

Copyright © 2013 Magnolia Press





http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3741.4.1

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:0D2276A9-5295-4622-BCE8-A7D996A30367

Using various lines of evidence to identify *Chironomus* species (Diptera: Chironomidae) in eastern Canadian lakes

ISABELLE PROULX¹, JON MARTIN², MELISSA CAREW³ & LANDIS HARE^{1,4}

¹Institut national de la recherche scientifique – Centre Eau Terre Environnement, 490 rue de la Couronne, Quebec City, Quebec, G1K 9A9, Canada. E-mails: isabelle_proulx@hotmail.com & landis@ete.inrs.ca

²Department of Genetics, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. E-mail: j.martin@unimelb.edu.au ³Centre for Aquatic Pollution Identification and Management, Bio21 Institute, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. E-mail: mecarew@unimelb.edu.ca

⁴Corresponding author

Table of contents

Abstract
Introduction
Methods
Results and discussion
Species delimitation and identification 411
Species descriptions and taxonomic status
Chironomus (Chironomus) 'tigris'
Chironomus (Chironomus) staegeri Lundbeck (1898)
Chironomus (Chironomus) frommeri Sublette and Sublette (1971)
<i>Chironomus (Chironomus) cucini</i> Webb (1969)
<i>Chironomus</i> sp. NAII
Chironomus sp. NAIII (possibly C. decumbens (Malloch 1934))
Chironomus sp. NAI (C. anthracinus-group)
Chironomus (Chironomus) anthracinus Zetterstedt (1860)
<i>Chironomus (Chironomus) entis</i> Shobanov (1989)
Chironomus (Chironomus) plumosus Linnaeus (1758)
Chironomus (Chironomus) maturus Johannsen (1908)
Chironomus (Chironomus) decorus-group sp. 2 Butler et al. (1995)
Chironomus (Chironomus) harpi Sublette (in Wülker et al. 1991)
Chironomus (Chironomus) bifurcatus Wuelker, Martin, Kiknadze, Sublette and Michiels (2009)
Chironomus (Chironomus) dilutus Shobanov, Kiknadze and Butler (1999)
Chironomus (Chaetolabis) ochreatus Townes (1945)430
Chironomus (Chaetolabis) nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i) Martin (2013)
Morphological key to larvae of the Chironomus species collected in our study 431
Approaches used to delimit <i>Chironomus</i> species
Overall conclusions and recommendations for identifying Chironomus species
Acknowledgements
References
Supplementary information (Tables S1-S5)

Abstract

Chironomus Meigen (Diptera, Chironomidae) larvae are usually the largest sediment-burrowing chironomids, and as such often constitute a major part of the freshwater infaunal biomass. However, use of this genus in ecological, environmental and paleoecological studies is hampered by the fact that *Chironomus* larvae are difficult to identify to species because the larvae of many species are morphologically similar. We used a combination of morphological, cytological and genetic techniques to distinguish *Chironomus* larvae collected from 31 water bodies located in eastern Canada, producing 17 distinguishable groupings. These groups of larvae were ultimately identified as belonging to 14 known species (*C. anthraci*-

nus, C. bifurcatus, C. cucini, C. decorus-group sp. 2, C. dilutus, C. entis, C. frommeri, C. harpi, C. maturus, C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i), C. ochreatus, C. plumosus, C. staegeri and C. 'tigris') and three other species that remain unidentified (C. sp. NAI-III). No single approach served to delimit and identify larvae of all 17 Chironomus species that we collected. Although we expected that morphological criteria alone would be insufficient, our results suggest that DNA barcoding, using either the mitochondrial cox1 or the nuclear $gb2\beta$ gene, was also inadequate for separating some Chironomus species. Thus we suggest that multiple approaches will often be needed to correctly identify Chironomus larvae to species.

Key words: Chironomus, morphology, cytology, DNA barcoding, cox1, gb2β, Canada

Introduction

The insect genus *Chironomus* Meigen (Diptera, Chironomidae) is found in fresh waters on all continents except Antarctica. It includes several hundred species, now classified into three subgenera (*Chaetolabis*, *Chironomus*, *Lobochironomus*) (the subgenus *Camptochironomus* is no longer recognized—see Sæther (2012)), as well as other species that are yet to be described (Ryser *et al.* 1985; Ashe & Cranston 1990; Martin 2013). In lakes from the tropics (Hare & Carter 1986), to the temperate (Jónasson 1972), to the Arctic (Butler 1982), *Chironomus* larvae are usually the largest sediment-burrowing chironomid and often represent a major part of the infaunal biomass. Thus *Chironomus* larvae can be an important source of food for fish and are widely used in ecological (Jónasson 1972), environmental (Martin *et al.* 2008) and paleoecological (Brooks *et al.* 2007) studies of fresh waters. If we are to understand their roles in aquatic ecosystems, it is important to be able to correctly identify *Chironomus* species.

The identification of *Chironomus* larvae to species can be problematic because there are few conspicuous morphological differences among many *Chironomus* species (Lindeberg & Wiederholm 1979). As a result, larvae are often referred to simply as *Chironomus* spp. (Nyman *et al.* 2005) or at best are grouped into types according to the presence and form of their abdominal tubules (Shobanov *et al.* 1996) or the shape of their mouth parts (Brooks *et al.* 2007). Such groupings can limit the use of *Chironomus* larvae in ecological, environmental and paleoecological studies because behavioural and ecological differences among species are often important. For example, cadmium concentrations in sympatric *Chironomus* species can vary by an order of magnitude because of differences in their feeding habits and consequent contaminant exposure (Martin *et al.* 2008; Proulx & Hare 2008, 2013). Pooling such species would clearly limit their use as contaminant biomonitors. If we cannot correctly identify *Chironomus* larvae to species, then it is difficult to use them to infer environmental impacts.

In early studies, features of the head capsule and abdominal tubules were used to identify *Chironomus* larvae to species (Johannsen 1937). Subsequently, *Chironomus* species were also separated on the basis of the structure of polytene chromosomes located in their salivary glands (Keyl 1962; Martin 1979; Wülker *et al.* 1989). In the last decade or so, genetic techniques have been used to supplement these earlier taxonomic methods. For example, the polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) approach has been used to produce species-specific DNA profiles that can differentiate among *Chironomus* species (Carew *et al.* 2003; Sharley *et al.* 2004). This technique involves first amplifying specific genes or regions with PCR, and then digesting the resulting PCR amplicons with restriction endonucleases. Restriction endonucleases cut PCR amplicons differentially based on nucleotide differences in their DNA sequence, thereby generating a species-specific RFLP or DNA profile. The DNA profiles are visualised by gel electrophoresis as DNA fragments of different lengths. Although this method is inexpensive and useful for screening large numbers of individuals, it only examines a subset of the variation present in PCR amplicons (Pfrender *et al.* 2010).

Another genetic technique used to separate and identify species is DNA sequencing (also known as Sanger sequencing) of PCR amplicons. This technique, referred to as DNA barcoding when used for identifying species, is more exact than PCR-RFLP as it detects all nucleotide differences. The standard gene used for DNA barcoding is the 3' end of the mitochondrial *cytochrome oxidase subunit I* (*cox1*; Hebert *et al.* 2003). Advantages of using the *cox1* gene are that universal primers are able to amplify this gene from many animal groups (Folmer *et al.* 1994) and sequence variations in *cox1* can be used to discriminate among many closely-related species (Hebert *et al.* 2004a). In insects, DNA barcoding using the *cox1* gene has been used to identify species from a range of groups including the Collembola (Hogg & Hebert 2004), the Ephemeroptera (Ball *et al.* 2005; Elderkin *et al.* 2012), the Coleoptera (Davis *et al.* 2011) and the Chironomidae (Carew *et al.* 2007; Ekrem *et al.* 2007; Pfenninger *et al.* 2007; Sinclair & Gresens 2008; Ekrem *et al.* 2010; Carew *et al.* 2011; Stur & Ekrem 2011). Although *cox1* sequences can

be used to separate the majority of species, its mitochondrial origin is problematic for *Chironomus* because some species are known to hybridize (Martin 2011). Therefore, including sequence data from additional nuclear markers whose mode of inheritance differs from mitochondrial genes is required (Guryev *et al.* 2001; Martin *et al.* 2002; Martin 2011). To this end, the nuclear gene globin 2β (gb2 β) has been used in several studies on *Chironomus* species (Kao *et al.* 1994; Hankeln *et al.* 1997; Guryev *et al.* 2001; Guryev & Blinov 2002; Martin *et al.* 2002).

We applied morphological, cytological and genetic techniques to identify *Chironomus* larvae collected in 31 water bodies in eastern Canada to determine what combination of techniques would allow us to accurately identify the *Chironomus* species. To date, very few studies have used multiple techniques to discriminate among *Chironomus* species. We anticipate that the results of our study will be useful to those wishing to identify North American *Chironomus* species and will provide useful tools to those wishing to identify *Chironomus* species on other continents. The ability to accurately identify *Chironomus* species should facilitate future ecological and environmental studies in this and other geographical zones.

Methods

Collection and dissection of larval *Chironomus.* We collected fourth-instar *Chironomus* larvae from 31 water bodies (Table 1) located in the provinces of Quebec (near Quebec City, Rouyn-Noranda and Trois-Rivières) and Ontario (near Sudbury), Canada. The collection period extended from ice-off in late spring (May) to early summer (June) in various years from 2006 to 2011 (Table 1). Exceptionally, in Lake Bédard, *Chironomus* were collected at the end of the summer (September). Sediments were collected using an Ekman grab and sieved through a net to eliminate fine sediment and retain *Chironomus* larvae. Larvae were preserved in 94% ethanol.

Depth and water chemistry were measured at each collecting site (Table 1). Water samples were filtered *in situ* using diffusion samplers (Ponton & Hare 2009). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was measured by combustion and transformation into CO_2 (TOC-VCPH, Shimadzu, Columbia, MD, USA) and magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) concentrations were measured by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, Vista AX CCD, Varian, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). Quality assurance of water chemistry measurements was assured through the use of blanks and appropriate standard reference materials. At the time of sampling, the water columns of all lakes were well mixed and oxygenated.

Head capsule and terminal abdominal segments with attached tubules were separated and kept for morphological studies, whereas the rest of the body was retained for genetic analyses. In addition, three individuals of each species (as determined by genetic analyses and morphology) were chosen at random for examination of their polytene chromosomes. For this purpose, the thoracic segments containing the salivary glands were preserved in a 3:1 mixture of 94% ethanol to glacial acetic acid (not all specimens showed chromosomal patterns of sufficient quality for species identification). Exceptionally, 41 larvae of *C. entis* and *C. plumosus* were examined cytologically to validate genetic results for these species.

Genetic analyses. Polymerase chain reaction—restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis was performed on *Chironomus* larvae collected in 2006 and 2007. Specimens of each PCR-RFLP profile were subsequently sequenced for further DNA analysis. Specimens collected in subsequent years were sequenced directly.

DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from larvae using the modified Chelex method (Carew *et al.* 2003). Briefly, the larval body (minus the head and terminal segments) was dried using a paper towel and placed in a 0.5 mL plastic microcentrifuge tube. Individuals with large amounts of sediment in their gut were avoided as this can inhibit the PCR (Carew *et al.* 2003). Tubes were immersed in liquid nitrogen and the contents crushed into a powder using a pestle, 400µL of suspended 5% Chelex-100 resin (BioRad) was added and samples were incubated at 90 °C for 30 min. Extracts were stored at -20 °C until required for the PCR procedure.

Polymerase chain reactions. PCR amplification of portions of the cox1 and the $gb2\beta$ genes was carried out in a 40 µL reaction mixture containing: 1x PCR pH 8.8 buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.1% Triton X-100; New England Biolabs (NEB)), 200 µM each of deoxynucleotide triphosphate (dNTPs), 0.4 mg/mL of bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.5 µM of forward and reverse primers (see Table 2), 1 unit of *Taq* DNA polymerase (NEB), and 5 µL of Chelex DNA extraction supernatant taken from just above the resin after centrifugation at 15,000 relative centrifugal force for 2 min (Carew *et al.* 2003). All $gb2\beta$ gene primers tested

Water bodyCodeYearDept (m)QUEBEC CITY (QC)BE 2009 $4-6$ Lake BédardBE 2009 $4-6$ Lake BédardBE 2010 3.5 St. Charles RiverSC 2010 $1-3$ St. Charles RiverSC 2010 $1-3$ St. Charles RiverSC 2010 $1-3$ Lake St. JosephSJ 2006 $6-2^{2}$ Lake St. JosephSJ 2006 $1-3$ Lake St. JosephAD 2006 $1-3$ Lake St. JosephAD 2006 $1-3$ Lake AtmouxAR 2006 $1-3$ Lake AtmouxAR 2006 $1-3$ Lake AtmouxAR 2006 $1-3$ Lake DufaultDF 2006 , 2010 $3-5$ Lake DufaultDF 2006 , 2007 , 2010 $5-6$ Lake DufaultDF 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $1-2$ Lake MarlonMN 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $1-2$ Lake OpasaticaOP 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$ Lake OpasaticaOP 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$ Lake OpasaticaOP 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$ Lake OpasaticaOP 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$ Lake OpasaticaOP 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$ Lake OpasaticaOP 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$ Lake OpasaticaOP 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$ <th>1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Water che</th> <th>mistry</th> <th></th> <th></th>	1				Water che	mistry		
QUEBEC CTTY (QC) BE 2009 4-6 Lake Bédard BE 2010 3,5 Lake Saint Augustin AU 2010 3,5 St. Charles River SC 2010 1-3 Lake St. Joseph SJ 2006 6-2² Lake St. Joseph AD 2007 1-3 Lake Adéline AD 2006 14 Lake Armoux AR 2010 1.5-4 Lake Armoux AR 2006 14 Lake Bousquet DA 2006 3-5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2007, 2010 5-6 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2007, 2009 2-6 Lake Dufault MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 2-9 Lake Opasatica OP	Depth (m)	Maximum depth (m)	Location	Ηd	Ca (µM)	Mg (µM)	DOC (mg/L)	Trophic status ^b
Lake Bédard BE 2009 $4-6$ Lake Saint Augustin AU 2010 $3,5$ St. Charles River SC 2010 $3,5$ St. Charles River SC 2010 $1-3$ Lake St. Joseph SJ 2006 $6-2^2$ Lake St. Joseph SJ 2006 $6-2^2$ ROUYN-NORANDA (QC) AD 2006 $6-2^2$ Lake Adéline AD 2006 $6-2^2$ Lake Adéline AD 2006 14 Lake Arnoux AR 2010 $1.5-4$ Lake Adeline BO 2006 14 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006 $3-5$ Lake D'Alembert DA 2006 $3-5$ Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2010 $3-5$ Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2007, 2010 $5-6$ Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009 $2-6$ Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009 $2-9$ Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009 $2-9$ Lake Opasat								
Lake Saint AugustinAU 2010 3.5 St. Charles RiverSC 2010 $1-3$ St. Charles RiverSC 2006 $6-24$ Lake St. JosephSJ 2006 $6-24$ ROUYN-NORANDA (QC)AD 2006 $6-24$ Lake AthouxAR 2007 14 Lake AthouxAR 2006 14 Lake AthouxAR 2006 $3-5$ Lake BousquetBO 2006 $3-5$ Lake DustentDF 2006 , 2010 $3-5$ Lake DustentDF 2006 , 2010 $3-5$ Lake DupratDF 2006 , 2007 , 2010 $5-7$ Lake BuyenetFO 2006 , 2007 , 2010 $5-7$ Lake MarlonMN 2006 , 2007 , 2009 $2-9$ Lake MarlonMN 2006 , 2007 , 2009 $2-9$ Lake OpasaticaOP 2006 , 2007 , 2009 $2-9$ Lake OsiskoOS 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$ Lake OsiskoOS 2006 , 2007 , 2009 , 2010 $2-9$	4–6	10	47°16'N, 71°07'W	5.7-8.3	35–69	11-17	3.6-5.3	mesotrophic ^c
St. Charles River SC 2010 1–3 Lake St. Joseph SJ 2006 6–24 ROUYN-NORANDA (QC) Lake Adéline AD 2007 6–24 Lake Adéline AD 2007 1.5–4 Lake Adeline AD 2007 14 Lake Arnoux AR 2010 1.5–4 Lake Arnoux AR 2010 3–5 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006, 2010 3–5 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006, 2010 3–5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2010 3–5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2007, 2010 5–7 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2007, 2010 5–6 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2007,	3,5		46°45'N, 71°24'W					eutrophic (2003) ^d
Lake St. Joseph SJ 2006 6–24 ROUYN-NORANDA (QC) Lake Adéline AD 2007 6–24 Lake Adéline AD 2007 15–4 Lake Arnoux AR 2010 1.5–4 Lake Arnoux AR 2010 1.5–4 Lake Arnoux AR 2006 14 Lake Bousquet BO 2006 5 Lake Dustent DA 2006, 2010 3–5 Lake Dufrault DF 2006, 2010 5–7 Lake Dufrault DF 2006, 2010 5–7 Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2007, 2010 5–7 Lake Kinojėvis K1 2006, 2007, 2010 5–7 Lake Kinojėvis K1 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 2–9 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2	1–3	3	46°49'N, 71°13'W					
ROUYN-NORANDA (QC) Lake Adéline AD 2007 Lake Adéline AP 2010 1.5-4 Lake Arnoux AR 2010 1.5-4 Lake Arnoux AR 2010 1.5-4 Lake Bousquet BO 2006 14 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006 5 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dufrault DF 2006, 2007, 2010 5-7 Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2007, 2010 5-6 Lake Fortune FO 2006 7-8 Lake Kinojévis KI 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 2-9 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 5-5	6-24	37	46°53'N, 71°38'W	7.1				oligo-mesotrophic ^d
Lake Adéline AD 2007 Lake Arnoux AR 2010 1.5-4 Lake Arnoux BO 2006 14 Lake Bousquet BO 2006 5 Lake Dustent DA 2006 5 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006 3-5 Lake D'Alembert DS 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Duprat DF 2006, 2010 5-7 Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2007, 2010 5-7 Lake Fortune FO 2006 7-8 Lake Kinojévis K1 2006 7-8 Lake Kinojévis K1 2006, 2007, 2010 1-2 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 5-5								
Lake Arnoux AR 2010 1.5-4 Lake Bousquet BO 2006 14 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006 5 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2010 5-7 Lake Dufrault DF 2006, 2007, 2010 5-7 Lake Fortune FO 2006 7-8 Lake Kinojévis KI 2006 7-8 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 5-5			48°12'N, 79°10'W					
Lake Bousquet BO 2006 14 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006 5 Lake D'Alembert DA 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dusserat DS 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2010 5-7 Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2007, 2010 5-7 Lake Fortune FO 2006 7-8 Lake Kinojévis K1 2006 7-8 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 2-9	1.5-4.5	4.5	48°15'N, 79°20'W	3.8-4.4	286–296	146–156	0.1 - 1.1	
Lake D'Alembert DA 2006 5 Lake Dasserat DS 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dufrault DF 2006, 2007, 2010 5-7 Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2007, 2010 5-6 Lake Fortune FO 2006 7-8 Lake Kinojévis K1 2006 7-8 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	14	18	48°13'N, 78°39'W	6.9^{a}				
Lake Dasserat DS 2006, 2010 3-5 Lake Dufault DF 2006, 2007, 2010 5-7 Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2007, 2010 5-7 Lake Fortune FO 2006 7-8 Lake Kinojévis K1 2006 7-8 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	5		48°23'N, 79°01'W					eutrophic (2009–2011) ^d
Lake Dufault DF 2006 2006 4 Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2007, 2010 5–7 Lake Fortune FO 2006 7–8 Lake Kinojévis K1 2006 7–8 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	3-5	17	48°17'N, 79°25'W	7.5-7.6	205–223	67	5.9-6.3	
Lake Duprat DP 2006, 2007, 2010 5-7 Lake Fortune FO 2006 5-6 Lake Kinojévis K1 2006 7-8 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009 2-9 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	4	19	48°17'N, 79°00'W	7.7	392	110	3.8	oligo-mesotrophic (2010) ^d
Lake Fortune FO 2006 5-6 Lake Kinojėvis K1 2006 7-8 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1-2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009 2-9 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	5-7	7.5	48°20'N, 79°07'W	6.8-7.6	140–178	4557	2.9-6.6	
Lake Kinojévis K1 2006 7–8 Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009 2–9 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	5-6		48°11'N, 79°19'W	7.6				oligo-mesotrophic (2008) ^d
Lake Marlon MN 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010 1–2 Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009 2–9 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	7–8		48°08'N, 78°54'W	7	363	109	5.6	
Lake Opasatica OP 2006, 2007, 2009 2–9 Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	0 1-2	2	48°16'N, 79°04'W	7.1–7.7	160–168	58-61	8.4-7.8	meso-eutrophic ^d
Lake Osisko OS 2006, 2009, 2010 5.5, 6	2–9	60	48°10'N, 79°20'W	7.4-8.0	213–216	107-115	6.7-7.4	mesotrophic (2008) ^d
	5.5, 6.5	6.5	48°15'N, 79°00'W	7.8-8.5	069	183	2.3	
Lake Pelletier PE 2010 5	5		48°13'N, 79°03'W	8.3	826	258	3.7	meso-eutrophic ^d
Lake Rouyn RO 2010 3.5	3.5-4		48°15'N, 78°57'W	8	2060	289	3.5	meso-eutrophic ^d
Lake Vaudray VA 2010 35	35	35	48°04'N, 78°41'W	7.1	79	36	8.7	oligotrophic (2011) ^d
TROIS-RIVIERES (QC)								
unnamed pond PO 2007 1	1	1	46°13'N, 72°39'W					

...... continued on the next page

(Continued)	
TABLE 1.	

