight known New Zealand endemic whitefly species (*Aleyrodes*, *Asterochiton* and *Trialeurodes*), and initially was found only in and around the Botanic Gardens, it was considered a potential exotic species and a biosecurity investigation conducted. That investigation determined that the host plants of the whitefly are confined to the endemic New Zealand plant genus *Melicytus*. This, and subsequent collections from elsewhere in Canterbury (Rosa Henderson, pers. comm. August 2007), indicated that the novel whitefly probably is a New Zealand endemic.

After a careful search of the literature, and comparisons of morphology with other similar species, it was determined that this whitefly was an undescribed species. Morphologically it is similar to certain species in the genus *Bemisia*, and the living specimens have a similarity to some species formerly placed in the genus *Lipaleyrodes* that produce white waxy secretions. The new species is described here so that New Zealand authorities can distinguish it from the exotic *Bemisia* species established in New Zealand. Moreover, this species will be included in a forthcoming morphological study by the first author of the genus *Bemisia* and its similar or related genera.

*Bemisia flocculosa* sp. n.

Puparium (4th instar nymph; Figs. 1 & 6)

*Habitus*: Oval or elliptical, widest at a point opposite meso/meta-thoracic suture. Dimensions variable; length and width of holotype 830 microns long by 620 microns wide; paratype specimens 810–1020 microns long (avg. 930 microns) by 580–790 microns wide (avg. 704 microns). Body flat, color light yellowish brown. Dorsum with noticeable wax secretions consisting of white flocculent wax in a row on each side between the dorsal disk and the inner edge of the submargin and extending from the head posteriorly as far as the vasiform orifice, and a single row along the abdominal midline and thorax. The dorsal wax secretions appear serrated due to the placement along the segmental divisions, less wax appearing at the division lines. In addition to the flocculent wax, seta-like transparent...
wax rods are produced around the outside margin, presumably formed from the submarginal pore/porette combinations in those locations.

**FIGURES 1–4. Bemisia flocculosa.** (1) Holotype puparium, left side. (2) Adult compound eye, pigmentation and ommatidial connections between upper and lower eye sections. (3) Male, last abdominal segment and genital capsule (dorsal and ventral views). (4) Male, paramere lateral view.

**Morphology.** Margin with shallow irregular crenulations, numbering about 125 per side on the type specimen. Differentiated crenulations at the thoracic tracheal furrows absent; crenulations in the caudal area barely distinct from the others but generally a bit smaller. Ventral surface thoracic tracheal furrows with scattered, numerous microspines. Marginal setae in the usual locations anteriorly and posteriorly but very tiny (7–12 microns long) and often broken off during the slide mounting process. Dorsal disc flat except for raised callosities and wax producing areas occurring in a narrow arc from the cephalic area to roughly the 7th abdominal segment, and with centrally
located raised wax-producing areas on the 2nd to 7th abdominal segments along the midline and paired elevations centrally on the thoracic segments. Submargin poorly delineated, but reaching the raised calllosities and wax producing arcs delineating the outer edges of the dorsal disk. Transverse molting suture reaching but not surpassing discal callosity arc; longitudinal molting suture terminating before anterior margin, with short branches laterally at the apex. Dorsal pore/porette combinations are adjacent, with no particular alignment with the margins of the pupal case. Pores on the dorsal disc forming two single pore lines on each side of abdominal segments and also forming a sparse single band around the submargin, otherwise occurring randomly. First abdominal segment with one pair of pore/porettes on dorsal disc area. Dorsal setae consist of pairs of usually short setae on the disc and on the submargin. Most dorsal setae short, 7–12 microns long, except for the 8th abdominal setae (60–90 microns long, avg. 73.5 microns) and the caudal setae (48–78 microns long, avg. 60 microns). Occasionally, a dorsal seta may elongate to 50–66 microns long, either on the cephalothorax or the 4th abdominal segment, although usually only one seta will enlarge on any given specimen. Five pairs of dorsal disc setae along outer edge of callosity arc at edge of submarginal area, three pairs on cephalothorax and two pairs on abdomen including 8th abdominal pair; two pairs centrally on disk, one pair in area of prothorax and one pair in 1st abdominal segment; with one pair of caudal setae near posterior margin. With 9–10 pairs of shorter submarginal setae located in an arc midway between margin and callosity arc and dorsal disc. Vasiform orifice ovate to chordate, open posteriorly; operculum broadly rounded posteriorly, not totally covering lingula and covering about one half of orifice. Posterior sides of orifice with strengthening ridges but no indications of teeth or similar structures. Lingula spatulate, tapering gradually posteriorly, with a pair of setae distally.