							Water che	emistry		
Water body	Code	Year	Depth (m)	Maximum depth (m)	Location	μd	Ca (µM)	Mg (μM)	DOC (mg/L)	Trophic status ^b
SUDBURY (ON)										
Kasten (Bibby) Lake	KA	2007	7.5	8	46°22'N, 80°58'W	6.8	69	45	4.4	oligotrophic (2008) ^e
Clearwater Lake	CL	2007	19	19	46°22'N, 80°03'W	6.2	109	43	2.3	oligotrophic ^e
Crooked Lake	CR	2007	5-6	8	46°25'N, 81°02'W	6.7	71	48	3.6	oligotrophic ^e
Hannah Lake	HA	2007, 2010	7-7.5	7.5	46°27'N, 81°02'W	7.4-7.9	258–265	147–151	3.5–3.7	oligotrophic ^e
Kelly Lake	KE	2010, 2011	1.5–5	17	46°27'N, 81°04'W	7.5, 8.4, resp.	4596, 3683, resp.	1267, 614, resp.	7.0, 5.1, resp.	eutrophic (2008) ^e
McFarlane Lake	MC	2007	10	18	46°25'N, 80°57'W	7.8	430	227	4.2	oligo-mesotrophic ^e
Pine Lake	ΡΙ	2010	4–6	9	46°23'N, 81°01'W	5.7	25	15	1.7	
Raft Lake	RA	2010	10	14	46°25'N, 80°57'W	7.3	78	45	2.2	oligotrophic ^e
Ramsey Lake	RM	2007	12	18	46°28'N, 80°57'W	7.1	381	193	3.1	mesotrophic ^e
Silver Lake	SI	2007, 2011	4	10	46°26'N, 81°01'W	5.9, 7.0, resp.	194, 283, resp.	117, 170, resp.	2.7, 3.4, resp.	oligotrophic ^e
Tilton Lake	ΤΙ	2007-2011	4	12	46°21'N, 81°04'W	6.6, 7.1, resp.	89, 78, resp.	40, 37, resp.	2.3, 3.2, resp.	oligotrophic ^e
^a Fortin <i>et al.</i> (2010)										
^b Trophic status determ	uined for th	he collecting years, ur	nless mentio	ned otherwise	in parentheses					
^c Trophic status inferre	d from tot.	al phosphorus and chi	lorophyll a (data not publi	ished, personal comm	nunication from J	Jean-Christian Auclair	r, INRS – Centre Ea	au Terre Environn	ement)

^d Trophic status inferred from total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a* and water transparency (Ministère du Développement durable de l'Environnement et des Parcs 2012) ^e Trophic status inferred from total phosphorus measurements (City of Greater Sudbury 2013)

in our study are listed in Table 2. The primers used to amplify the $gb2\beta$ gene for each species are given in Table 4. For the cox1 gene, the PCR thermal regime consisted of an initial denaturation cycle of 94 °C for 3 min; followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 15 s, annealing at 45 °C for 45 s, elongation at 72 °C for 1 min; and one cycle at 72 °C for 1 min. The PCR thermal regime for the $gb2\beta$ gene was the same, but in some instances an annealing temperature of 50 °C was used. All PCRs had a negative control with no DNA template added. PCR products were verified by electrophoresis on a 1.5% Tris-Acetate-EDTA agarose gel with ethidium bromide. PCR product sizes were estimated using Hyper Ladder II (Bioline).

Gene	Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Reference
	(forward (for) or reverse (rev))		
coxl	911 (for)	TTTCTACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG	Folmer <i>et al.</i> (1994)
	912 (rev)	TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA	
gb2β	wyk1b (for)	GAYATCCTTTACTACTYTT	Modified version of Kao <i>et al.</i> (1994) wyk1 primer
	wyk4 (rev)	GACCTTGTGTCCAGGC	Kao et al. (1994)
	wyk3 (rev)	GTGTTTCCATAGCTGGC	
	<i>2β</i> -B (for)	GATATCCTTTACTACATC	Hankeln et al. (1997)
	2β -A (rev)	CGATGTCAATAAATACATG	
	2β con for (for)	CCAGACATCATGGCTAA	
	2β con rev (rev)	CTTGACAACATCTTCGAC	

TABLE 2. Primers used in this study.

Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. Digest enzymes were chosen based on previous publications on chironomid identification using PCR-RFLP (Carew *et al.* 2003; Sharley *et al.* 2004; Carew *et al.* 2005; Carew *et al.* 2007). The PCR products from *cox1* were cleaved using restriction endonucleases with 4 base pairs (bp) (*Alu* I, *Rsa* I, *Taq* I) and 6 bp (*Hha* I, *Hinf* I, *Ssp* I) recognition sites. All digests were carried out as described by Carew *et al.* (2003) in a 20 μ L reaction mixture containing: 10 μ L of PCR product, 1x recommended buffer, 0.1 mg/mL BSA and variable units of restriction endonucleases (3 units for *Alu* I, *Rsa* I and *Ssp* I, 4 units for *Taq* I and 6 units for *Hha* I and *Hinf* I; NEB). Restriction digests were separated via electrophoresis for 2h at 100V on a 3% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and observed under UV light. The size of digest fragments was estimated with a 50 bp ladder (Promega). Fragment sizes below 100 bp were ignored, as they were not always clearly discernible on the agarose gels. To verify results obtained from these digests, we simulated digests of the corresponding *cox1* sequences using the New England BioLabs NEBCutter V2.0 program (http://tools.neb.com/NEBcutter2/). Simulation digests were also performed on the *cox1* sequences of larvae for which RFLP digests were not made.

DNA sequencing analysis. The cox1 (709 bp) and $gb2\beta$ (332–394 bp) gene products were purified and sequenced in both directions using the forward and reverse primers used for PCR amplification by Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) or by the research center at the Centre hospitalier universitaire de Québec (Quebec, Canada) on an ABI3730 XL automatic DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) and were aligned using BioEdit 7.1.3.0 (Hall 1999). All sequences used for DNA analyses were submitted to GenBank (KF278208-KF278447; KF278449-KF278450). Sequences from cox1 were aligned using CLUSTAL W (Thompson *et al.* 1994). Due to the presence of introns in some species, $gb2\beta$ -sequences were aligned manually according to Hankeln *et al.* (1997). Sequences were analyzed in MEGA 5.05 (Tamura *et al.* 2011). Primer sequences for each gene were excluded from the analysis. Since our goal was to separate *Chironomus* species based on sequence similarities, rather than to infer interspecific phylogenetic relationships, identification trees (ID-trees) based on cox1-sequences and $gb2\beta$ -sequences were built using the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) (Saitou & Nei 1987) algorithm. The pairwise distances are low (Nei & Kumar 2000), as in our study. Bootstrap analysis was performed with 1000 replicates. For the cox1 identification (ID) tree, *Polypedilum aviceps, Drosophila affinis* and *Glyptotendipes lobiferus* sequences from GenBank were added as

outgroups. In the case of the $gb2\beta$ ID-tree, because this gene is quite variable and because the only really conserved regions are also conserved in the *globin* genes 7*A* (*gb7A*) and 9 (*gb9*) (Hankeln *et al.* 1997), *Chironomus* species sequences of the gb7A and gb9 genes were also added to make sure that all sequences obtained for our specimens were of the $gb2\beta$ gene. The $gb2\beta$ primers did in fact amplify the gb7A gene from *C*. (*Chaetolabis*) nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i). Pairwise intraspecific and interspecific nucleotide-sequence divergences were also calculated for all sequences using the K2P model in MEGA 5.05. Since some authors have expressed reservations about using divergence thresholds to separate species (DeSalle *et al.* 2005), including those of *Chironomus* (Martin 2011), we also used specific base differences to quantify differences between some closely-related species.

Morphological analysis. Larval length was measured under a dissecting microscope and head capsule width (at the level of the eyes) and abdominal tubule lengths were measured using a microscope linked to an imageanalysis system.

Chironomus were sorted according to larval type on the basis of the presence or absence, types and length of abdominal tubules using a dissecting microscope. Although there have been several attempts to classify Chironomus larvae into types based on the morphology of their tubules (Harnisch 1942; Andersen 1949; Lindeberg & Wiederholm 1979; Shobanov et al. 1996; Shobanov 2002), the definitions in these schemes have been inconsistent and in some cases contradictory. In general we have returned to the original scheme of Harnisch (1942), for which many of the types were well-illustrated by Andersen (1949). To this scheme we have introduced two additional types, bathophilus-type and melanotus-type, from the more recent scheme of Shobanov (2002). While the early schemes clearly recognised the coiled nature of the ventral tubules of C. thummi (now C. riparius) and C. plumosus, this aspect was lost in later classifications, such as those of Lindeberg and Wiederholm (1979) and Shobanov (2002), which were based only on the length of the tubules. We have found the distinction between coiled and relatively straight tubules to be consistent within species, and also a useful distinction among species. The bathophilus- and melanotus-types can fill this gap but only if the Shobanov (2002) definition is broadened to cover larvae with long ventral tubules, but without the typical coiling of those seen in thummi-type and plumosustype. It should be noted that Shobanov (2002) introduced the melanotus-type to replace the anthracinus-type because C. anthracinus does not have an anthracinus-type larva (i.e. with lateral tubules), but is a typical thummitype (i.e. without lateral tubules) (see larval description in the "Results and discussion" section). Further, the anthracinus-type, along with the semi-thummi- or semi-bathophilus-types, were intended to define in part larvae with very small lateral tubules, but we have found it difficult to draw a clear line between short and long lateral tubules and so have ignored this criterion. Our amended version of the larval classification is presented in Table 3.

Larval type	Pair of lateral	Two pairs of ventral tubules on 11th segme	nt ^a
	tubules on 10 th segment	Anterior pair	Posterior pair
salinarius	absent	absent	absent
halophilus	absent	absent or short	short
bathophilus	absent	straight; long	straight; long
fluviatilis ^b	absent	slightly curved, coming to a point at ends; long	slightly curved, coming to a point at ends; long
thummi	absent	with elbow; long	coiled; long
reductus	present	absent	absent
semireductus	present	straight; short	straight or may be slightly curved; short
melanotus	present	straight or slightly curved; long	straight or slightly curved; long
plumosus	present	with elbow; long	coiled; long

TABLE 3. Classification of *Chironomus* larval types. See Fig. 1 for illustrations of ventral tubules.

^a long: ventral tubules \geq the width of 11th segment

short: ventral tubules < the width of 11th segment

^b Often hard to distinguish from bathophilus-type



FIGURE 1. Ventral tubules of the various larval types: salinarius and reductus (a), bathophilus and melanotus (b), fluviatilis (c), thummi and plumosus (d), as well as semireductus (e).



Pale

FIGURE 2. Dorsal view of larval head-capsules showing variation among species in the color of the frontoclypeus from pale (*C.* sp. NAIII) to dark (from left to right: *C. 'tigris'*, *C. cucini*, *C. dilutus*).

Dark



Pale

Slightly darkened Posteriorly darkened

Strongly darkened

Completely darkened

FIGURE 3. Ventral view of larval head-capsules showing variation among species in the color of the gula from pale (*C. maturus*) to slightly darkened (*C. harpi*), to posteriorly darkened (*C. nr. atroviridis* (sp. 2i)), to strongly darkened (*C. staegeri*), to completely darkened (*C. 'tigris'*).



Type A Outer teeth almost completely fused to middle tooth



Type B Outer teeth only partially separated from middle tooth.



Type C Outer teeth almost completely separated from middle tooth.



Type D Outer teeth completely separated from middle tooth.

FIGURE 4. Types of mentum middle trifid tooth: (type A) C. nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i), (type B) C. *cucini*, (type C) C. *staegeri*, (type D) C. *maturus* (left) and C. *plumosus* (right).



Type I 4th lateral tooth the same size as the 3rd and 5th lateral teeth

Type II 4th lateral tooth reduced, it is the same height as the 5th lateral tooth

Type III 4th lateral tooth greatly reduced, it is shorter than the 5th lateral tooth

FIGURE 5. Types of mentum 4th lateral teeth (Webb & Scholl 1985; Vallenduuk & Moller Pillot 1997): (type I) *C. plumosus*, (type II) *C. staegeri*, (type III) *C. cucini*.

Head capsules were separated into parts and mounted in Canada Balsam so as to determine the coloration of the frontoclypeus (Fig. 2) and the gula (Fig. 3) as well as the structure of: the central trifid tooth (Fig. 4) and 4th lateral teeth of the mentum (Webb & Scholl 1985; Vallenduuk & Moller Pillot 1997) (Fig. 5), the mandibles (Fig. 6), the pecten epipharyngis (Fig. 7) and the ventromental plates (Webb *et al.* 1985). We developed a classification scheme based on differences in the central trifid tooth of the mentum (Fig. 4) and teeth of the mandibles (Fig. 6) that is based in part on the previous classifications of Webb and Scholl (1985) and Vallenduuk and Moller Pillot (1997), but that better encompasses the range in variation we observed in these structures. For example, Webb and Scholl (1985) classified the central trifid tooth of the larval mentum according to the degree of fusion of its three

component teeth, the width of the middle tooth, and the height of the outer teeth relative to the middle tooth. We found that the latter two criteria varied substantially within species and so considered only the first of these three criteria for that character. We note that although the degree of sharpness of the teeth of the mentum has been used for separating some *Chironomus* species (Martin 2013), this feature varied widely within the species under study and thus we did not use it for separating our study species. Lastly, we used the coloration of the 3rd inner mandibular tooth and its degree of fusion with the lower mandibular margin to classify larvae.



FIGURE 6. Mandible types as defined by the degree of darkening and separation of the 3rd inner tooth: (type A) *C. bifurcatus*, (type B) *C.* sp. NAI (above) and *C. ochreatus* (below), (type C) *C.* nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i), (type D) *C. staegeri*, (type F) *C. plumosus*.

Cytological analysis. Isolated salivary glands were prepared for polytene chromosome analysis using the aceto-orcein method (Martin *et al.* 2006). Veronika Golygina (Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Novosibirsk, Russia) assisted in distinguishing cytogenetically between *C. entis* and *C. plumosus*. Preparations of these two species have been deposited at her Institute. Polytene chromosome mounts of the remaining species have been deposited, together with their respective head capsule mounts, at the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids and Nematodes in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Species delimitation and identification. Larvae were sorted according to their morphology, their *cox1* PCR-RFLP profiles (larvae collected in 2006 and 2007) and their *cox1* and *gb2β* gene partial nucleotide sequences and then linked, via DNA sequences of cytologically-known species (either already in GenBank, or from karyotyping larvae also sequenced in this study) to recognized species.



occasionally smaller teeth interspersed between larger teeth

uniform teeth



FIGURE 7. Types of teeth on the pecten epipharyngis: (type A) C. staegeri, (type B) C. anthracinus, (type C) C. dilutus, (type D) C. ochreatus.

Results and discussion

Species delimitation and identification

Species identifications are performed on 4th (final) instar larvae. We confirmed that Chironomus larvae were in the fourth instar by comparing the width of their head capsule (Table 6) to those of prepupal larvae and larval exuviae attached to pupae (data not shown). We did not measure the head capsule widths of *Chironomus* sp. NAII larvae, but these were undoubtedly 4th instars because we collected them just prior to adult emergence. Fourth instar larvae can also be recognized by the presence of developing imaginal discs in the thorax and/or posterior abdominal segments (Wülker & Götz 1968; Ineichen et al. 1983).

Analysis using PCR-RFLP of the cox1 gene was performed on 296 larvae. The enzymes Ssp I, Hinf I, Rsa I and Tag I were used to cleave the partial cox1 gene into different RFLP profiles (Table 4). These profiles were congruent with our groupings based on larval morphology (larval types and head-capsule features), with the exception of a single profile (Ssp I: 500,240; Hinf I: 710; Rsa I: 500,240; Taq I: 260,200,190) obtained for two larval types that differed in the coloration of their frontoclypeus. For these larvae, the partial cox1 gene was cleaved with two additional restriction endonucleases, Hha I and Alu I, thereby creating three extra RFLP profiles. Results of these analyses are summarized in Table 4. To verify the accuracy of these results, larvae that included all of these RFLP profiles were sequenced.

We sequenced the partial cox1 gene of 59 larvae that included all 15 RFLP profiles, as well as that of 79 other larvae (Fig. 8). We also amplified and sequenced the partial $gb2\beta$ -gene of 83 larvae (Fig. 9). However, we were unsuccessful in obtaining the $gb2\beta$ sequence for all *Chironomus* species (Table 4) despite modifying PCR conditions and testing several primer combinations (Table 2).

For visualisation purposes only, one representative of each unique sequence was used to illustrate the relationship between species in the cox1 (Fig. 8) and $gb2\beta$ ID-trees (Fig. 9). However, trees were also built using all sequences (including individuals that had identical gene sequences) which showed that using only unique sequences did not affect tree topology. Sequences were grouped into potential species according to molecular evidence (sequence clusters with bootstrap values >90% and sequence divergences of <4%) and larval morphology (see curly brackets in Figs. 8-9). Following this, species were identified through polytene chromosome analysis (71 larvae) and DNA barcoding. For cytological analyses, results of these identifications are given after the vertical line located to the right of the corresponding sequences in Figures 8 and 9. For DNA barcoding, Nearctic Chironomus cox1-sequences and $gb2\beta$ -sequences from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) that grouped (bootstrap values >90% and sequence divergences <4%) with our sequences were added to our ID-trees. Moreover, we sequenced the cox1 gene and/or the $gb2\beta$ gene of voucher specimens (Table S2) and added these sequences to

bbtained from real digests (additional profiles obtained from simulation digests) i	zes in base pairs obtained from real digests (additional profiles obtained from simulation digests) i Rsa I Tag I Hha I Alu I	LP fragment sizes in base pairs obtained from real digests (additional profiles obtained from simulation digests) $\frac{1}{1}$	<i>cox1</i> RFLP fragment sizes in base pairs obtained from real digests (additional profiles obtained from interval and its simulation digests)
inc T_{aa} 1 Hha 1 Aha 1 fr	inc aa <i>Rsa I Taq</i> I <i>Hha</i> I <i>Alu</i> I fi	$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{inc} & & \text{inc} \\ H_{inf} & & & P_{enf} & & H_{enf} \\ & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$	inc
		p In The There I was I was I was I was I was I was	ar ar Ssp1 Hinf1 Rsa1 Taq1 Hha1 Alu1 fr d
380, 180, 100 ND ND 16 (351, 174, 89, 72, 23)	710 380, 180, 100 ND ND ND 16 (709) (351, 174, 89, 72, 23)	710 710 380, 180, 100 ND ND ND 16 (709) (709) (351, 174, 89, 72, 23) ND ND ND 16	710 710 710 380, 180, 100 ND ND ND 16 (709) (709) (709) (351, 174, 89, 72, 23) ND ND ND 16
550, 100 (525, 89, 72, 23) (709) (381, 214, 60, 33, 21) 12	610, 120 550, 100 (596, 113) (525, 89, 72, 23) (709) (381, 214, 60, 33, 21) ¹²	510, 220 610, 120 550, 100 (489, 220) (596, 113) (525, 89, 72, 23) (709) (381, 214, 60, 33, 21) 12	710 510, 220 610, 120 550, 100 (709) (489, 220) (596, 113) (525, 89, 72, 23) (709) (381, 214, 60, 33, 21) 12
430, 100 or 550, 100 (426, 99, 89, 72, 23) (709) (381, 150, 64, 60, 21, 24 or (525, 89, 72, 23) 18, 15 or 381, 214, 60, 21, 18, 15)	610, 120 430, 100 or 550, 100 (596, 113) (426, 99, 89, 72, 23) (709) (381, 150, 64, 60, 21, 24 or (525, 89, 72, 23) 18, 15 or 381, 214, 60, 21, 18, 15)	710 610,120 430,100 or 550,100 (709) (596,113) (426,99,89,72,23) (709) (381,150,64,60,21, 24 or (525,89,72,23) 18, 15 or 381, 214, 01 (525,89,72,23) 60,21,18,15)	710 710 610, 120 430, 100 or 550, 100 (709) (709) (596, 113) (426, 99, 89, 72, 23) (709) (381, 150, 64, 60, 21, 24) or (525, 89, 72, 23) 18, 15 or 381, 214, 01 (525, 89, 72, 23) 60, 21, 18, 15)
450, 100 ND ND 26 (411, 99, 95, 89, 15)	460, 240 450, 100 ND ND 26 (443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) ND 26	710 460, 240 450, 100 ND ND 26 (709) (443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) ND 26	710 710 460, 240 450, 100 ND ND 26 (709) (709) (443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) ND ND 26
550, 100 (525, 95, 89) ND ND 10	500, 130 550, 100 (483, 113, (525, 95, 89) ND ND 10 113)	710 500,130 550,100 (709) (483,113, (525,95,89) ND ND 10 113)	710 710 500,130 550,100 (709) (709) (483,113, (525,95,89) ND ND 10 113) 113)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(596, 87, 26) $(548, 89, 72)$ (709) $(192, 150, 124, 93, 0)$	(709) (596, 87, 26) (548, 89, 72) (709) (192, 150, 124, 93, 87, 63) 0	(709) (709) (796, 87, 26) (548, 89, 72) (709) (192, 150, 124, 93, 87, 63) (92, 150, 124, 93, 93, 97, 63) (92, 150, 124, 93, 93, 97, 63) (92, 124, 93, 97, 93, 97, 93, 97, 93, 97, 93, 97, 93, 97, 93, 97, 93, 97, 93, 97, 93, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90
	(683 26) (533 89 72 15) (279 150 124 93	(683 26) (533 89 72 15) (279 150 124 93	(683 76) (533 89 72 15) (779 150 170 93
(2/19, 120, 124, 95, 55, 120, 124, 95, 120, 124, 95, 120, 124, 95, 120, 120, 120, 120, 120, 120, 120, 120	(683, 26) $(533, 89, 72, 15)$ $(279, 150, 124, 93, 63)$	(683, 26) (533, 89, 72, 15) (279, 150, 124, 93, 63) (279, 150, 124, 93, 63)	(683, 26) (533, 89, 72, 15) (279, 150, 124, 93, 63)
250, 220, 100 ND ND ND ND 124, 95, 131, 124, 95, 63	(683, 26) (533, 89, 72, 15) (279, 150, 124, 93, 63) 500, 240 250, 220, 100 ND ND ND 1	(683, 26) (533, 89, 72, 15) (279, 150, 124, 93, 63) 450, 280 500, 240 250, 220, 100 ND ND ND 1	(683, 26) (533, 89, 72, 15) (279, 150, 124, 93, 63) 710 450, 280 500, 240 250, 220, 100 ND ND ND
(172, 100, 124, 95, (109) (172, 150, 124, 95, 120, 124, 95, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 121, 120, 120	(270, 01, 20) (240, 07, 12) (107) (122, 130, 124, 35, 35) (270, 124, 35) (270, 270, 270, 270, 270, 270, 270, 27	(107) (270, 01, 20) (240, 87, 12) (107) (124, 95, 72) (24, 95) (24, 95) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27	(101) (101) (24, 25, 25) (246, 25, 12) (24, 12) (24, 12) (24, 35, 12) (24, 35) (24, 35) (24, 35) (24, 35) (24, 35)
(411, 99, 95, 89, 15) ND 550, 100 (525, 95, 89) ND (548, 89, 72) (709)	(443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) ND 500, 130 550, 100 (483, 113, (525, 95, 89) ND 113) (596, 87, 26) (548, 89, 72) (709)	(709) (443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) ND 710 500, 130 550, 100 ND (709) (483, 113, (525, 95, 89) ND 113) (548, 89, 72) (709)	(709) (709) (709) (443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) ND 710 710 500, 130 550, 100 ND (709) (709) (483, 113, (525, 95, 89) ND (709) (709) (596, 87, 26) (548, 89, 72) (709)
450, 100 (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) 550, 100 (525, 95, 89) (548, 89, 72)	460, 240 450, 100 (443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) 500, 130 550, 100 (483, 113, (525, 95, 89)) 113) (526, 95, 89) (596, 87, 26) (548, 89, 72)	710 460, 240 450, 100 (709) (443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) 710 500, 130 550, 100 (709) (483, 113, (525, 95, 89)) 113) (526, 95, 89) (709) (596, 87, 26) (548, 89, 72)	710 710 460, 240 450, 100 (709) (709) (443, 226, 40) (411, 99, 95, 89, 15) 710 710 500, 130 550, 100 (709) (709) (483, 113, (525, 95, 89)) (709) (709) (596, 87, 26) (548, 89, 72)
	710 (709) 610, 120 (596, 113) 610, 120 (596, 113) (596, 113) (443, 226, 40) 500, 130 (483, 113, 113) (596, 87, 26)	Tury 1 Tour 1 710 710 (709) (709) 510, 220 610, 120 (489, 220) (596, 113) 710 610, 120 (709) (596, 113) 710 460, 240 710 60, 130 710 500, 130 710 500, 130 710 500, 130 710 500, 130 710 500, 130 710 500, 130 710 500, 130 710 500, 130 709) (596, 87, 26)	Soper Thug I Fault 710 710 710 710 710 710 710 710 710 710 $510, 220$ $610, 120$ 709 709 6709 710 710 $610, 120$ 709 709 6709 710 710 $640, 240$ 710 710 $610, 120$ 710 710 $643, 226, 40)$ 710 710 710 710 709 (709) 710 710 710 710 709 (709) 710 709 (709) 710 709 (709) 710 709 (709) 710 709 (709) 709 (709) (709) 709 (709) (709) 709 (709) (709)

TABLE 4. Summary of molecular results for the cox/ and eb28 genes for each Chironomus species. Cox/ gene: cox/-RFLP fragment sizes in base bairs (bp): 710-bp

TABLE 4. ((Sontinued)									
				σ	ax l				gb2ß	
				RFLP	analysis					
	cox1 RFI	LP fragment s	izes in base pairs	obtained from real digests simulation digests)	(additional pr	ofiles obtained from	Number of	Number of individuals		Intron.
sapade	Ssp I	Hinf I	Rsa I	Taq I	Hha I	Alu I	individuals analysed from real digests	analysed through RFLP and subsequently sequenced	Primers used (refer to Table 3)	type or absence
C. maturus	500, 240 (474, 235)	710 (709)	500, 240 (483, 226)	450, 100 (426, 99, 95, 89)	ND	QN	10	2	wyk1b and wyk4	no intron
C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i)	(406)	(60)	(683, 26)	(525, 95, 89)	(602)	(414, 177, 118)	0	0	primer combinations either failed or gave <i>gb7A</i>	Ŋ
C. ochreatus	(60)	(439, 270)	(346, 337, 26)	(620, 89)	Ŋ	ND	0	0	no primer combination worked	ND
C. plumosus	710(709)	450, 280 (439, 270)	500, 240 (483, 226)	250, 220, 100 or 332, 220, 100 (229, 208, 95, 89, 88 / 317, 208, 95, 89 or 525, 95, 89)	Q	QZ	∞	0	wyk1b and wyk4	type II
C. sp. NAI	710 (709)	710 (709)	710 (709)	260, 180, 100 (252, 174, 99, 89, 72, 23)	ŊŊ	QN	6	2	wyk1b and wyk4	type II
C. sp. NAII	710 (709)	510, 220 (502, 207)	500, 240 (483, 226)	470, 180 (446, 174, 89)	ŊŊ	ND	4	2	no primer combination worked	ŊŊ
C. sp. NAIII	500, 240 (474, 235)	710 (709)	710 (709)	450, 210, 100 (426, 99, 95, 89)	ND	QN	45	6	wyk1b and 2β -A	type II
C. staegeri	500, 240 (474, 235)	710 (709)	500, 240 (483, 226)	260, 200, 190 (252, 194, 174, 89)	330, 440 (389, 320)	400, 130, 80 (378, 124, 90, 81, 36)	37	8	no primer combination worked	ŊŊ
C. 'tigris'	500, 240 (474, 235)	710 (709)	500, 240 (483, 226)	260, 200, 190 (252, 194, 174, 89)	710 (709)	430, 170, 120 (414, 171, 124 / 381, 171, 124, 33 or 255, 171, 159, 124)	61	12	wyk1b and wyk4	type II

C. anthracinus	C. anthro	acinus	C. bifu	rcatus	C. C	ucini	C. decorus-group s	sp. 2	C. dii	lutus	С. е	ntis
C. anthracimus	<i>cox1</i> (16)	$gb2\beta$ (6)	coxI (25)	$gb2\beta$ (10)	coxI (7)	$gb2\beta$ (4)	$coxI(10)$ $gb2\beta$	3(0)	<i>cox1</i> (11)	$gb2\beta$ (11)	coxI (11)	$gb2\beta$ (11)
	0.06	0.34										
C. bifurcatus	15.21	30.34	1.24	0.29								
C. cucini	14.07	22.69	13.10	28.40	0.21	0.21						
C. decorus-group sp. 2	15.19		9.88		14.35		0.26					
C. dilutus	16.21	24.74	15.57	29.16	15.00	24.73	14.38		1.34	0.00		
<i>C. entis</i>	13.48	10.02	16.60	32.66	15.21	22.30	15.44		15.90	26.45	1.30	0.25
C. frommeri	13.26		13.20		11.89		10.90		15.80		12.91	
C. harpi	15.98	32.60	11.99	17.50	16.35	25.41	11.37		16.12	33.73	18.67	32.17
C. maturus	15.72	17.44	12.94	27.00	14.45	19.60	11.15		13.86	16.08	15.03	19.30
C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i)	19.04		15.93		16.17		14.85		14.44		17.70	
C. ochreatus	18.86		17.47		16.17		15.20		15.41		17.70	
C. plumosus	13.38	13.35	16.33	33.27	15.01	24.93	15.36		15.74	37.07	1.09	14.97
C. sp. NAI	4.48*	2.88*	15.47	32.39	14.73	26.05	15.47		17.79	28.72	14.01	13.09
C. sp. NAII	14.37		16.85		14.65		14.34		16.60		14.50	
C. sp. NAIII	16.43	35.27	18.03	31.82	15.54	18.00	15.55		18.87	28.64	19.45	32.61
C. staegeri	14.73		14.53		13.14		12.05		15.97		13.30	
C. 'tigris'	14.20	28.50	14.23	34.71	12.06	23.11	11.69		15.90	27.36	12.58	27.96

414 · Zootaxa 3741 (4) © 2013 Magnolia Press

TABLE 5. Average (%) pairwise sequence divergence between species and within species (given diagonally in bold font) for the coxI and $gb2\beta$ genes. For each

	TABLE 5. (Contin	(pen)							ייג ע	at amini dia					
			C. from	neri	C. 1	iarpi	C. mati	SUTUS	с. Ш) (S	aroviriais p. 2i)	C. och	reatus	C. pl	snsoun	
		I	<i>cox1</i> (3)	$gb2\beta$ (0)	<i>cox1</i> (6)	$gb2\beta$ (6)	<i>cox1</i> (3)	$gb2\beta$ (1)	cox1 (6)	$gb2\beta$ (0)	<i>cox1</i> (3)	$gb2\beta$ (0)	coxI (9)	$gb2\beta$ (29)	
C harpi 143 0.22 0.00 C maturus 1180 13.40 31.98 0.21 C maturus 15.12 13.40 31.98 0.21 1.33 C maturus 15.12 19.55 100 1.34 319 0.10 C maturus 15.12 19.55 18.01 13.31 10.95 0.93 0.40 C maturus 15.12 19.55 34.00 16.32 34.20 16.33 27.49 17.59 0.93 0.40 C sp.Nul 13.31 16.33 34.20 16.35 28.90 18.16 17.59 13.90 14.90 C sp.Nul 13.31 13.31 17.26 14.38 17.26 14.93 34.0 C sugger/ 340 14.3 28.38 12.38 18.48 16.47 15.99 14.9 C sugger/ 340 12.56 14.3 57.49 17.56 13.99 13.9 C sugger/ 340 12.58 18.48	C. frommeri		0.41												
	C. harpi		14.83		0.22	0.00									
	C. maturus		11.80		13.40	31.98	0.21								
	C. nr. atroviridis (s	ip. 2i)	15.43		18.01		13.97		1.33						
	C. ochreatus		15.12		19.55		16.04		12.14		0.10				
	C. plumosus		12.70		18.48	28.69	14.73	27.49	17.54		17.59		0.93	0.64	
	C. sp. NAI		13.31		16.32	34.20	16.03	22.17	18.37		19.31		13.90	14.69	
	C. sp. NAII		12.34		14.89		13.35		17.88		17.26		14.58		
	C. sp. NAIII		13.57		16.55	30.05	13.96	22.89	18.10		17.58		19.27	34.09	
	C. staegeri		3.40		14.36		12.55		16.68		15.85		13.19		
TABLE 5. (Continued) C. sp. Nall C. sp. Nall C. sp. Nall C. sp. Sp2 (1) Sp2 (17) Sp2 (17) C. sp. Nall C. sp. Nall C. sp. Sp2 (1) C. staggeri C. staggri C. sp. Nall 0.00 cox1 (13) gb2 (4) C. staggri C. staggri <th c<="" colspa="6" td=""><td>C. 'tigris'</td><td></td><td>2.68</td><td></td><td>14.75</td><td>35.83</td><td>12.38</td><td>18.48</td><td>16.47</td><td></td><td>15.66</td><td></td><td>12.44</td><td>33.11</td></th>	<td>C. 'tigris'</td> <td></td> <td>2.68</td> <td></td> <td>14.75</td> <td>35.83</td> <td>12.38</td> <td>18.48</td> <td>16.47</td> <td></td> <td>15.66</td> <td></td> <td>12.44</td> <td>33.11</td>	C. 'tigris'		2.68		14.75	35.83	12.38	18.48	16.47		15.66		12.44	33.11
	TABLE 5. (Contin	ued)													
$coxI$ (3) $gb2\beta$ (3) $coxI$ (2) $gb2\beta$ (0) $coxI$ (15) $gb2\beta$ (0) $coxI$ (17) $gb2\beta$ (4)C $sp.NAI$ 0.820.00 $coxI$ (13) $gb2\beta$ (1) $coxI$ (17) $gb2\beta$ (4)C $sp.NAI$ 0.820.00 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.35 0.00 C $sp.NAIII$ 16.54 37.28 14.40 0.35 0.00 0.04 C $sp.eeri$ 14.79 13.49 13.94 0.04 C 14.24 30.69 12.76 13.33 15.01 1.49 0.15 0.15		C. sp.	NAI		C. sp. N.	AII	C. s	p. NAIII		C. staeg	eri		C. 'tigris'		
C. sp. NAI 0.82 0.00 C. sp. NAII 15.75 0.77 C. sp. NAII 15.75 0.77 C. sp. NAII 16.54 37.28 14.40 C. sp. NAII 16.54 37.28 14.40 C. staegeri 14.79 13.49 0.04 C. tigris' 14.24 30.69 12.76 13.33 15.01 1.49 0.15 0.05		cox1 (3)	$gb2\beta$ (3)	5	ox1 (2)	$gb2\beta$ (0)	cox1 (13)	$gb2\beta$	(4)	cox1 (16)	$gb2\beta$ (0)	cox1 (17		$gb2\beta$ (4)	
C. sp. NAII 15.75 0.77 C. sp. NAIII 16.54 37.28 14.40 C. sp. NAIII 16.54 37.28 14.40 C. sp. Valii 16.54 37.28 14.40 C. sp. Valii 16.54 37.28 14.40 C. staegeri 14.79 0.35 0.00 C. tigris' 14.24 30.69 12.76 13.33 C. tigris' 14.24 30.69 12.76 0.15	C. sp. NAI	0.82	0.00												
C. sp. NAIII 16.54 37.28 14.40 0.35 0.00 C. staegeri 14.79 13.49 13.94 0.04 C. 'tigris' 14.24 30.69 12.76 13.33 15.01 1.49 0.15 0.00	C. sp. NAII	15.75			0.77										
C. staegeri 14.79 13.49 13.94 0.04 C. 'tigris' 14.24 30.69 12.76 13.33 15.01 1.49 0.15 0.00	C. sp. NAIII	16.54	37.28		14.40		0.35	0.0	0						
C. 'tigris' 14.24 30.69 12.76 13.33 15.01 1.49 0.15 0.00	C. staegeri	14.79			13.49		13.94			0.04					
	C. 'tigris'	14.24	30.69		12.76		13.33	15.0	-	1.49		0.15		0.00	

Anterior	margin of ventromental plates	smooth	smooth	smooth	smooth	smooth	relatively smooth	smooth	1 the next page
ten tryngis	Type (Fig. 7)	В	A	А	А	A	в	D	ontinued or
Pec	Mean no. of teeth (range)	15 (12– 19)	13 (12– 14)	12 (9–15)	13 (10-16)	15 (13– 20)	16 (13- 18)	16 (14-16)	<i>c</i>
Mandible type	3rd inner tooth (Fig. 6)	A	В	А	A	A	A or B	С	
n type	4th lateral teeth (Fig. 5)	Ш	Π	II or III	П	Π	П	Ι	
Mentun	Central trifid tooth (Fig. 4)	В	U	В	В	B or C	B or C	V	
	Gula color (Fig. 3)	posteriorly darkened	posteriorly darkened	posteriorly to strongly darkened	posteriorly to strongly darkened	strongly to completely darkened	strongly to completely darkened	darkened posteriorly	
-	Frontoclypeus color (Fig. 2)	pale or slightly darkened with lobed dark spot anteriorly	dark longitudinal stripe with lobed dark spot medially	pale	pale	pale	pale	pale	
14F 200 E - 1 T	Head width in mm (range)	0.54 (0.49-0.62)	not measured	0.48 (0.43-0.54)	0.49 (0.42-0.59)	0.56 ($0.51-0.60$)	0.64 (0.60-0.67)	0.57 (0.56–0.57)	
	Mean larval length in mm (range)	18 (15–21)	12 (11–13)	14 (10-18)	13 (11–16)	14 (11–16)	18 (13–21)	19 (17–20)	
n (range)	Posterior ventral tubules	absent	absent	absent	1.2 (1.0–1.7)	0.9 (0.5–1.4)	1.0 (0.6–1.5)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \\ (0.9 - 1.0) \end{array}$	
length in mr	Anterior ventral tubules	absent	absent	absent	1.5 (0.9–1.9)	0.9 (0.7–1.5)	1.3 (1.0–1.6)	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	
Mean tubule	Lateral tubules	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent 0.18 (0.16-0.21)	absent	absent	
	Larval type (Table 3 and Fig. 1)	salinarius	salinarius	salinarius	bathophilus	bathophilus, fluviatilis melanotus	thummi	thummi	
	u	26–31	4	47–52	14–50	5-17 -	22–27	4	
	Species	C. cucini	C. sp. NAII	C. sp. NAIII	C. bifurcatus	C. decorus- group sp.2	C. anthracinus	C. nr. atroviridis (sp.2i)	

TABLE 6. Larval morphology of fourth-instar larvae of the various Chironomus species collected in this study.