**Third instar** (Fig. 6)

**Habitus:** Length of body (three individuals) 570–630 microns (avg. 608 microns); width 420–462 microns (avg. 438 microns). Field appearance unknown.

**Morphology:** Margin with shallow crenulations, numbering about 96–100 per side. Differentiated crenulations not apparent at ends of tracheal furrows, but those in the caudal area appear shallower. Ventral area of thoracic tracheal furrows with scattering of microspines. Marginal seta in the usual locations anteriorly and posteriorly. With 7–8 pairs of enlarged dorsal setae, lengths 60–90 microns on each individual. Submargin not delineated from dorsal disc except that the enlarged dorsal setae appear to align with the discal/submarginal arc. With 7–8 pairs of short, minute submarginal setae aligned in a single row midway between the body margin and edge of the apparent dorsal disc. Submarginal pores of simple pore/porette type sparse, in apparently two incomplete rows. Vasiform orifice chordate, lingula spatulate, similar to that of the adult.

**Second instar** (Fig. 5)

**Habitus:** Unknown.

**Morphology:** Measurements 468 microns long by 300 microns wide (based on one specimen only, a 2nd/3rd instar molt, with the 3rd instar nearly fully formed inside of 2nd). The single specimen with four pairs of enlarged dorsal setae on cephalothorax, three setae (one pair completely broken off at setal base) on the submarginal/discal area, and one longer setal pair in a more median location of the prothoracic area above the mouthparts. A dorsal discal pair of elongate setae on first abdominal segment, a setal pair each on the eighth abdominal segment lateral to the vasiform orifice and pair of caudal setae (both pairs broken off completely at setal base). Marginal and submarginal setal positions not discernable. Discal pore/porette groups in a single row on four abdominal segments and randomly scattered on cephalothorax. Locations of submarginal pore/porettes not clear due to presence of same pore groups on 3rd instar underneath. Vasiform orifice chordate, operculum not filling orifice or totally covering lingula. Lingula roundly and broadly spatulate.

**First instar.** Unknown.

**Adult** (Figs 2–4)

**Morphology:** Specimens typical of Aleurodine adults, with no outstanding or unique morphological structures. Dimensions of slide-mounted specimens: males 1.10–1.30mm long (avg. 1.18mm), females 1.20–1.42mm (avg. 1.27 mm). Dimensions of hind tibiae: males 348–414 microns long (avg. 385 microns), females 420–462 microns (avg. 433 microns); length of antennae: males 228–330 microns (avg. 286 microns), females 280–318 microns (avg. 305 microns); length of third antennal segment: males 120–132 microns (avg. 126 microns), females 126–142 microns (avg. 139 microns). Upper and lower compound eyes connected by multiple ommatidia, two on one side against two or three on the other. Length of male claspers (parameres) 138–150 microns (avg. 144 microns). Clasper without subapical teeth or other distinguishing morphology. Metatibial comb containing 18–20 setae, not
quite reaching metatibial brush apically. Dorsum of male last abdominal segment with 3 pairs of small setae in lines lateral to and posterior to anal opening and lingula.


**Material examined.** Holotype puparium second specimen from left on slide with 5 other puparia, marked with black lines: **NEW ZEALAND, MC., Christchurch, Botanical Gardens Natives Section, Site 2, NPPRL Ref. 3/2004/1050, on Melicytus aff. obovatus leaf, 19.iii.2004 (P. Holder/M. Bullians), deposited in New Zealand Arthropod Collection, Landcare Research, Auckland, New Zealand.**

Paratypes, all **NEW ZEALAND, MC, Christchurch Botanical Gardens: one slide, same data as holotype except, Ref. 3/2004/1049, with 5 pupae & 1 3rd instar nymph; one slide, same data as holotype except, Ref. 3/2004/
1048, with 9 pupae; one slide (partially handwritten label), 5 pupae, Site 10, NPPRL Ref. 3/2004/1048, on Melicytus obovatus, 19.iii.2004, no collector listed; one slide (partially handwritten label), 8 pupae, Site 5, NPPRL Ref. 3/2004/1046, on Melicytus lanceolata, 19.iii.2004 (P. Holder); one slide, 6 pupae, Site 9, NPPRL Ref. 3/2004/1048, on Melicytus obovatus, 19.iii.2004 (P. Holder/M. Bullians); one slide, 6 pupae, Site 1, NPPRL Ref. 3/2004/1085, on Melicytus alpinus, 19.iii.2004 (P. Holder/M. Bullians); one slide, 6 pupae, Site 11, NPPRL Ref. 3/2004/1048, on Melicytus obovatus, 19.iii.2004 (P. Holder/M. Bullians); one slide, 6 pupae, Site 7, NPPRL Ref. 3/2004/1048, on Melicytus obovatus, 19.iii.2004 (P. Holder/M. Bullians); one slide, 6 pupae, Site 5, NPPRL Ref. 3/2004/1046, on Melicytus lanceolatus, 19.iii.2004 (P. Holder/M. Bullians); one slide, 2 adult males, 1 adult female, ex. Melicytus obovatus, 30.vi.2008 (Peter Holder); one slide, 2 adult males, 2 adult females, 3 pupae, ex. Melicytus obovatus, 30.vi.2008 (Peter Holder); one slide, 2 adult males, 2 adult females, 2 pupae, ex. Melicytus obovatus, 30.vi.2008 (Peter Holder); one slide, 1 adult male, 1 adult female, ex. Melicytus obovatus, 30.vi.2008 (Peter Holder); numerous unmounted dry specimens on original leaves, ex. Melicytus obovatus, 30.vi.2008 (Peter Holder).