TABLE 6. (Co	ontinued)														
		Town of the other	Mean tubule	length in m	n (range)	Moon lowin		Etool		Mentu	n type	Mandible type	Pec epipha	ten ryngis	Anterior
Species	u	Latval type (Table 3 and Fig. 1)	Lateral tubules	Anterior ventral tubules	Posterior ventral tubules	Inteal lat val length in mm (range)	Head width in mm (range)	r tomotypeus color (Fig. 2)	Gula color (Fig. 3)	Central trifid tooth (Fig. 4)	4th lateral teeth (Fig. 5)	3rd inner tooth (Fig. 6)	Mean no. of teeth (range)	Type (Fig. 7)	margin of ventromental plates
C. ochreatus	2	thummi	absent	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	not measured	0.52 (0.51–0.52)	pale	slightly darkened	В	I	В	23 (22– 24)	D	smooth
C. sp. NAI	6	thummi	absent	1.4 (1.2–1.8)	1.1 (0.9–1.7)	18 (16–21)	0.64 (0.64)	pale	strongly to completely darkened	B or C	П	A or B	15 (10-18)	В	relatively smooth
C. entis	5-8	semireductus	0.26 (0.21-0.32)	0.5 (0.4–0.8)	0.6 (0.3-0.9)	25 (22–28)	0.83 (0.78-0.85)	pale	strongly to completely darkened	C or D	I	ц	14 (13– 17)	В	smooth to slightly crenulated
C. plumosus	14–33	semireductus or plumosus	0.35 (0.18-0.49)	1.0 (0.5–1.7)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \\ (0.4 - 1.8) \end{array}$	22 (13–26)	0.75 (0.65–0.89)	pale	strongly to completely darkened	C or D	Ι	ſĽ	16 (12– 21)	В	smooth to slightly crenulated
C. dilutus	6	plumosus	0.47 (0.32–0.72)	1.8 (1.4–2.7)	1.8 (1.3–2.6)	24 (17–28)	0.67 (0.61–0.73)	dark, particularly in the center posteriorly	slightly to posteriorly darkened	C	Ι	В	13 (10– 15)	A or C	smooth
C. frommeri	٢	plumosus	0.52 (0.42–0.63)	3.4 (2.7–4.1)	3.7 (3.1–4.6)	22 (19–24)	0.64 (0.59–0.64)	pale	strongly to completely darkened	C	Π	A or D	15 (13– 18)	Υ	crenulated
C. harpi	2–6	plumosus	0.17 (0.15–0.22)	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	1.2 (0.9–1.6)	12 (10-14)	0.40 (0.38–0.42)	pale	slightly darkened	В	П	¥	15 15)	В	smooth
C. maturus	10	snsounld	0.40 (0.32-0.44)	2.9 (2.3–3.3)	2.8 (2.2–3.5)	18 (14–21)	0.52 (0.49–0.56)	pale	pale to slightly darkened	D	Ι	D	20 (18– 20)	В	smooth
C. staegeri	30-44	plumosus	0.34 (0.23-0.55)	1.6 (0.9–3.7)	1.7 (1.0–3.8)	20 (14–28)	0.70 (0.62–0.76)	pale	strongly to completely darkened	С	П	A or D	17 (12– 20)	V	crenulated
C. 'tigris'	42–66	plumosus	0.18 (0.11–0.25)	1.7 (0.9–2.5)	1.4 (0.8–2.1)	16 (9–22)	0.61 (0.54–0.68)	dark	strongly to completely darkened	C or D	Π	A or D	15 (10-20)	В	relatively smooth

our ID-trees (Figs. 8–9). *C. acidophilus* (Keyl 1960), *C. calligraphus* (Goeldi 1905), *C. quinnitukqut* (Martin *et al.* 2010), *C.* sp. g (Martin 2013), *C.* sp. h (Martin 2013) and *C.* sp. u (Martin 2013) did not group with any of our collected species, so we could rule out these species as being any of our unrecognized species. Analysis of all the sequences together with simulation digests allowed us to identify the *Chironomus* species that had been previously separated using RFLP analysis. Simulation digests were performed on all of the *cox1* sequences obtained (see Table 4). Extra RFLP profiles were obtained from these simulation digests.

On the basis of the genetic, morphological and cytological information that we obtained, we conclude that the 404 Chironomus larvae that we collected represent 17 species, 14 of which were known while the status of three others remains uncertain. A detailed list of all the larvae analysed is presented in Table S1. In the following section, pertinent genetic, morphological and cytological information is presented for each of these species in the order that they are presented in Figure 8 (from top to bottom). Detailed genetic information, including *cox1*-RFLP sizes, the primers used to amplify the $gb2\beta$ gene and whether or not the $gb2\beta$ gene was amplified is given in Table 4. The $gb2\beta$ gene of some *Chironomus* species includes an intron (type I or type II), whereas in others it is absent (Hankeln et al. 1997; Makarevich et al. 2000). This information is also given in Table 4. The intraspecific and interspecific divergences in cox1 and $gb2\beta$ sequences are summarized in Table 5, with more detail presented in Table S3. Overall, intraspecific divergences for Chironomus species characterized by cox1 range between 0 and 3%, whereas intraspecific sequence divergences based on $gb2\beta$ ranged between 0 and 2%. A detailed morphological description for each species is found in Table 6. Where pertinent, we also discuss the status of each species collected and its relationship to other closely-related species that we did not collect in our study. Following our species descriptions, we present a morphological key to discriminate among larvae of the 17 Chironomus species that we collected. Species from our study area (Ontario and Quebec) that are not included in the key are given in Martin (2013). Lastly, the distribution and ecology of each of the Chironomus species that we collected are summarized in Table 7.

Species	Depth (m)	pН	Trophic status	Water body
C. anthracinus	2–12	4.5-8.5	oligo to mesotrophic	AR, HA, OS, PI, RA, RM, SI (2011)
C. bifurcatus	1.5-24	2.7 - 7.8	oligo to eutrophic	AD, AR, DA, DP, KI, MC, OP, SJ, TI (2011)
C. cucini	9–35	6.2–7.5	oligo to mesotrophic	BO, CL, OP, SJ, VA
C. decorus-group sp.2	1–5	7.2–7.6	oligo to mesotrophic	AD, DF, DP, FO, OP, SC, SI (2011)
C. dilutus	1.5–5	7.5-8.4	eutrophic	KE
C. entis	1–9	7.1-8.3	meso to eutrophic	DA, DS, MN, OP, PE,
C. frommeri	1	ND	ND	РО
C. harpi	1–4	2.7-3.8	oligotrophic	AR
C. maturus	4–6	ND	ND	BE
C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i)	1	7.4	meso to eutrophic	MN
C. ochreatus	3	7.7	mesotrophic	OP
C. plumosus	1-8	6.8-8.5	oligo to eutrophic	AU, DA, DP, FO, KE, KI, MN, OS, PE, RO
C. sp. NAI	7.5	6.8	oligotrophic	KA
C. sp. NAII	4	5.9	oligotrophic	SI (2007)
C. sp. NAIII	5-12	7.1–7.9	oligo to mesotrophic	DA, HA, MC, RA, RM
C. staegeri	1–10	5.9–8.0	oligo to eutrophic	CR, DA, DP, KA, KI, MC, OP, PO, SI (2007), SJ, TI (2007 and 2011)
C. 'tigris'	2–10	5.9-8.0	oligo to mesotrophic	KA, MC, OP, SI (2007), SJ, TI (2007 and 2011)

TABLE 7. Lakes in which the various *Chironomus* species were collected as well as lake characteristics including sampling depth, pH and trophic status. Lake codes are given in Table 1. ND; not determined.



FIGURE 8. Neighbor-joining identification tree (NJ ID-tree) based on partial *cox1* sequences and the K2P substitution model. Numbers on branches are bootstrap values >50%. \circ Sequences of *Chironomus* species collected from lakes in our study. Larval morpho-types are specified followed in parenthesis by: sample size (n = the number of individuals sequenced for each consensus sequence), lake abbreviations, and GenBank accession numbers. Some larvae were identified by examining their polytene chromosomes, and these results are indicated alongside the corresponding sequence next to the vertical line. Sequences obtained from GenBank (species name and GenBank accession number in parenthesis). Sequences obtained from cytologically identified reference *Chironomus* specimens (species name and GenBank accession number in parenthesis).



FIGURE 9. Neighbor-joining identification tree (NJ ID-tree) based on partial $gb2\beta$ sequences and the K2P substitution model. • Sequences of *Chironomus* species collected from lakes in our study. Larval morpho-types are specified followed in parentheses by: sample size (n = the number of individuals sequenced for each consensus sequence), lake abbreviations and GenBank accession numbers. Some larvae were identified by examining their polytene chromosomes, and these results are indicated alongside the corresponding sequence next to the vertical line. Sequences obtained from GenBank (species name and GenBank accession number in parenthesis). \blacktriangle Sequences obtained from cytologically identified reference *Chironomus* specimens (species name and GenBank accession number in parenthesis). \square *Chironomus* gb7A and gb9 sequences obtained from GenBank were also added as outgroups (globin name, species name and GenBank accession number in parenthesis). \triangle *Chironomus* gb7A sequence obtained from cytologically identified reference specimens (globin name, species name and GenBank accession number in parenthesis).

Species descriptions and taxonomic status

Chironomus (Chironomus) 'tigris'

(nomen nudum in Martin et al. (2008), for species C. sp. Am1 of Kiknadze et al. (1993)).

Material examined (Table S1): 66 larvae from Kasten Lake, McFarlane Lake, Silver Lake and Tilton Lake in Ontario as well as from Lake Opasatica and Lake St. Joseph in Quebec.

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the cox1 and $gb2\beta$ genes. Compared to the other *Chironomus* species (except *C*. sp. NAIII), the $gb2\beta$ gene of *C*. *tigris* is 3 codons short immediately after the end of the 2nd intron. *C*. *'tigris'* sequences form distinct clades in both the cox1 and gb2b IDtrees. Consequently either gene can be used to accurately separate and identify *C*. *'tigris'*. However, the range of interspecific divergence between the cox1-sequences of *C*. *'tigris"* and *C*. *staegeri* (1–2%), as well as between *C*. *'tigris'* and *C*. *frommeri* (2–3%), are within the intraspecific sequence divergence range of collected and reference *Chironomus* species (0–3%). Therefore, cox1 sequence divergence values alone cannot be used to reliably separate *C*. *'tigris'* from *C*. *staegeri* or *C*. *frommeri*. For the gb2b gene, we could not assess the interspecific sequence divergence between *C*. *'tigris'*, *C*. *staegeri* and *C*. *frommeri* because we were unsuccessful in amplifying the $gb2\beta$ gene for *C*. *staegeri* and *C*. *frommeri*. In the cox1 ID-tree, sequences of collected larvae cluster with the reference sequence of *C*. *'tigris'*, thus confirming the identification of this species. Chosen restriction enzymes for the cox1PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separated *C*. *'tigris'* larvae from the other *Chironomus* species.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are large sized plumosus-type larvae having their anterior ventral tubules longer than the posterior ventral tubules. The frontoclypeus of *C. 'tigris'* is dark-colored, which distinguishes it from larvae of the otherwise morphologically-similar *C. staegeri* and *C. frommeri*, which both have a pale frontoclypeus. Exceptionally, in larvae from some other regions, the frontoclypeus of *C. staegeri* is reported to be slightly darkened (Martin 2013). However, this criterion could prove to be less clear cut and other morphological features such as the length of the lateral tubules and the anterior margin of ventromental plates could be used to separate these species.

Cytology. The cytology of the two larvae analyzed clearly indicates that this species is *C. 'tigris'* since it is one of only two *Chironomus* species known to possess two polytene chromosomes. In this respect, it is clearly distinct from *C. staegeri* and *C. frommeri* which possess three and four chromosomes, respectively. The arm combination of *C. 'tigris'* chromosomes is GAB, FEDC and its chromosomes are described in Martin *et al.* (1974), Butler *et al.* (1995, *C.* sp. r), Kiknadze *et al.* (1993, *C.* sp. Am1) and Martin (2013).

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species has been previously reported from lakes in Minnesota, Ontario, Quebec and Wisconsin (Butler *et al.* 1995; Martin *et al.* 2008; Martin 2013). We found *C. 'tigris'* in oligotrophic to mesotrophic lakes of pH 5.9–8.0. At all sites where *C. 'tigris'* was collected, *C. staegeri* was also present. However, the reverse was not necessarily the case. Lakes in which *C. staegeri* was present and *C. 'tigris'* was absent tended to be eutrophic (with the exception of Crooked Lake), which suggests that *C. 'tigris'* larvae prefer less productive systems. Their northerly distribution in North America may reflect this fact. We collected *C. 'tigris'* at water depths varying from 2–10 m, although it can live at greater depths (20 m; Butler *et al.* 1995).

Chironomus (Chironomus) staegeri Lundbeck (1898)

Material examined (Table S1): 44 larvae from Lake D'Alembert, Lake Duprat, Lake Kinojévis, Lake Opasatica, Lake St. Joseph and an unnamed pond in Quebec as well as from Crooked Lake, McFarlane Lake, Kasten Lake, Silver Lake, and Tilton Lake in Ontario.

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). In the *cox1* ID-tree, *C. staegeri* sequences form a distinct clade. Sequences of collected larvae cluster with the reference sequence of *C. staegeri*, thereby confirming the identification of this species. We were not successful in amplifying the $gb2\beta$ gene for this species. Cox1 interspecific sequence divergences between *C. staegeri* and *C. 'tigris'* (1–2%) as well as *C. staegeri* and *C. frommeri* (3–4%) are within the intraspecific divergence range of *Chironomus* species assessed in this study (0–3%). Therefore, *cox1* sequence divergence values cannot be used to separate *C. staegeri* from *C. 'tigris'* or from *C. frommeri*. Restriction enzymes for the *cox1* PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separated *C. staegeri* from the other *Chironomus* species. **Morphology** (Table 6). Our specimens of *C. staegeri* are large sized plumosus-type larvae with a pale frontoclypeus. This latter feature clearly distinguishes them from *C. 'tigris'* larvae that have a dark frontoclypeus. Outside of our study area, some *C. staegeri* larvae are reported to have a slightly darkened frontoclypeus (Martin 2013). Thus other features such as the length of the lateral tubules and the outline of the anterior margin of ventromental plates could be examined to separate these two species. In our study area, *C. staegeri* could be distinguished from *C. frommeri* by the fact that the ventral and lateral tubules of the former were about half the length of those of the latter (Table 6). However, tubule length is not likely a reliable character to separate these species because in the study by Sublette and Sublette (1971) tubule lengths overlapped between these species. Sublette and Sublette (1971) suggested that *C. frommeri* and *C. staegeri* larvae could be separated by the structure of the anterior margin and apex of their paralabial plates as well as the shape of the teeth of the pecten epipharyngis. However, these features did not reliably separate these species in our study area.

Cytology. The cytology of the single larva that we examined indicates that this species is *C. staegeri* since it has three chromosomes with a modified thummi arm combination of AB, CD, GEF (Wülker & Martin 1971; Kiknadze *et al.* 2004; Kiknadze *et al.* 2010). Thus it is distinct from *C. 'tigris'*, which possesses 2 chromosomes, and from *C. frommeri*, which has 4 chromosomes.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). *C. staegeri* has been found in a variety of lentic habitats from deep lakes to shallow pools (Wülker *et al.* 1971) throughout Canada (British Columbia, Manitoba, Newfoundland, Northwest Territories, Ontario and Saskatchewan) and the United States (Alabama, California, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington and Wisconsin) (Sublette & Sublette 1971; Oliver *et al.* 1990; Martin *et al.* 2008; Martin 2013). In our study, *C. staegeri* was found in oligotrophic to eutrophic lakes and in a pond at depths ranging from 1–10 m, and at pH values ranging from 5.9–8.0.

Taxonomic comment. Given the polymorphism of chromosomal inversions in populations of *C. staegeri* in Canada and the Unites States, Martin and Wülker (1971) speculated that *C. staegeri* might be in the process of splitting into three species based in part on their restriction to waters of different depths. Our DNA data do not support this idea since there is little variation in the *cox1* nucleotide sequences between *C. staegeri* that we collected from a pond, and over a range of depths in several lakes. In fact, the mean *cox1* intraspecific divergence among *C. staegeri* sequences is very low (0.04%). The different distributions of chromosomal inversions might therefore be due to populations with different inversion sequences adapting to different ecological niches, as has been suggested for species such as *C. plumosus* (Butler *et al.* 1999).

Chironomus (Chironomus) frommeri Sublette and Sublette (1971)

Material examined (Table S1): 7 larvae collected from an unnamed pond on a military base near Trois-Rivières, Quebec.

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). In the *cox1* ID-tree, *C. frommeri* nucleotide sequences form a distinct clade. However, as mentioned above, *cox1* sequence divergences between *C. frommeri* and *C. 'tigris'* (2–3%) as well as *C. frommeri* and *C. staegeri* (3–4%) are within the intraspecific sequence divergence range of *Chironomus* species assessed in this study (0–3%). Therefore, *cox1* sequence divergence values cannot be used to separate *C. frommeri* from *C. 'tigris'* or *C. staegeri*. We were not successful in amplifying the $gb2\beta$ gene for this species. Chosen restriction enzymes for the *cox1* PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separated *C. frommeri* from the other *Chironomus* species.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are large sized plumosus-type larvae with posterior ventral tubules longer than the anterior ones. Morphologically, larvae of *C. frommeri* from our study area can be distinguished from those of *C. 'tigris'* and *C. staegeri* (however, see comments above on the morphology of these species).

Cytology. The cytology of the larva analyzed permitted us to identify this species as *C. frommeri*. *C. frommeri* has four polytene chromosomes with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G (Wülker & Martin 1971) as opposed to *C. 'tigris'* and *C. staegeri* that possess only 2 and 3 chromosomes, respectively. Arm G homologs of *C. frommeri* are closely paired, with a virtually terminal nucleolus, similar to the fused arm G of *C. crassicaudatus* (not collected in our study) (Wülker & Martin 1971). There is also another nucleolus proximal in arm B.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). We were surprised to collect *C. frommeri* in eastern Canada because all previous collections of this species are from the western United States (California, Oregon, Utah and New Mexico) (Wülker *et al.* 1971; Oliver *et al.* 1990). A possible explanation for this apparent anomaly is that this species was transported from the west to the east via military equipment since it was collected in a pond located in a military base. This species is known to occur in lakes, oxbows and permanent ponds (Martin 2013).

Chironomus (Chironomus) cucini Webb (1969)

Material examined (Table S1): 31 larvae collected in lakes in Quebec (Lake Bousquet, Lake Opasatica, Lake St. Joseph and Lake Vaudray) and in Ontario (Clearwater Lake).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the cox1 and $gb2\beta$ genes. In both of these ID-trees, *C. cucini* forms a distinct cluster. Consequently both genes can be used to accurately separate and identify *C. cucini*. The $gb2\beta$ gene sequence of *C. cucini* has no intron, but contains three extra base pairs at the 3' end of the sequence. Chosen restriction enzymes for the cox1 PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separated *C. cucini* from the other *Chironomus* species.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are large sized salinarius-type larvae lacking lateral and ventral tubules. In other study areas, larvae of *C. cucini* are reported to occasionally have small posterior ventral tubules (Wülker & Butler 1983). Morphologically, larvae of *C. cucini* are similar to those of *C.* sp. NAIII with two minor differences. First, the structure of the pecten epipharyngis differs slightly between the species (Table 6). Second, the mean (\pm 95% CI) ratio of the lengths of antennal segments 1 to 2–5 (AR) of *C.* sp. NAIII larvae (1.77 \pm 0.08) was significantly lower than that of *C. cucini* (2.04 \pm 0.08). Note however that there was overlap in the ranges of the ARs between the two species.

Cytology. The cytology of the 5 larvae analyzed permitted us to identify this species as *C. cucini*. *C. cucini* has four polytene chromosomes attached together by a chromocenter and with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G (Martin 1979; Wülker & Butler 1983). In most populations, a single nucleolus is located in arm G, although in some California populations there is a second nucleolus in arm B.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). *C. cucini* has been reported from across the Nearctic region (British Columbia, California, Indiana, Minnesota, New York and Ontario) (Oliver *et al.* 1990; Martin 2013). In our study area, *C. cucini* was found in the profundal zone of circum-neutral (pH 6.2–7.5), oligotrophic to mesotrophic, lakes.

Chironomus sp. NAII

Material examined (Table S1): 4 larvae from Silver Lake (Ontario).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). In the *cox1* ID-tree, *C*. sp. NAII nucleotide sequences clearly form a distinct clade. We were not successful in amplifying the $gb2\beta$ gene for this species. Available DNA barcodes for *Chironomus* species did not cluster with those of *C*. sp. NAII. *Cox1* PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separated *C*. sp. NAII from the other *Chironomus* species.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are medium sized salinarius-type larvae. Morphologically, larvae of C. sp. NAII closely resemble those of C. *cucini* and C. sp. NAIII, but differ by the lobed dark spot in the middle of its frontoclypeus, by the partial coloration of its 3^{rd} mandibular tooth and by the type of mentum central trifid tooth.

Cytology. This species has four polytene chromosomes with two nucleoli, one of which is in arm G. The cytology does not correspond to any known salinarius-type specimens from North America or Europe. The main difference between *C*. sp. NAII and the other known salinarius-type species is its lack of heterochromatic centromeres and its banding sequence in arm G. The cytological preparations were generally too poor to determine further details. This may be the larva of a previously described northern *Chironomus* species, for which the larva is currently unknown, or it may be a completely new species.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species was found in oligotrophic Silver Lake in 2007 at a depth of 4 m. In 2010 and 2011, we sampled the lake again in an effort to collect additional *C*. sp. NAII larvae. However, they were no longer present, perhaps because the pH of this lake had increased from 5.9 to 7.0 between 2007 and 2010.

Chironomus sp. NAIII (possibly C. decumbens (Malloch 1934))

Material examined (Table S1): 52 larvae collected in Lake D'Alembert (Quebec) and Hannah Lake, McFarlane Lake, Raft Lake and Ramsey Lake (Ontario).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the *cox1* and *gb2β* genes. *C*. sp. NAIII sequences form a distinct clade in both the *cox1* and *gb2β* ID-trees. Like *C*. *cucini*, the *C*. sp. NAIII *gb2β* sequence contains 3 extra base pairs at the 3' end, but unlike *C*. *cucini*, whose *gb2β* sequence has no intron, the *C*. sp. NAIII *gb2β* sequence contains a type II intron. Additionally, unlike the other Chironomus species (except *C*. *'tigris'*) the *gb2β* of *C*. sp. NAIII is three codons short immediately after the end of the 2^{nd} intron. *Cox1* PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separated *C*. sp. NAIII from the other *Chironomus* species. Sequences of *C*. sp. NAIII did not cluster with any of the available *Chironomus* species reference sequences.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are medium sized salinarius-type larvae that are difficult to distinguish from those of *C. cucini* (see comments on the separation of these species under *C. cucini*). The morphology of *C.* sp. NAIII (see Table 6) is similar to that of *C. decumbens* (see Martin 2013).

Cytology. This species has three polytene chromosomes with heterochromatic centromeres. The arm combination is modified thummi-complex AB, CD, GEF. A nucleolus is located near the junction of arm G with arm E and a Balbiani ring is located towards the other end of arm G. Cytologically, this species fits the description of the North American cytospecies C. sp. 2x (Martin 2013) from Alaska, which is thought to be C. decumbens (Jim Sublette, personal communication). The only difference between our specimens and C. sp. 2x, is that our larvae possess a heavily heterochromatic centromere. This difference may or may not be significant since the presence of a heavily heterochromatic centromere can differ between populations and its detection can vary with the stain used. The voucher C. sp. 2x slide was stained with a brand of orcein that gave much paler staining.

Unfortunately, no cox1 or $gb2\beta$ sequences of *C. decumbens* voucher specimens were available for comparison with sequences for our study larvae. Further investigation on a possible relationship between *C. decumbens* and *C.* sp. NAIII is clearly warranted.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species was found in oligotrophic to mesotrophic lakes at depths varying from 5–12 m and at pHs varying from 7.1–7.9.

Chironomus sp. NAI (C. anthracinus-group)

Material examined (Table S1): 9 larvae from Kasten Lake (Ontario).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the cox1 and $gb2\beta$ genes. This species forms a distinct clade in the cox1 ID-tree. However in the $gb2\beta$ ID-tree, sequences of C. sp. NAI cluster with those of C. anthracinus. Chosen restriction enzymes for the cox1 PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separated C. sp. NAI from the other Chironomus species. Available DNA barcodes did not allow us to identify C. sp. NAI (see discussion at the end of this section).

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are large sized thummi-type larvae with the anterior ventral tubules slightly longer than the posterior ones. Morphologically, larvae of C. sp. NAI and C. *anthracinus* are indistinguishable.

Cytology. *C.* sp. NAI has four short polytene chromosomes with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G. The most common sequence in each chromosome arm is similar to that in *C. anthracinus*.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species was found in an oligotrophic, circum-neutral (pH 6.8), lake at a depth of 7.5 m.

Taxonomic comment. *C.* sp. NAI larval morphology and cytology strongly resemble those of *C. anthracinus*. Additionally, $gb2\beta$ sequences of *C.* sp. NAI and *C. anthracinus* cluster together in the ID-tree (sequence divergence varies from 1 to 5%). In fact, there are no consistent base differences between these two $gb2\beta$ sequences. Despite this lack of morphological, cytological or genetic difference, cox1 sequences suggest that *C.* sp. NAI is a distinct species. The cox1 sequence divergence between *C.* sp. NAI and *C. anthracinus* is relatively high (4–6%), and these sequences consistently differ by 22 bases (Table S4). For comparative purposes, other interspecific differences can be much lower, with *C. staegeri* and *C. 'tigris'* differing by only 9 specific bases, *C. frommeri* and *C. 'tigris'* by 13 specific bases, and *C. staegeri* and *C. frommeri* by specific 19 bases.

In the cox1 ID-tree, the reference sequence for Palearctic C. anthracinus larvae from Lake Esrom (Denmark) clusters with larvae from our lakes that have been cytologically identified as C. anthracinus, not with the adjacent cluster formed by our C. sp. NAI sequences. We hypothesize that larvae of C. sp. NAI might be C. rempelii Thienemann (1941). Currently, there are conflicting opinions as to the status of C. rempelii. Based on adult morphology, Townes (1945) concluded that C. rempelii was a synonym of C. anthracinus Zetterstedt (1860). Shobanov et al. (1996) and Kiknadze et al. (2005) reached the same conclusion when they compared the chromosomes of these species. However, the heterochromatin on arm F and the sequences A3, C3 and F3 have so far been found only in samples from western Canada that include the type locality of C. rempelii (British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba; Kiknadze et al. 2005). The large hetrochromatic block in the original C. rempelii population occurred in all males. However, no other populations were sexed, and the smaller blocks and the inversions are rare. Consequently, the absence of these in our material is not conclusive. Samples from the type locality of C. rempelii would be required to confirm our hypothesis. We amplified the cox1 sequence of a Chironomus larva from British Columbia (Marion Lake) that was morphologically and cytologically indistinguishable from that of C. anthracinus (labelled as "C. (anthracinus-group.)" in Fig. 8) and found that its cox1 sequence (Fig. 8) clusters with sequences of C. sp. NAI. Thus cox1 sequences suggest that the currently recognized C. anthracinus in North America is not a single species, but a complex of at least two closely related species—the C. anthracinus-group. This may be the result of a recent speciation that occurred without hybridization, so that coxI has differentiated while the short and slower evolving $gb2\beta$ sequence has not had time to accumulate significant changes. Further investigations of C. sp. NAI and the species status of C. rempelii are clearly warranted.

Chironomus (Chironomus) anthracinus Zetterstedt (1860)

Material examined (Table S1): 27 larvae from Hannah Lake, Pine Lake, Raft Lake, Ramsey Lake and Silver Lake in Ontario and from Lake Arnoux and Lake Osisko in Quebec.

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the cox1 and $gb2\beta$ genes. *C. anthracinus* sequences form a distinct clade in the cox1 ID-tree and cluster with the Palearctic *C. anthracinus* reference sequence, which confirms the identification of this species. In the $gb2\beta$ ID-tree, *C. anthracinus* sequences cluster with sequences of *C.* sp. NAI, the identity of which is uncertain (see discussion in the *C.* sp. NAI section). Chosen restriction enzymes for the cox1 PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separated *C. anthracinus* larvae from the other *Chironomus* species.

Morphology. Our specimens are large sized thummi-type larvae whose anterior ventral tubules are usually longer than their posterior ones. Morphologically, larvae of *C. anthracinus* and *C.* sp. NAI are identical.

Cytology. The cytology of the 5 larvae analyzed was consistent with *C. anthracinus*, but identical to *C.* sp. NAI. *C. anthracinus* has 4 relatively short chromosomes with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G (Kiknadze *et al.* 2005). The short chromosomes mean that banding patterns are often difficult to see clearly. There are two nucleoli: one on arm G and the other on arm F.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). *C. anthracinus* is widely distributed in the Holarctic region. In the Nearctic region, it occurs across Canada (Alberta, British Colombia, Manitoba, Ontario and Saskatchewan) and the United States (California, Indiana, Massachusetts, New Hampshire New York and Wisconsin) (Sæther 1975; Oliver *et al.* 1990; Sæther 2012; Martin 2013). We found *C. anthracinus* at depths ranging from 2–12 m in highly acidic (pH 4.4) to circum-neutral (pH 8.5) lakes. The trophic status of these lakes was oligotrophic to mesotrophic, which is consistent with Sæther's (1975) suggestion that Nearctic *C. anthracinus* are more common in intermediate- to low-productivity lakes. In contrast, in the Palearctic region, *C. anthracinus* is known to be more frequently found in the profundal zone of moderately eutrophic lakes (Sæther 1975).

Chironomus (Chironomus) entis Shobanov (1989)

Material examined (Table S1): 8 larvae from Lake D'Alembert, Lake Dasserat, Lake Marlon, Lake Opasatica and Lake Pelletier (Quebec).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the cox1 and the $gb2\beta$ genes. In accordance with the findings of Makarevich *et al.* (2000), *C. entis* $gb2\beta$ has a type II intron.

In the *cox1* ID-tree, sequences of cytologically identified *C. plumosus* and *C. entis* larvae cluster together. In fact, some *C. plumosus* and *C. entis* sequences are identical. Similarities between the mitochondrial nucleotide sequences of these two species were also observed by Guryev and Blinov (2002), who found that trees based on the mitochondrial *cytb* gene did not group populations of *C. entis* and *C. plumosus* according to their species affiliation but rather according to their geographic occurrence. They attributed this phenomenon to mitochondrial gene flow that occurs when populations of sympatric sibling species produce fertile hybrids such that mitochondrial DNA appears in the progeny of the backcross.

In contrast, in accordance with the findings of Guryev and Blinov (2002), our ID-tree based on nuclear $gb2\beta$ gene sequences successfully groups *C. entis* and *C. plumosus* according to species. The intraspecific variability of the partial $gb2\beta$ nucleotide sequences of our *C. entis* (0%) and *C. plumosus* (2.3%) larvae are considerably lower that their interspecific variability (15–16%). Thus *C. entis* and *C. plumosus* can be distinguished using the $gb2\beta$ gene, but not the *cox1* gene. Lastly, since PCR-RFLP analysis was performed using the cox1 gene, this result could not be used to separate *C. entis* and *C. plumosus*.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are very large semireductus-type larvae. Morphologically, *C. entis* and *C. plumosus* are almost indistinguishable. Kiknadze *et al.* (1991) described the outer hooks on the anterior margin of the ventromental plates as being shorter and blunter in *C. plumosus* than in *C. entis* in Palearctic populations; however, we did not observe such differences in our specimens. *C. entis* are semireductus-type larvae, whereas those of *C. plumosus* vary from being semireductus-type to plumosus-type. In fact, some *C. plumosus* larvae from our study lakes have ventral tubules that are intermediate between the plumosus-type and semireductus-type. Within a given lake, the ventral tubules of *C. plumosus* were always longer than those of *C. entis*, which allowed the larvae of these species to be separated. However, between lakes, there was considerable overlap in their lengths. Consequently, *C. plumosus* and *C. entis* cannot be distinguished based solely on morphology such that cytological and genetic techniques are needed to unambiguously separate them.

Cytology. All larvae were analyzed cytologically to confirm the identification of this species and to verify the accuracy of the DNA results. *C. entis* has four relatively short chromosomes with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G (Kiknadze *et al.* 2000a; Kiknadze *et al.* 2000b; Gunderina *et al.* 2009), with only a single nucleolus in arm G. It shares two rare sequences with Nearctic populations of *C. plumosus* (Kiknadze *et al.* 2000a).

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). *C. entis* has previously been reported from lakes in Canada (British Columbia, Manitoba, Ontario and Saskatchewan) and in the United States (Colorado, Indiana, Minnesota, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota and Wisconsin) (Kiknadze *et al.* 2000a; Martin 2013). We found *C. entis* in mesotrophic to eutrophic, circum-neutral (pH 7.1–8.3) lakes at depths ranging from 1–9 m.

Chironomus (Chironomus) plumosus Linnaeus (1758)

Material examined (Table S1): 33 larvae from lakes in Quebec (Lake D'Alembert, Lake Duprat, Lake Fortune, Lake Kinojévis, Lake Marlon, Lake Osisko, Lake Pelletier, Lake Rouyn and Lake Saint Augustin) as well as Kelly Lake in Ontario.

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the *cox1* and *gb2* β genes. In accordance with the findings of Makarevich *et al.* (2000), *C. plumosus gb2* β has a type II intron. *C. plumosus* cannot be distinguished from *C. entis* on the basis of *cox1* sequences. However, these species can be separated using *gb2* β sequences (see comments in the *C. entis* DNA section).

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are very large semireductus (commonly referred to as "semi-reductus" type in reference to *C. plumosus*)—to plumosus-type larvae (see comment in section on *C. entis* morphology). Morphologically, larvae of *C. entis* and *C. plumosus* are indistinguishable (see comment in *C. entis* morphology section).

Cytology. All larvae were analyzed cytologically to confirm the identification of this species and to verify the accuracy of the DNA results. *C. plumosus* has four relatively short chromosomes with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G (Butler *et al.* 1999) with only a single nucleolus in arm G.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species was previously known from lakes in Canada (British

Columbia, Manitoba, Ontario and Saskatchewan) and the United States (Alabama, California, Colorado, Indiana, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota and Wisconsin) (Butler *et al.* 1999; Martin 2013) at depths up to 23m (Martin 2013). We found *C. plumosus* at depths ranging from 1–8 m, and in oligotrophic to eutrophic lakes ranging in pH from 6.8–8.5.

Chironomus (Chironomus) maturus Johannsen (1908)

Material examined (Table S1): 10 larvae from Lake Bédard (Quebec).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the cox1 and the $gb2\beta$ genes. In both ID-trees, *C. maturus* sequences form a distinct clade. In the cox1 ID-tree, sequences of the larvae we collected cluster with the reference sequence of *C. maturus*, thereby confirming the identification of this species. *Cox1* PCR-RFLP analysis accurately separated *C. maturus* larvae from the other *Chironomus* species.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are large sized plumosus-type larvae with long ventral and lateral tubules. The frontoclypeus is pale; however, some *C. maturus* from other regions are reported to have a dark frontoclypeus (Martin 2013). Larvae of *C. maturus* could be distinguished from the other collected plumosus-type larvae through a combination of morphological features (see morphological key at the end of this section).

Cytology. *C. maturus* larvae were not identified through cytology because their chromosomes were not in good enough condition. *C. maturus* is known to possess four polytene chromosomes with a maturus arm combination of AF, BE, CD, G. The cytology of *C. maturus* has been described by Wülker and Martin (1974) and Kiknadze *et al.* (2004).

Description and ecology. This species has been recorded previously from shallow pools and polluted water bodies (Martin 2013) in central Canada (Manitoba and Ontario) and the United States (Alaska, California, Dakota, Indiana, Louisiana, New Mexico, New York, South Dakota and Wisconsin) (Oliver *et al.* 1990; Martin 2013). We collected *C. maturus* in a mesotrophic and circum-neutral (pH = 7.3) lake.

Chironomus (Chironomus) decorus-group sp. 2 Butler et al. (1995)

Material examined (Table S1): 17 larvae from Lake Adéline, Lake Dufault, Lake Duprat, Lake Fortune, Lake Opasatica and the St. Charles River in Quebec as well as Silver Lake in Ontario.

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). In the *cox1* ID-tree, *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 nucleotide sequences form a distinct clade. Sequences of larvae we collected cluster with the reference sequence of *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 from GenBank, therefore confirming the identity of this species. The *cox1* interspecific sequence divergence between *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 and reference sequence *C. quinnitukqut* (3%) (data not shown) is within the intraspecific sequence divergence and the used to separate these species. *Cox1* PCR-RFLP analysis correctly separates *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 from the other *Chironomus* species. We were not successful in amplifying the *gb2β* gene of this species.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are mostly medium sized bathophilus- or fluviatilis-type larvae (Table S1). However, whereas Quebec specimens had no lateral tubules, those from Silver Lake, Ontario, had small lateral tubules (melanotus-type). The 3^{rd} inner tooth of the mandibles is pale and fused to the lower margin, however, in lakes from other regions, they are reported to be partially darkened (Martin 2013). From the morphological description of *C. quinnitukqut* in Martin (2013), larvae of *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 that do not possess lateral tubules could not be distinguished from those of *C. quinnitukqut*. Furthermore, larvae of *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 cannot be distinguished from those of *C. bifurcatus*.

Cytology. The cytology of the two larvae analyzed clearly indicates that they belong to a species currently referred to as *C. decorus*-group sp. 2. *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 has four polytene chromosomes with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G (Butler *et al.* 1995). Typical of members of the *C. decorus*-group, it has only a single nucleolus, which is virtually terminal in arm G.

Description and ecology. This species has been collected previously in Canada (Saskatchewan) and the United States (Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Dakota, Vermont and Wisconsin) (Butler *et al.* 1995; Martin 2013). *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 larvae are reported from depths greater than 10 m (Martin

2013); however, in our study *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 larvae were found at depths of 1-5 m. *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 was collected in oligotrophic to mesotrophic lakes of circum-neutral pH (7.2–7.6). However, it was also found in the St. Charles River where sediments have been contaminated by untreated municipal waste waters.

Chironomus (Chironomus) harpi Sublette (in Wülker et al. 1991)

Material examined (Table S1): 6 larvae from Lake Arnoux (Quebec).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the cox1 and $gb2\beta$ genes. C. harpi sequences form distinct clades in both the cox1 and $gb2\beta$ ID-trees. Cox1 sequences of collected larvae cluster with the reference sequence of C. harpi, thus confirming the identification of this species. Cox1 PCR-RFLP profiles were not obtained for C. harpi. However, through simulation digests, we were able to demonstrate that the chosen restriction enzymes (*SspI*, *HinfI*, *RsaI* and *TaqI*) would have accurately separated C. harpi from the other Chironomus species.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens fit the morphological description of *C. harpi* (Martin 2013). They are medium sized plumosus-type larvae with posterior ventral tubules longer than the anterior ones. Morphologically, larvae of *C. harpi* strongly resemble those of the other plumosus-type larvae collected in our study by having a pale frontoclypeus (*C. frommeri*, *C. staegeri* and *C. maturus*). *C. harpi* larvae can be distinguished from larvae of these other species principally by the type of the middle trifid tooth on the mentum (see Table 6).