Paratypes will be distributed to: California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento California, U.S. National Museum, Beltsville, Maryland, The Natural History Museum, London, and New Zealand Arthropod Collection, Landcare Research, Auckland, New Zealand.

Biology. In the Christchurch population observed, B. flocculosa appears to be primarily univoltine, or partially bivoltine. Adults have only been seen for one six week period in late spring, from early November to mid-December on Melicytus obovatus, and approximately a week longer on M. ramiflorus. The population is highly seasonally synchronized, with nymphal life stages developing slowly, as a single cohort, over summer, winter and spring. Two distinct puparial sizes were observed. This may be a gender difference, or represent dispersive versus non-dispersive individuals. Close observation revealed that newly molted third instar nymphs were capable of rotating about their stylets.

This new species has been collected from six Melicytus species: M. obovatus; M. alpinus; M. micranthus ; M. lanceolatus ; M. flexuosus ; M. ramiflorus ; M. aff. obovatus (= sp. 1 and M. obovatus).

Distribution. This new species is so far confirmed only from Mid-Canterbury, New Zealand. In addition to the Christchurch Botanic Gardens collection records presented here, other non-paratype collections have been found on preserved and modern Melicytus micranthus at Riccarton Bush (coll. in 1957 [A.J. Healy] and 2007) and Prices Valley, Banks Peninsular (coll. 2005 (later collections N.A. Martin)). This additional collection material is housed at the New Zealand Arthropod Collection, Landcare Research, Auckland, New Zealand.
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Bemisia flocculosa has been morphologically matched with samples in the Natural History Museum, London, collected in Israel in 1986, on Melicytus sp., probably M. ramiflorus. As the host plant is a New Zealand endemic, it is concluded that the whitefly has most likely been dispersed to Israel from New Zealand with the host material (John Martin pers comm. April 2004).

Discussion

This species appears to be close morphologically to Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius), based on the open vasiform orifice, setal arrangements and positions and type of the pore/porette combinations. It differs from that species primarily in its wax producing habit, the associated wax producing callosity areas, and a somewhat different lingula shape. This species also very closely resembles Bemisia capitata Regu & David, particularly in the similar positioning of the dorsal disc setae, failure of the longitudinal molting suture to reach the anterior margin, dorsal pore/porette arrangements, and the development on B. capitata of a callosity arc, at least anteriorly. However, the shape of the lingula in B. capitata is triangular and sharply pointed compared to the spatulate, evenly rounded lingula of Bemisia flocculosa n. sp. Also, B. capitata is named for the capitate dorsal setae, which do not occur at all in this species, even on the occasional dorsal setae that enlarge. Species in the genus Lipaleyrodes, recently synonymized under Bemisia by Dubey et al (2009), also produce white dorsal wax secretions. However, unlike species formerly included in Lipaleyrodes this species produces waxes along the discal/submarginal arc and in the center of the disc, rather than on the submarginal areas in Lipaleyrodes.

Bemisia flocculosa is morphologically close to a single specimen collected at Mangareva, Gambiers Island in 1934. The host is not given in this early collection record, but the elevation is 1000ft, which may be significant given the apparent cold hardiness of the new taxon. However, the one specimen from Gambiers has two pore/porette pairs on the first abdominal segment instead of the one pair in B. flocculosa, the lingula is more elongate than in B. flocculosa, and the rugose wax producing areas in B. flocculosa are missing in this specimen, which has small, widely separated protuberances in these areas. Since this type of variation has not been seen in B. flocculosa, this one insular specimen may instead be more closely related to the B. afer complex of species.

Molecular data (CO1) suggests that this new species is a Bemisia, and a phylogenetic tree developed by Brown (in Gill and Brown, 2010) places it in between the B. tabaci and B. afer complex of species (see Fig: 1.3, listed as NZEAL1 and NZEAL2).
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