Cytology. We did not identify *C. harpi* through cytology. They are reported to possess four polytene chromosomes with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G, with a large nucleolus near the centromere of arm D, and a second nucleolus sometimes developed medially in arm G. (Wülker *et al.* 1991).

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). In our study, we found *C. harpi* at depths of 1-4 m in a lake that has been heavily impacted by acid mine drainage (pH 2.7–3.8). This further supports the identification of this species, since *C. harpi* had been reported previously only from acidic pools (Arkansas, Illinois, New York and South Dakota) (Martin 2013).

Chironomus (Chironomus) bifurcatus Wuelker, Martin, Kiknadze, Sublette and Michiels (2009)

Material examined (Table S1): 50 larvae collected in Quebec (Lake Adéline, Lake Arnoux, Lake D'Alembert, Lake Duprat, Lake Kinojévis, Lake Opasatica and Lake St. Joseph) and in Ontario (McFarlane Lake and Silver Lake).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both the *cox1* and *gb2* β genes. In the *cox1* ID-tree, sequences of collected larvae cluster with the reference sequences of *C. bifurcatus*, thereby confirming the identification of this species. However, in the *cox1* ID-tree, collected and reference *C. bifurcatus* nucleotide sequences form two distinct clades (labelled in Fig. 8 as group 1 and group 2) that differed by 7 specific bases (Table S5). There were also consistent cytological differences between these two groups (see cytology section). However, the sequence divergence between *cox1*-sequences from these two groups is low (2%) and, in the *gb2* β ID-tree, *C. bifurcatus* sequences from both groups cluster together.

Three different profiles were created through PCR-RFLP analysis of the *cox1* gene. One profile included all group 1 larvae and the other two profiles (obtained using the *Taq1* enzyme) included all group 2 larvae. Simulation digests demonstrate that the *Ssp1*, *Hinf1*, *Rsa1* and *Taq1* restriction enzymes would not have separated *C. bifurcatus* (gr. 2) larvae from *C. dilutus* because in some cases their restriction fragment lengths are so similar that it would be difficult to differentiate them on an agarose gel. However, simulation digests demonstrate that both species should be separable using the restriction enzyme *AluI*.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are medium sized bathophilus-type larvae with the anterior ventral tubules slightly longer than the posterior ones. All of our larvae lacked lateral tubules. In contrast, larvae from some populations of *C. bifurcatus* are reported to have either very small (180 μ m) lateral tubules (about 180 μ m; Wuelker *et al.* 2009) (melanotus-type) or be fluviatilis-type (Martin 2013). The frontoclypeus of our *C. bifurcatus* larvae is pale, but is reported to be slightly darkened in larvae from some other regions (Wuelker *et al.* 2009). There were no morphological differences between larvae belonging to groups 1 and 2. Morphologically, larvae of *C. bifurcatus* cannot be distinguished from those of *C. decorus*-group sp. 2.

Cytology. The cytology of the 5 specimens analyzed permitted us to identify them as *C. bifurcatus*. Larvae of this species have four polytene chromosomes with the thummi arm combination of AB, CD, EF, G, as well as a single, virtually terminal, nucleolus in arm G (Wuelker *et al.* 2009). However, we noted some cytological differences among our larvae and among reference specimens that allowed all of the specimens to be separated into two groups that corresponded to those mentioned above for the *cox1* gene. Thus larvae from group 1 have cytological sequences B1 and F1 with no median Balbiani ring in the middle of arm G.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species has been collected previously in southern Canada (Manitoba, Ontario and Quebec) and the northern United States (Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin) (Wuelker *et al.* 2009; Martin 2013). We collected larvae of *C. bifurcatus* gr. 1 only in Quebec lakes (Lake Arnoux, Lake D'Alembert, Lake Duprat, Lake Opasatica and Lake St. Joseph), whereas those in gr. 2 were found in lakes in both Quebec (Lake Kinojévis and Lake St. Joseph) and Ontario (McFarlane Lake and Silver Lake). Larvae belonging to the two genetic groups differed somewhat in their distribution with respect to lake water pH, trophic status and water depth. Specifically, larvae of *C. bifurcatus* (gr. 1) were collected in acidic to circum-neutral (pH 2.7–7.6) and oligotrophic to eutrophic lakes at depths ranging from 1.5–9 m. In contrast, larvae of *C. bifurcatus* (gr. 2) were collected in circum-neutral (pH 7.0–7.8) and oligotrophic to mesotrophic lakes over a wider range of depths (4–24m). We note that larvae of *C. bifurcatus* (gr. 2) were always found along with those of *C. staegeri* and *C. 'tigris'* whereas this was not the case for gr. 1 larvae.

Taxonomic comments. Although *cox1* sequences and cytological differences separate *C. bifurcatus* into two groups, we suggest that it is premature to recognize two species until further work has been completed.

Chironomus (Chironomus) dilutus Shobanov, Kiknadze and Butler (1999)

Material examined (Table S1): 9 larvae from Kelly Lake (Ontario).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). We were able to obtain PCR products for both cox1 and $gb2\beta$ genes. In accordance with the findings of Makarevich et al. (2000), C. dilutus $gb2\beta$ has no intron. In the cox1 ID-tree (Fig. 8), reference sequences of C. pallidivittatus (sensu Beermann 1955) cluster with reference sequences of C. dilutus. Similarities between the mitochondrial nucleotide sequences of these two species were also observed by Martin et al. (2002), who showed that trees based on mitochondrial sequences clustered populations of C. dilutus and C. pallidivittatus according to their geographic distribution, whereas those based on nuclear sequences clustered populations according to their species affiliation. The inability of the mitochondrial cox1 gene to separate C. dilutus and C. pallidivittatus is likely due to mitochondrial gene flow (Martin et al. 2002). To confirm the identification of larvae whose *cox1* sequences clustered with reference *C. dilutus* and *C. pallidivittatus* sequences, we amplified and sequenced the partial $gb2\beta$ gene. Partial $gb2\beta$ gene sequences of all larvae were identical to the C. dilutus reference sequence (Fig. 9), which suggests that our larvae are not C. pallidivittatus but more likely C. dilutus. Cox1 PCR-RFLP profiles were not obtained for C. dilutus. However, simulation digests demonstrated that the SspI, HinfI, RsaI and TaqI restriction enzymes would not have separated C. dilutus from C. bifurcatus and C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i) because their restriction fragment lengths are so similar in some cases that it would be difficult to differentiate them on an agarose gel. However, simulation digests demonstrate that C. dilutus could be distinguished from C. bifurcatus and C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i) using the restriction enzyme AluI.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are large sized plumosus-type larvae with their posterior ventral tubules usually longer than their anterior ventral tubules. Although the teeth of the mentum and mandibles of C. *dilutus* are reported to be rounded (Martin 2013), in our larvae, they varied from being rounded to sharp, which suggests that this feature cannot be used to identify larvae of C. *dilutus* in our study area. Morphologically, larvae of C. *dilutus* cannot be distinguished from those of C. *pallidivittatus* (not collected in our study; see Martin 2013).

Cytology. *C. dilutus* larvae have four polytene chromosomes with the camptochironomus arm combination of AB, DE, CF, G. The cytology of *C. dilutus* has been described by several authors (see Martin 2013).

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species has been found previously in numerous localities across Canada (Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, Ontario and Saskatchewan) and the northern United States (Iowa, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wisconsin and Wyoming) (Shobanov *et al.* 1999; Martin 2013). *C. dilutus* is known to thrive in organically-enriched eutrophic water bodies (Townes 1945, referred to as *Tendipes (Tendipes) tentans* (Fabricius)). We collected large numbers of *C. dilutus* in

eutrophic Kelly Lake (at 5 m, pH 7.5) where sediments have been highly contaminated by untreated sewage from the city of Sudbury (1880s–1972) along with discharges from mining, milling and smelting operations (1880s– present) (City of Greater Sudbury 2013). Most of the larvae we collected had deformed mouthparts, likely due to the contaminants to which they were exposed (Hare & Carter 1976).

Chironomus (Chaetolabis) ochreatus Townes (1945)

Material examined (Table S1): 2 larvae from Lake Opasatica (Quebec).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). In the *cox1* ID-tree, *C. ochreatus* nucleotide sequences clearly form a distinct clade. Sequences of collected species cluster with the reference sequence of *C. ochreatus* thereby confirming the identification of this species. We were not successful in amplifying the $gb2\beta$ gene for this species and *cox1* PCR-RFLP profiles were not obtained for this species. However, simulation digests using the restriction endonucleases *SspI*, *Hinfl*, *RsaI* and *TaqI* demonstrate that *cox1* PCR-RFLP analysis would have separated *C. ochreatus* from the other *Chironomus* species.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are medium sized thummi-type larvae. The 3rd inner teeth of the mandibles are partially darkened and fused to the lower margin (type B; Fig. 6). In contrast, in specimens studied by Martin (2013), the 3rd inner tooth was pale and well separated from the lower margin.

Cytology. The cytology of the two larvae analyzed clearly indicates that this species is *C. ochreatus*. *C. ochreatus* has three polytene chromosomes that are thought to have a modified thummi arm combination of AB, CD, GEF (Martin 2012). Arm G is generally unpaired with a nucleolus near the junction with arm E.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species was previously known from the eastern United States (Arkansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia and Wisconsin) (Townes 1945; Oliver *et al.* 1990; Martin 2013). In our study, we collected *C. ochreatus* in a single mesotrophic Quebec lake at a depth of 2 m (pH 7.7).

Chironomus (Chaetolabis) nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i) Martin (2013)

C. atroviridis Townes has been found to comprise two species in North America, one with four polytene chromosomes (sp. 2i of Martin 2013), and the other with only three polytene chromosomes (sp. 2h of Martin 2013). Only the former species occurred in our samples.

Material examined (Table S1): 4 larvae from Lake Marlon (Quebec).

DNA (Figs. 8–9 and Tables 4–5, S3). In the *cox1* ID-tree, *C*. nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i) nucleotide sequences form a distinct clade. Sequences of collected larvae cluster with the reference sequence of *C*. nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i), which confirms the identification of this species. We were not successful in amplifying the $gb2\beta$ gene of this species. *Cox1* PCR-RFLP profiles were not obtained for this species. However, simulation digests demonstrate that *SspI*, *HinfI*, *RsaI* and *TaqI* could not be used to separate *C*. nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i) from all *C*. *dilutus* specimens because their restriction fragment lengths are so similar that it would be difficult to differentiate them on an agarose gel. However, simulation digests demonstrate that both species could be separated using the restriction enzyme *AluI*.

Morphology (Table 6). Our specimens are large sized thummi-type larvae. They are morphologically very similar to those of *C. ochreatus*, in that both species have the teeth of the pecten epipharyngis flattened, however, the gula of *C. nr. atroviridis* (sp. 2i) is darkened posteriorly whereas that of *C. ochreatus* is pale or only slightly darkened.

Cytology. The cytology of the 2 larvae analyzed clearly indicates that this species is *C*. nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i). *C*. nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i) has four polytene chromosomes with some indication of a thummi arm combination (Martin 2013). Arm G is generally unpaired with a virtually terminal nucleolus. There are no nucleoli in the other chromosomes.

Distribution and ecology (Table 7). This species has been collected previously in Manitoba and Ontario in shallow water near macrophytes (Martin 2013). Likewise, we collected *C*. nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i) in the vegetated littoral zone (1 m depth) of a mesotrophic to eutrophic lake (pH 7.4).

Taxonomic comment. Wiederholm (1979) considered C. ochreatus to be a synonym of C. atroviridis, but

mentioned that further study was needed. Because Wiederholm (1979) was not aware that there were two forms of *C. atroviridis* (2i and 2h; Martin 2013), we do not know which form would correspond to the material he was comparing. In any case, our results clearly indicate that *C.* nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i) is distinct from *C. ochreatus*. First, the *cox1* sequences of *C.* nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i) and of *C. ochreatus* are strikingly different such that their average interspecific divergence (12%; Table 5) is much higher than their intraspecific divergences (<3%; Table S3). Second, *C.* nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i) has 4 chromosomes whereas *C. ochreatus* has only 3. *C. ochreatus* also differs from the three chromosome forms of *C.* nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2h) by the position of the nucleolus, which is subterminal in the latter species.

Morphological key to larvae of the Chironomus species collected in our study

The following key for identifying fourth-instar larvae of the *Chironomus* species collected in our study is based on the morphology of the tubules on the 10th and 11th body segments and features of the head capsule. Illustrations of these features are given in Figures 1–7.

Although the majority of our study species can be separated using the following key, we acknowledge that, as with most morphological classifications, the characters used are likely to show some variability due to genetic or environmental factors. In this key, larvae are first separated based on the absence/presence, length and shape of tubules, which was effective in separating larvae of all of our collected species with the exception of *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 since some larvae of this species had lateral tubules whereas others did not. The ventral tubules of *C. decorus*-group sp. 2 larvae also varied from being straight (bathophilus-type) to slightly curved (fluviatilis-type). Our *C. bifurcatus* larvae were all bathophilus-type, but in other geographical regions have been ascribed to several larval types (bathophilus, fluviatilis or melanotus; Martin 2013) and these differences are reported to be related to the depth or the type of substrate on which larvae occur (Martin 2013). At an extreme, the presence or absence of lateral tubules among *C. bifurcatus* larvae is reported to vary among larvae hatched from the single egg mass from which the type was reared. (J. Martin, unpublished).

Note that this key is based on morphological features of the *Chironomus* species that we collected, such that other species in the Nearctic could fit these descriptions (see notes at the end of the key).

1	11 th segment without ventral tubules; 10 th segment without lateral tubules (salinarius-type larvae)
-	11 th segment with one or two pairs of ventral tubules
2	Frontoclypeus with a dark longitudinal stripe and a lobed dark spot in the middle; central trifid tooth of mentum with outer
	teeth almost completely separated from middle tooth (type C); 3rd inner tooth of mandibles partially darkened and fused to
	lower margin (type B) C. sp. NAII ¹
-	Frontoclypeus pale or slightly darkened with a lobed dark spot in anterior portion; central trifid tooth of mentum with outer
	teeth partially separated from middle tooth (type B); 3 rd inner tooth of mandibles pale and fused to lower margin (type A)
3	11 th segment with only one pair of short ventral tubules (located posteriorly): 10 th segment without lateral tubules (halophilus-
	type)
	11^{th} segment with two pairs of ventral tubules 4
4	10^{th} segment without lateral tubules
	10^{th} segment with a pair of lateral tubules
5	Ventral tubules straight (bathonbilus-type) or slightly curved (fluviatilis-type)
5	C bifureatus (in part) or C decorus aroun sp 2 (in part) ³
	Anterior ventral tubules with an albow: posterior ventral tubules coiled (thummi tupe)
-	
- 6	Pecten enipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D)
6	Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D)
- 6 - 7	Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D)
- 6 - 7	Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D)
- 6 - 7 - 8	Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D) 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth elongated (type A or B) 7 Gular region almost completely pale or at most slightly darkened <i>C. anthracinus</i> or <i>C. sp.</i> NAI Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>C. nr. atroviridis</i> (2i) Ventral tubules straight or slightly curved 9
- 6 - 7 - 8	Anterior ventral tubules with an erbow, posterior ventral tubules concd (utualinitype). 0 Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D) 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth elongated (type A or B) 7 Gular region almost completely pale or at most slightly darkened <i>C. anthracinus</i> or <i>C. sp.</i> NAI Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>C. ochreatus</i> Gular region darkened posteriorly 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 10
- 6 - 7 - 8 - 9	Anterior ventral tubules with an erbow, posterior ventral tubules coned (utalinin-type). 0 Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D) 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth elongated (type A or B) 7 Gular region almost completely pale or at most slightly darkened <i>C. anthracinus</i> or <i>C. sp. NAI</i> Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>C. ochreatus</i> Gular region darkened posteriorly 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 10 Ventral tubules equal to or greater than the width of the 11 th segment (melanotus type); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible pale 10
- 6 - 7 - 8 - 9	Anterior ventral tubules with an erbow, posterior ventral tubules coned (utufinin-type). 6 Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D) 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth elongated (type A or B) 7 Gular region almost completely pale or at most slightly darkened <i>C. anthracinus</i> or <i>C. sp.</i> NAI Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>C. ochreatus</i> Gular region darkened posteriorly 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 10 Ventral tubules equal to or greater than the width of the 11 th segment (melanotus type); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible pale 10 Ventral tubules equal to or greater than the width of the 11 th segment (melanotus type); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible pale 10
- 7 - 8 - 9	Anterior ventral tubules with an erbow, posterior ventral tubules coned (utalinin-type). 6 Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D) 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth elongated (type A or B) 7 Gular region almost completely pale or at most slightly darkened <i>C. anthracinus</i> or <i>C. sp.</i> NAI Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>C. ochreatus</i> Gular region darkened posteriorly 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 10 Ventral tubules equal to or greater than the width of the 11 th segment (melanotus type); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible pale 10 Ventral tubules less than the width of the 11 th segment (semireductus-type larvae): 3 rd inner tooth of mandible dark 10
- 7 - 8 - 9	Anterior ventral tubules with an endow, posterior ventral tubules coned (infinit-type). 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D) 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth elongated (type A or B) 7 Gular region almost completely pale or at most slightly darkened <i>C. anthracinus</i> or <i>C. sp.</i> NAI Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>C. ochreatus</i> Gular region darkened posteriorly 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 10 Ventral tubules equal to or greater than the width of the 11 th segment (melanotus type); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible pale
- 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 -	Anterior ventral tubules with all clow, posterior ventral tubules coned (infinit-type). 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth elongated (type A or B) 7 Gular region almost completely pale or at most slightly darkened <i>C. anthracinus</i> or <i>C. sp.</i> NAI Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>C. ochreatus</i> Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>Pecter at most slightly darkened</i> Ventral tubules straight or slightly curved 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 10 Ventral tubules equal to or greater than the width of the 11 th segment (melanotus type); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible pale <i>C. atifurcatus</i> (in part) Ventral tubules less than the width of the 11 th segment (semireductus-type larvae); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible dark <i>C. entis</i> or <i>C. plumosus</i> (in part) 3 rd inner tooth of mandible partially dark to dark 11
- 7 - 8 - 9 -	Anterior ventral tubules with all crow, posterior ventral tubules coned (infamilitype). 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth flattened (type C or D) 7 Pecten epipharyngis teeth elongated (type A or B) 7 Gular region almost completely pale or at most slightly darkened <i>C. anthracinus</i> or <i>C. sp.</i> NAI Gular region darkened posteriorly <i>C. ochreatus</i> Gular region darkened posteriorly 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 9 Anterior pair of ventral tubules with an elbow; posterior pair of ventral tubules coiled (plumosus-type larvae) 10 Ventral tubules equal to or greater than the width of the 11 th segment (melanotus type); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible pale 10 Ventral tubules less than the width of the 11 th segment (semireductus-type larvae); 3 rd inner tooth of mandible dark 11 3 rd inner tooth of mandible partially dark to dark 11 3 rd inner tooth of mandible pale 11

11	Frontoclypeus pale and gula strongly to completely darkened
-	Frontoclypeus dark and gula slightly to posteriorly darkened C. dilutus ⁴
12	Anterior margin of ventromental plates crenulated
-	Anterior margin of ventromental plates smooth to relatively smooth
13	Frontoclypeus pale
-	Frontoclypeus dark
14	3 rd inner tooth of mandible fused to lower margin, central trifid tooth of mentum with outer teeth only partially separated from
	middle tooth (type B) and 4th lateral teeth reduced to the height of the 5th lateral teeth (type II); found in highly acidic waters
-	3 rd inner tooth of mandible separated from lower margin, central trifid tooth of mentum with outer teeth distinctly separated
	from middle tooth (type D) and 4 th lateral teeth only slightly reduced (type I) <i>C. maturus</i> (in part)
15	Gula pale to slightly darkened and 4 th lateral teeth of mentum only slightly reduced (type I) <i>C. maturus</i> (in part)
-	Gula strongly to completely darkened and 4 th lateral teeth of mentum about the same height as the 5 th lateral teeth (type II)

Notes:

- ¹ It is likely that *C. atritibia* (Malloch 1934) would also key here, as it is reported to have a salinarius-type larva (Wülker & Butler 1983). Although *C. atritibia* is thought to have a more northerly distribution, we cannot rule out the possibility that it corresponds to either *C.* sp. NAII or *C.* sp. NAIII.
- ² In our specimens, the mean AR of *C. cucini* (2.08) was significantly greater than that of *C.* sp. NAIII (1.77). Although there was some overlap in the range of ARs between the two species, 4 of 5 *C. cucini* larvae had an AR >2.0, whereas 10 of 11 specimens of *C.* sp. NAIII had an AR <1.95. The more southern *C. major* (Wülker & Butler 1983) would also key here, but is much larger (30–55 mm; Epler 2001).
- ³ Other Nearctic species of the *C. decorus*-group (Wuelker 2010; Sæther 2012) are also likely to key out here (ex. *C. quinni-tukqut*)
- ⁴ *C. pallidivittatus* would also key out here.
- ⁵ *C. crassicaudatus* (Malloch 1915) would also key out here.

Approaches used to delimit Chironomus species

No single approach (morphology, cytology, genetics) was adequate for delimiting and identifying larvae of all of the *Chironomus* species that we collected.

Thus larval morphology alone could not be used to separate five pairs of *Chironomus* species that we collected, i.e., *C. cucini* and *C.* sp. NAIII, *C. bifurcatus* and *C. decorus*-group sp. 2, *C. anthracinus* and *C.* sp. NAI, *C. staegeri* and *C. frommeri*, as well as *C. entis* and *C. plumosus*. Furthermore, some Nearctic species that we did not collect are reported to be morphologically identical to our study species (see notes at the end of the morphologically from those that we collected, and it is known that some larval characters are affected by wear, environmental conditions and genetic variation (Martin 2013). This said, larval morphology was undeniably important when used in combination with other methods of species delimitation.

Differences in larval cytology, based on the structure of salivary-gland polytene chromosomes, allowed the definitive identification of many of the species that we collected. Indeed, the cytology of most North American *Chironomus* species has been described (Martin 2013). However, one must bear in mind that cytology is faced with the same challenges as the other identification methods; that is, it is not always possible to determine whether or not differences in chromosome banding patterns and other structures are attributable to species differences or to regional or individual differences within a given species (Martin 2011). Indeed, only a handful of taxonomists worldwide have the necessary expertise to identify *Chironomus* species through cytology, which is a major drawback for non-cytological experts wishing to identify *Chironomus* species.

Genetic techniques, namely PCR-RFLP analysis and DNA barcoding of the *cox1* gene, successfully separated and identified most of the *Chironomus* species that we collected. We present the first *cox1* sequences for many of the known Nearctic *Chironomus* species (*C. acidophilus*, *C. anthracinus*, *C. bifurcatus*, *C. cucini*, *C. frommeri*, *C. harpi*, *C.* nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i), *C. ochreatus*, *C. plumosus*, *C. quinnitukqut*, *C.* sp. g, *C.* sp. h and *C. 'tigris'*). However, DNA barcoding failed to distinguish between two species pairs (*C. entis* and *C. plumosus*; *C. dilutus* and *C. pallidivittatus*) because each pair has identical *cox1* nucleotides sequences. Such sequence similarities are likely the result of mitochondrial gene flow, and have been found in a number of closely related species groups around the world (e.g. Martin 2011).

Using the $gb2\beta$ gene, we successfully separated C. entis from C. plumosus and C. dilutus from C. pallidivittatus and confirmed the species statuses of C. cucini, C. bifurcatus, C. harpi, C. maturus, C. sp. NAIII and C. 'tigris'. We present the first published $gb2\beta$ sequences for C. anthracinus, C. bifurcatus, C. calligraphus, C. *cucini*, C. harpi, C. maturus, C. sp. u and C. 'tigris'. One downside of using the $gb2\beta$ gene was that we were not able to obtain PCR products for all species. In fact, no primer combination was able to amplify all Chironomus species, which is a limitation when using this gene for DNA barcoding. For these species we sometimes obtained two PCR products or sequences belonging to the globin 7A or 9 genes. Our results confirm those of Hankeln et al. (1997) who found that this gene is highly variable and that the only conserved regions are also conserved in the gb7A and gb9 genes. This is a major drawback for using the $gb2\beta$ gene for identifying *Chironomus* species. Thus, the use of another nuclear gene might be more appropriate for *Chironomus* species identifications. Other studies have used the nuclear internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region to separate C. plumosus from C. entis as well as other Chironomus species (Gunderina & Katokhin 2011; Martin 2011; Gunderina 2012). The nuclear carbamoylphosphate synthetase (CAD) region has also been successfully used to identify chironomid species (Carew *et al.* 2011). Another disadvantage of using the $gb2\beta$ gene is that nuclear genes evolve more slowly than do mitochondrial genes. Thus, relatively recent speciation might not always be detected when using the $gb2\beta$ gene. This might be the reason why sequences of C. sp. NAI and C. anthracinus, as well as those of C. bifurcatus (gr. 1) and C. bifurcatus (gr. 2), differ for the cox1 gene but are identical for the $gb2\beta$ gene. Thus, incorporation of both mitochondrial and nuclear genes, whose modes of inheritance and mutation rate differ, clearly provides better resolution for Chironomus species identification.

Several studies have advocated the use of sequence divergence thresholds to separate species (ex. Hebert *et al.* 2004b). However, our results demonstrate that sequence divergence thresholds cannot be used to separate all Chironomus species. Thus we recorded overlap between intra- and inter-specific sequence divergences for both of the genes that we studied (Table 5); similar overlaps have been reported for other Chironomus species (Martin 2011), as well as for species of other chironomid genera (Carew et al. 2005; Ekrem et al. 2007) and other types of dipterans (Meier *et al.* 2006). In our study, *Chironomus cox1* intraspecific sequence divergences were $\leq 3\%$ (Table S3). For most species, *cox1* interspecific sequence divergences ranged from 9% to 20%, but between some of our study species the interspecific divergences ranged from 1 to 4% (Table 5). This overlap was due either to some of our study species sharing identical sequences (i.e., C. entis/C. plumosus and C. dilutus/C. pallidivittatus) or to interspecific divergences being so low that they fell within the intraspecific range for the genus (i.e., C. staegeri/C. 'tigris'/C. frommeri (Table 5) and C. decorus-group sp. 2/C. quinnitukqut (data not shown)). With respect to the $gb2\beta$ gene, Chironomus species intraspecific sequence divergences were $\leq 2\%$. The interspecific divergences between most species ranged from 5 to 46% (Table 5), but sequence divergences between C. sp. NAI and C. anthracinus ranged from 1 to 5% (Table 5), which is within the intraspecific range for species. In light of our results, the calculated intraspecific sequence divergences of 3% for the cox1 gene and 2% for the $gb2\beta$ gene can be used as a guide to help sort Chironomus species, but should not be used in isolation. DeSalle et al. (2005) have suggested that, rather than looking at sequence divergences, specific base differences that characterize related species should be sought. We used this approach to determine whether or not C. sp. NAI and C. anthracinus, as well as C. bifurcatus (gr. 1) and C. bifurcatus (gr. 2), are distinct species. However, even when using specific base differences, we are still faced with the same challenge inherent to other species-delimitating methods; that is, how much of a difference is needed for species to be considered different.

DNA barcoding is more precise than PCR-RFLP because it allows the exact determination of base pair differences between individuals. Nevertheless, when a large number of individuals need to be identified, PCR-RFLP has been advocated as a cost-effective technique to assess molecular variation (Pfrender *et al.* 2010). However, as the cost of sequencing continues to fall, sequencing is becoming the most effective and economical approach, even for determining large numbers of individuals. The disadvantage of the PCR-RFLP approach is that if the right enzymes are not chosen for analysis, sequence nucleotide differences can go unnoticed. In our study, the first chosen restriction enzymes (*Sspl*, *Hinfl*, *Rsal* and *Taql*) were not able to discern differences in the *cox1* nucleotide sequences of *C. bifurcatus*, *C. dilutus* and *C. nr. atroviridis* (sp. 2i) as well as those of *C. staegeri*, *C. 'tigris'* and *C. frommeri*. Additional restriction enzymes were necessary to separate these species. Likewise, PCR-RFLP did not discriminate between *C. entis* and *C. plumosus* and it would not likely be able to separate *C. dilutus* from *C. pallidivittatus* because both of these species pairs share identical *cox1* sequences.

Overall, for non-cytological experts, we recommend the use of combined genetic and morphological

techniques to identify *Chironomus* larvae to species since this combination was much more effective than either of these techniques alone.

Overall conclusions and recommendations for identifying Chironomus species

Overall, using morphology, cytology and genetics we conclude that our 404 *Chironomus* larvae represent 17 species, 14 of which have been identified as *C*. (*Chaetolabis*) nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i), *C*. (*Chaetolabis*) ochreatus, *C*. (*Chironomus*) anthracinus, *C*. (*Chironomus*) bifurcatus, *C*. (*Chironomus*) cucini, *C*. (*Chironomus*) decorus-group sp. 2, *C*. (*Chironomus*) dilutus, *C*. (*Chironomus*) entis, *C*. (*Chironomus*) frommeri, *C*. (*Chironomus*) harpi, *C*. (*Chironomus*) maturus, *C*. (*Chironomus*) plumosus, *C*. (*Chironomus*) staegeri and *C*. (*Chironomus*) 'tigris' while the identification of three others remains uncertain (*C*. sp. NAI-III). The species status of *C*. sp. NAI requires further investigation and additional studies are necessary to determine whether are not *C*. bifurcatus is a single species or a complex of at least two closely related species. Of the 14 identified *Chironomus* species, two belong to the subgenus *Chaetolabis* whereas 12 belong to the subgenus *Chironomus*.

We collected and identified 11 (*C. anthracinus*, *C. bifurcatus*, *C. cucini*, *C. decorus*-group sp. 2, *C. dilutus*, *C. entis*, *C. maturus*, *C.* nr. *atroviridis* (sp. 2i), *C. plumosus*, *C. staegeri* and *C. 'tigris'*) of the 20 *Chironomus* species currently known from the Canadian provinces east of the Rocky Mountains (that is, from Canada excluding British Columbia and the three northern territories; Martin 2013). Since all but three of the 31 water bodies that we sampled are located in the same ecozone (the Boreal Shield), some of the nine species that we did not find could be restricted to other ecozones, such as the prairies, where water chemistry and other factors are likely to differ from those in Boreal Shield lakes. In fact, it is surprising that we were able to collected so many *Chironomus* species from a single ecozone in which lake waters are generally nutrient poor, circum-neutral and soft (low concentrations of calcium and magnesium), which is likely to limit the range of habitats available for *Chironomus* species. This large proportion of known species is likely explained by the fact that our study lakes in this ecozone encompass wide ranges in these variables because some of them have been altered by discharges from mining, milling and smelting operations, or sewage treatment plants, or by the addition of lime to counter lake acidification (Lakes Arnoux, Osisko, Pelletier, Rouyn and Kelly).

The range of chemical conditions under which some of the *Chironomus* species were collected was quite wide. For example, *C. anthracinus* and *C. bifurcatus* were found in waters that were highly acidic to circum-neutral and *C. entis*, *C. bifurcatus*, *C. plumosus* and *C. staegeri* were found in water bodies that were oligotrophic to eutrophic. In contrast, *C. harpi* was restricted to a highly acidic lake and *C. dilutus* was collected only in a lake that had been organically enriched by sewage.

We found three *Chironomus* species (*C. frommeri*, *C. harpi* and *C. ochreatus*) that were previously known from the Nearctic (Martin 2013), but had not been reported from eastern Canada. The identification of another three species remains unclear (*C.* sp. NAI-III). We note that other species are likely to exist in eastern Canada, since 19 cytologically-defined but as yet unidentified or unassigned *Chironomus* species have been reported from this region (Martin 2013). Applying the combination of morphological and genetic techniques used in our study would likely resolve many of these taxonomic gaps in the Canadian and Nearctic fauna.

Acknowledgements

Funding was provided by the Metals In the Human Environment - Strategic Network and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. We thank Victor Thomasson, Julien Lacharité, Hugo Lavoie, Nicolas Fabien-Ouellet and Anaïs Nanou Clercq for their assistance in collecting samples, Dominic Ponton and Maikel Rosabal-Rodriguez for their assistance in collecting samples and for providing water quality measurements for 2009 and 2011 and Jean Christian Auclair for providing water quality measurements for Lake Bédard. Special thanks goes to Veronika Golygina, who karyosystematically identified *C. entis* and *C. plumosus* larvae, and to Malcolm G. Butler, who kindly reviewed the manuscript.

References

- Andersen, F.S. (1949) On the subgenus *Chironomus*. Studies on the systematics and biology of Chironomidae III. *Videnskabelige Meddelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening*, III, 1–66.
- Ashe, P. & Cranston, P.S. (1990) Family Chironomidae. *In:* Soos, A. & Papp, L. (Eds.), *Catalogue of Palearctic Diptera. Vol. 2. Psychodidae Chironomidae*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 113–355.
- Ball, S.L., Hebert, P.D.N., Burian, S.K. & Webb, J.M. (2005) Biological identifications of mayflies (Ephemeroptera) using DNA barcodes. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 24, 508–524. http://dx.doi.org/10.1899/04-142.1
- Beermann, W. (1955) Cytologische Analyse eines *Camptochironomus*-Artbastards. I. Kreuzungsergebnisse und die Evolution des Karyotypus. *Chromosoma*, 7, 198–259.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00329725

- Brooks, S.J., Langdon, P.G. & Heiri, O. (2007) *The identification and use of Palearctic Chironomidae larvae in palaeoecology*. QRA Technical Guide No. 10, Quaternary Research Association, London, 276 pp.
- Butler, M.G. (1982) Production dynamics of some artic *Chironomus* larvae. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 27, 728–736. http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1982.27.4.0728
- Butler, M.G., Kiknadze, I.I., Cooper, J.K. & Siirin, M.T. (1995) Cytologically identified Chironomus species from lakes in North Dakota and Minnesota, USA. In: Cranston, P.S. (Ed.), Chironomids, from Gene to Ecosystems - Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Chironomidae (Canberra, January 23–26, 1994). CSIRO, Canberra, pp. 31–37.
- Butler, M.G., Kiknadze, I.I., Golygina, V.V., Martin, J., Istomina, A.G., Wülker, W.F., Sublette, J.E. & Sublette, M.F. (1999) Cytogenetic differentiation between Palearctic and Nearctic populations of *Chironomus plumosus* L. (Diptera, Chironomidae). *Genome*, 42, 797–815. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/g99-014
- Carew, M.E., Marshall, S.E. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2011) A combination of molecular and morphological approaches resolves species in the taxonomically difficult genus *Procladius* Skuse (Diptera: Chironomidae) despite high intra-specific morphological variation. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 101, 505–519. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s000748531100006x
- Carew, M.E., Pettigrove, V., Cox, R.L. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2007) DNA identification of urban Tanytarsini chironomids (Diptera:Chironomidae). *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 26, 587–600. http://dx.doi.org/10.1899/06-120.1
- Carew, M.E., Pettigrove, V. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2003) Identifying chironomids (Diptera: Chironomidae) for biological monitoring with PCR-RFLP. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 93, 483–490. http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/ber2003268
- Carew, M.E., Pettigrove, V. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2005) The utility of DNA markers in classical taxonomy: Using cytochrome oxidase I markers to differentiate Australian *Cladopelma* (Diptera: Chironomidae) midges. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 98, 587–594.
- City of Greater Sudbury (2013) Individual Lake Information. Available from: http://www.greatersudbury.ca/living/lakes-facts/ local-lake-descriptions/ (accessed 17 September 2013)
- Davis, G.A., Havill, N.P., Adelman, Z.N., Caccone, A., Kok, L.T. & Salom, S.M. (2011) DNA barcodes and molecular diagnostics to distinguish an introduced and native *Laricobius* (Coleoptera: Derodontidae) species in eastern North America. *Biological Control*, 58, 53–59. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.03.016
- DeSalle, R., Egan, M.G. & Siddall, M. (2005) The unholy trinity: taxonomy, species delimitation and DNA barcoding.
- Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 360, 1905–1916. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1722
- Ekrem, T., Stur, E. & Hebert, P.D.N. (2010) Females do count: Documenting Chironomidae (Diptera) species diversity using DNA barcoding. *Organisms Diversity and Evolution*, 10, 397–408. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13127-010-0034-y
- Ekrem, T., Willassen, E. & Stur, E. (2007) A comprehensive DNA sequence library is essential for identification with DNA barcodes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 43, 530–542. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.11.021
- Elderkin, C.L., Corkum, L.D., Bustos, C., Cunningham, E.L. & Berg, D.J. (2012) DNA barcoding to confirm morphological traits and determine relative abundance of burrowing mayfly species in western Lake Erie. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 38, 180–186.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2011.11.010

- Epler, J.H. (2001) Identification manual for the larval Chironomidae (Diptera) of North and South Carolina. A guide to the taxonomy of the midges of the southeastern United States, including Florida. Special Publication SJ2001-SP13 North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources, Raleigh, NC, and St. John's River Water Management District, Palatka, FL, 526 pp.
- Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R. & Vrijenhoek, R. (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology*, 3, 294–299.

- Fortin, C., Couillard, Y., Vigneault, B. & Campbell, P.G.C. (2010) Determination of free Cd, Cu and Zn concentrations in lake waters by in situ diffusion followed by column equilibration ion-exchange. *Aquatic Geochemistry*, 16, 151–172. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10498-009-9074-3
- Goeldi, E.A. (1905) Os mosquito no Pará. Reunião de quatro trabalhos sobre os Mosquitos indigenas, principalmente as especies que molestam o homem. *Memorias do Meseu Goeldi (Museu Paraense) de Historia Natural e Ethnographia*, 4, 134–137.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.65997

Gunderina, L.I. (2012) Species-specific PCR primers for identification of the sibling species *Chironomus plumosus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Chironomus balatonicus* (Devai, Wuelker et Scholl, 1983) (Chironomidae, Diptera). *Fauna Norvegica*, 31, 151–157.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5324/fn.v31i0.1381

- Gunderina, L.I. & Katokhin, A.V. (2011) Variation and divergence of the rDNA ITS-1 region in species of the genus Chironomus (Diptera: Chironomidae). In: Wang, X. & Liu, W. (Eds.), Contemporary Chironomid Studies - Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Chironomidae (July 6-9, 2009 Nankai University, China). Nankai University Press, Tianjin, pp. 22–35.
- Gunderina, L.I., Kiknadze, I.I., Istomina, A.G. & Butler, M. (2009) Geographic differentiation of genomic DNA of *Chironomus plumosus* (Diptera, Chironomidae) in natural Holarctic populations. *Russian Journal of Genetics*, 45, 54–62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/s1022795409010086
- Guryev, V., Makarevitch, I., Blinov, A. & Martin, J. (2001) Phylogeny of the genus *Chironomus* (Diptera) inferred from DNA sequences of mitochondrial *Cytochrome b* and *Cytochrome oxidase I. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 19, 9–21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2001.0898
- Guryev, V.P. & Blinov, A.G. (2002) Phylogenetic relationships among Holarctic populations of *Chironomus entis* and *Chironomus plumosus* in view of possible horisontal transfer of mitochondrial genes. *Russian Journal of Genetics*, 38, 239–243.
- Hall, T.A. (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series*, 41, 95–98.
- Hankeln, T., Friedl, H., Ebersberger, I., Martin, J. & Schmidt, E.R. (1997) A variable intron distribution in globin genes of *Chironomus*: Evidence for recent intron gain. *Gene*, 205, 151–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(97)00518-0
- Hare, L. & Carter, J.C.H. (1976) The distribution of *Chironomus* (s.s.) ?cucini (salinarius group) larvae (Diptera: Chironomidae) in Parry Sound, Georgian Bay, with particular reference to structural deformities. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 54, 2129–2134.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/z76-246

- Hare, L. & Carter, J.C.H. (1986) The benthos of a natural West African lake, with emphasis on the diel migrations and lunar and seasonal periodicities of the *Chaoborus* populations (Diptera, Chaoboridae). *Freshwater Biology*, 16, 759–780. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1986.tb01016.x
- Harnisch, O. (1942) Die sogenannten "Blutkiemen" der Larven der Gattung Chironomus Mg. Biologia Generalis, 16, 593-609.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L. & DeWaard, J.R. (2003) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 270, 313–321. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2218
- Hebert, P.D.N., Penton, E.H., Burns, J.M., Janzen, D.H. & Hallwachs, W. (2004a) Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 101, 14812–14817. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0406166101
- Hebert, P.D.N., Stoeckle, M.Y., Zemlak, T.S. & Francis, C.M. (2004b) Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. *PLoS Biology*, 2, 1657-1663.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020312
- Hogg, I.D. & Hebert, P.D.N. (2004) Biological identification of springtails (Hexapoda: Collembola) from the Canadian Arctic, using mitochondrial DNA barcodes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 82, 749–754. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/z04-041
- Ineichen, H., Meyer, B. & Lezzi, M. (1983) Determination of the developmental stage of living fourth instar larvae of *Chironomus tentans*. *Developmental Biology*, 98, 278–286.
- Johannsen, O.A. (1908) New North American Chironomidae. New York State Museum Bulletin, 124, 264–285.
- Johannsen, O.A. (1937) Part IV. Chironomidae: Subfamily Chironominae. *In:* Johannsen, O.A. (Ed.), *Aquatic Diptera Eggs, Larvae, and Pupae of Aquatic Flies*. Memoirs of the Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station, 210, 1-52.
- Jónasson, P.M. (1972) Ecology and production of the profundal benthos in relation to phytoplankton in Lake Esrom. *Oikos Supplement*, 14, 1–148.
- Kao, W.Y., Trewitt, P.M. & Bergtrom, G. (1994) Intron-containing globin genes in the insect Chironomus thummi. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 38, 241–249.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00176086

Keyl, H.G. (1960) Die cytologische Diagnostik der Chironomiden. II. Diiagnosen der Geschwisterarten Chironomus acidophilus n. sp. und Ch. uliginosus n. sp. Archiv für Hydrobiologie, 57, 187–195.

Keyl, H.G. (1962) Chromosomenevolution bei *Chironomus* II. Chromosomenumbauten und phylogenetische Beziehungen der Arten. *Chromosoma*, 13, 464–514.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00327342

- Kiknadze, I.I., Aimanova, K.G., Butler, M. & Cooper, K. (1993) The pattern of the reduction of the chromosome number in the chironomid evolution. *Tsitologiya*, 35, 96–104. [in Russian]
- Kiknadze, I.I., Butler, M.G., Golygina, V.V., Martin, J., Wulker, W.F., Sublette, J.E. & Sublette, M.F. (2000a) Intercontinental karyotypic differentiation of *Chironomus entis* Shobanov, a Holarctic member of the *C. plumosus* group (Diptera, Chironomidae). *Genome*, 43, 857–873. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/g00-054
- Kiknadze, I.I., Butler, M.G., Golygina, V.V., Wuelker, W.F., Martin, J., Sublette, J.E. & Sublette, M.F. (2000b) Macrogeographic patterns of banding sequences in Holarctic Chironomus entis Shobanov. In: Hoffrichter, O. (Ed.), Late 20th Century Research on Chironomidae: an Anthology from the 13th International Symposium on Chironomidae. Shaker Verlag, Aachen, pp. 621.
- Kiknadze, I.I., Butler, M.G., Gunderina, L.I., Istomina, A.G., Gusev, V.D. & Nemytikova, L.A. (2010) Chromosomal evolution of Nearctic and Palearctic *Chironomus* species (Diptera: Chironomidae). *In:* Ferrington, L.C. (Ed.), *Proceedings of the XV International Symposium on Chironomidae*, Chironomidae Research Group, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, Minnesota, pp. 203–221.
- Kiknadze, I.I., Golygina, V.V., Istomina, A.G. & Gunderina, L.I. (2004) Pattern of chromosomal polymorphism during population and species divergence in *Chironomus* (Diptera, Chironomidae) (In Russian, English summary). *Sibirskiy Ekologicheskiy Zhurnal*, 11, 635–651.
- Kiknadze, I.I., Shilova, A.I., Kerkis, I.E., Shobanov, N.A., Zelenkov, N.I., Grebenchov, L.P., Istomina, A.G. & Prasolov, B.A. (1991) *Karyotype and Morphology of Larvae of the Tribe Chironomini* ATLAS, Novosibirsk, 114 pp.
- Kiknadze, I.I., Wuelker, W.F., Istomina, A.G. & Andreeva, E.N. (2005) Banding sequence pool of *Chironomus anthracinus* Zett. (Diptera, Chironomidae) in Palearctic and Nearctic. *Euroasian Entomological Journal*, 4, 13–27.
- Kimura, M. (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 16, 111–120. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01731581
- Lindeberg, B. & Wiederholm, T. (1979) Notes on the taxonomy of European species of *Chironomus* (Diptera Chironomidae). *Entomologica Scandinavica Supplements*, 10, 99–116.
- Linnaeus, C. (1758) Systema naturae per regna tria naturae. Vol. 1. 10th ed. Laurentii Salvii, Stockholm, 824 pp.
- Lundbeck, W. (1898) Diptera groenlandica. Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra den Naturhistorisk Forening i Kjøbenhavn, 1898, 236–314.
- Makarevich, I.F., Berezikov, E.V., Guryev, V.P. & Blinov, A.G. (2000) Molecular phylogeny of the *Chironomus* genus deduced from nucleotide sequences of two nuclear genes, *ssp160* and the Globin 2b gene. *Molecular Biology*, 34, 606–612. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02759569
- Malloch, J.R. (1915) The Chironomidae, or midges, of Illinois, with particular reference to the species occuring in the Illinois River. *Bulletin of the Illinois State Laboratory of Natural History*, 10, 275–543.
- Malloch, J.R. (1934) III. Chironomidae, Sciaridae, Phoridae, Syrphidae, Oestridae, Piophilidae, Helomyzidae, Calliphoridae and Tachinidae. *In:* Holland, W.J. (Ed.), *The Exploration of Southampton Island, Hudson Bay, by G.M. Sutton Supposed by J.B. Semple 1929–1930*. Memoirs of the Carnegie Museum, pp. 13–32.
- Martin, J. (1979) Chromosomes as tools in taxonomy and phylogeny of Chironomidae (Diptera). *Entomologica Scandinavica Supplements*, 10, 67–74.
- Martin, J. (2011) From bands to base pairs: Problems in the identification of species using the example of Chironomus oppositus Walker (Honorary Thienemann Lecture). In: X. Wang & W. Liu (Eds.), Contemporary Chironomid Studies Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Chironomidae (July 6–9, 2009 Nankai University, China). Nankai University Press, Tianjin, pp. 126–143.
- Martin, J. (2013) North American species of the genus *Chironomus*. Available from: http://genetics.unimelb.edu.au/Martin/ NACytfiles/NAChiron.html (accessed 17 September 2013)
- Martin, J., Andreeva, E.N., Kiknadze, I.I. & Wülker, W.F. (2006) Polytene chromosomes and phylogenetic relationships of *Chironomus atrella* (Diptera: Chironomidae) in North America. *Genome*, 49, 1384–1392. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/g06-095
- Martin, J., Guryev, V. & Blinov, A. (2002) Population variability in *Chironomus (Camptochironomus)* species (Diptera, Nematocera) with a Holarctic distribution: Evidence of mitochondrial gene flow. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 11, 387–397. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2583.2002.00348.x
- Martin, J., Sublette, J.E. & Caldwell, B.A. (2010) Description of *Chironomus quinnitukqut*, n. sp., closely related to the *C. decorus* group in North America, with characterization of an additional larval form from halobiontic habitats. *Zootaxa*, 2716, 29–41 & 2743, 2768.
- Martin, J. & Wülker, W. (1971) Inversion polymorphism in *Chironomus staegeri* Lundbeck. *Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology*, 13, 306–321.
- Martin, J., Wülker, W. & Sublette, J.E. (1974) Evolutionary cytology of the genus *Chironomus* (Diptara: Chironomidae). *Studies in Natural Sciences (Portales, N.M.)*, 1, 1–12.

Martin, S., Proulx, I. & Hare, L. (2008) Explaining metal concentrations in sympatric *Chironomus* species. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 53, 411–419.

http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.2.0411

- Meier, R., Shiyang, K., Vaidya, G. & Ng, P.K. (2006) DNA barcoding and taxonomy in Diptera: a tale of high intraspecific variability and low identification success. *Systematic Biology*, 55, 715–728. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150600969864
- Ministère du Développement durable de l'Environnement et des Parcs (2012) Le réseau de surveillance volontaire des lacs. Available from: http://www.mddep.gouv.qc.ca/eau/rsvl (accessed 20 August 2012)
- Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2000) Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, New York, 352 pp.
- Nyman, M., Korhola, A. & Brooks, S.J. (2005) The distribution and diversity of Chironomidae (Insecta: Diptera) in western Finnish Lapland, with special emphasis on shallow lakes. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 14, 137–153. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-822x.2005.00148.x
- Oliver, D.R., Dillon, M.E. & Cranston, P.S. (1990) *A catalog of Nearctic Chironomidae*. Vol. 1857/B. Research Branch Agriculture Canada Publication, 89 pp.
- Pfenninger, M., Nowak, C., Kley, C., Steinke, D. & Streit, B. (2007) Utility of DNA taxonomy and barcoding for the inference of larval community structure in morphologically cryptic *Chironomus* (Diptera) species. *Molecular Ecology*, 16, 1957–1968. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2006.03136.x
- Pfrender, M.E., Ferrington, L.C. Jr., Hawkins, C.P., Hartzell, P.L., Bagley, M., Jackson, S., Courtney, G.W., Larsen, D.P., Creutzburg, B.R., Lévesque, C.A., Epler, J.H., Morse, J.C., Fend, S., Petersen, M.J., Ruiter, D., Schindel, D. & Whiting, M. (2010) Assessing macroinvertebrate biodiversity in freshwater ecosystems: Advances and challenges in DNA-based approaches. *Quarterly Review of Biology*, 85, 319–340. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/655118
- Ponton, D.E. & Hare, L. (2009) Assessment of nickel contamination in lakes using the phantom midge *Chaoborus* as a biomonitor. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 43, 6529–6534. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es900920b
- Proulx, I. & Hare, L. (2008) Why bother to identify animals used for contaminant monitoring? *Integrated Environmental* Assessment and Management. 4, 125–126.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ieam.5630040115

- Proulx, I. & Hare, L. (2013) Differences in feeding behaviours among *Chironomus* species revealed by measurements of sulphur stable isotopes and cadmium in larvae. *Freshwater Biology*, in press.
- Ryser, H.M., Wuelker, W. & Scholl, A. (1985) Revision der Gattung *Chironomus* Meigen (Diptera) X: *Lobochironomus* n. subgen. (*C. montuosus* n. sp., *C. storai* Goetgh., *C. mendax* Stora). *Revue Suisse de Zoologie*, 92, 385–404.
- Sæther, O.A. (1975) Nearctic chironomids as indicators of lake typology. *Verhandlungen der Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie*, 19, 3127–3133.
- Sæther, O.A. (2012) The Chironomus group (Diptera: Chironomidae) in Lake Winnipeg, Canada. Zootaxa, 3275, 1–19.
- Saitou, N. & Nei, M. (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 4, 406–425.
- Sharley, D.J., Pettigrove, V. & Parsons, Y.M. (2004) Molecular identification of *Chironomus* spp. (Diptera) for biomonitoring of aquatic ecosystems. *Australian Journal of Entomology*, 43, 359–365. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.2004.00417.x
- Shobanov, N.A. (1989) The morphological differentiation of *Chironomus* species of *plumosus* group (Diptera, Chironomidae). Larvae. *Acta Biologica Debrecina, Supplementum Oecologica Hungarica*, 2, 335–344.
- Shobanov, N.A. (2002) Evolution of the genus *Chironomus* (Diptera, Chironomidae). 1. Ancestral form and major lines of phylogenesis. *Entomological Review*, 82, 487–493.
- Shobanov, N.A., Kiknadze, I.I. & Butler, M.G. (1999) Palearctic and Nearctic Chironomus (Camptochironomus) tentans (Fabricius) are different species (Diptera: Chironomidae). Insect Systematics and Evolution, 30, 311–322. http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/187631200X00147
- Shobanov, N.A., Shilova, A.I. & Belyanina, S.I. (1996) Extent and content of the genus Chironomus Meig. (Diptera, Chironomidae): review of world fauna. In: Shobanov, N.A. & Zinchenko, T.D. (Eds.), Ecology, Evolution and Systematics of Chironomids, Inst. Biol. Inland Waters & Inst. Ecol. Volga Basin. Russian Academy of Sciences, Tolyatti, Boruk, Russia, pp. 44–96. [in Russian]
- Sinclair, C.S. & Gresens, S.E. (2008) Discrimination of *Cricotopus* species (Diptera: Chironomidae) by DNA barcoding. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 98, 555–563.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0007485308005865

- Stur, E. & Ekrem, T. (2011) Exploring unknown life stages of Arctic Tanytarsini (Diptera: Chironomidae) with DNA barcoding. *Zootaxa*, 2743, 27–39.
- Sublette, J.E. & Sublette, M.F. (1971) A review of the genus *Chironomus* (Diptera, Chironomidae) B. Description of the immature stages and adults of the *Chironomus staegeri* group. *Studies in Natural Sciences (Portales, N.M.)*, 1 (1), 6–21.
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2011) MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 28, 2731–2739.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121

- Thienemannn, A. (1941) Lappländische Chironomiden und ihre Wohngewasser. (Ergebnisse von Untersuchungen im Abiskogebeit in Schwedisch-Lappland). Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement, 17, 1–253.
- Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G. & Gibson, T.J. (1994) CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 22, 4673–4680.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.22.4673

Townes, H.K. Jr. (1945) The Nearctic species of Tendipedini [Diptera, Tendipedidae (= Chironomidae)]. American Midland Naturalist, 34, 1–206.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2421112

- Vallenduuk, H.J. & Moller Pillot, H.K.M. (1997) Key to the larvae of *Chironomus* in Western Europe. *In: RIZA Rapport* 97.053, pp. 1–13 + appendix.
- Webb, C.J. & Scholl, A. (1985) Identification of larvae of European species of *Chironomus* Meigen (Diptera: Chironomidae) by morphological characters. *Systematic Entomology*, 10, 353–372. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3113.1985.tb00143.x
- Webb, C.J., Scholl, A. & Ryser, H.M. (1985) Comparative morphology of the larval ventromental plates of European species of *Chironomus* Meigen (Diptera: Chironomidae). *Systematic Entomology*, 10, 373–385.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3113.1985.tb00144.x

- Webb, D.W. (1969) New species of chironomids from Costello Lake, Ontario (Diptera: Chironomidae). *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 42, 91–108.
- Wiederholm, T. (1979) Morphology of *Chironomus macani* Freeman, with notes on the taxonomic status of subg. *Chaetolabis* Town. (Diptera: Chironomidae). *Entomologica Scandinavica Supplements*, 10, 145–150.
- Wuelker, W. (2010) The role of chromosomes in chironomid systematics, ecology and phylogeny. In: Ferrington, L.C. (Ed.), Proceedings of the XV International Symposium on Chironomidae. Chironomidae Research Group, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, Minnesota, pp. 1–13.
- Wuelker, W., Martin, J., Kiknadze, I.I., Sublette, J.E. & Michiels, S. (2009) *Chironomus blaylocki* sp. n. and *C. bifurcatus* sp. n., North American species near the base of the decorus-group (Diptera: Chironomidae). *Zootaxa*, 2023, 28–46.
- Wülker, W., Dévai, G. & Dévai, I. (1989) Computer assisted studies of chromosomes evolution in the genus *Chironomus* (Dipt.). Comparative and integrated analysis of chromosome arms A, E and F. *Acta biologica debrecina, supplement oecologica Hungarica*, 2, 373–387.
- Wülker, W. & Götz, P. (1968) Die Verwendung der Imaginalscheiben zur Bestimmung des Entwicklungszustandes von Chironomus-Larven (Dipt.). Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Okologie der Tiere, 62, 363–388. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00401562
- Wülker, W. & Martin, J. (1974) A review of the genus *Chironomus* (Diptera, Chironomidae) VI. Cytology of the maturuscomplex. *Studies in Natural Sciences (Portales, N.M.)*, 1 (9), 1–21.
- Wülker, W., Sublette, J.E. & Martin, J. (1991) *Chironomus utahensis* Malloch and *Chironomus harpi* new species and their karyosystematic relationships to other species in the *decorus*-group of *Chironomus*. *Spixiana*, 14, 71–94.
- Wülker, W.F. & Butler, M.G. (1983) Karyosystematics and morphology of Northern *Chironomus* (Diptera: Chironomidae): Freshwater species with larvae of the *salinarius*-type. *Entomologica Scandinavica*, 14, 121–136.
- Wülker, W.F. & Martin, J. (1971) A review of the genus *Chironomus* (Diptera, Chironomidae) C. Karyosystematics of the *Chironomus staegeri* group. *Studies in Natural Sciences (Portales, N.M.)*, 1 (1), 22–30.
- Wülker, W.F., Sublette, J.E., Sublette, M.F. & Martin, J. (1971) A review of the genus *Chironomus* (Diptera, Chironomidae) I. The *staegeri* group. *Studies in Natural Sciences (Portales, N.M.)*, 1 (1), 1–89.
- Zetterstedt, J.W. (1860) Diptera Scandinaviae disposita et descripta. Tomus decimus quartus seu ultimus, continens addenda, corrigena & emendada tomis prioribus, una cum indice alphabetico navarum specierum hujus & praecedentis tomi, atque generico omnium tomorum. Lundae, 14, 6497–6512.

Vucher code Larver type Larver type Larver type Conflored management Mortholog Stoled management Mortholog Stoled management Stoled management Stoled management Stoled management Mortholog Stoled management LA07-TH3						Perl	formed analysis			
AR10-TH1 thummi AR 2010 KF278.25 KF278.45 S C anthracinus AR10-TH2 thummi AR 2010 KF278.25 KF278.45 S C anthracinus HA07-TH1 thummi HA 2007 S KF278.21 S C anthracinus HA07-TH3 thummi HA 2007 S KF278.21 S C anthracinus HA07-TH3 thummi HA 2007 S KF278.21 S C anthracinus HA07-TH3 thummi HA 2007 S KF278.21 S C anthracinus HA07-TH3 thummi HA 2007 S KT278.21 S C anthracinus HA07-TH3 thummi HA 2007 S KT278.22 S C anthracinus HA07-TH3 thummi HA 2007 S KT278.23 S C anthracinus HA07-TH3 thummi HA 2007 S S C anthracinus </th <th>Voucher code</th> <th>Larvae type</th> <th>Locations</th> <th>Year</th> <th><i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP</th> <th><i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)</th> <th>$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)</th> <th>Morphology</th> <th>Cytology</th> <th>Species</th>	Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	Species
AR(0-TH2 Ummi AR 200 K +738.26 K +738.427 S Conductions HAO-TH1 Immi HA 2007 x x x x HAO-TH2 Immi HA 2007 x x x x x HAO-TH2 Immi HA 2007 x	AR10-TH1	thummi	AR	2010		KF278225	KF278426	x		C. anthracinus
HA07-TH1 dumi HA 2007 x	AR10-TH2	thummi	AR	2010		KF278226	KF278427	x		C. anthracinus
HA07-TH2 thumin HA 2007 x KF27821 x x C anthractime HA07-TH3 humin HA 2007 x KF37821 x C anthractime HA07-TH4 humin HA 2007 x KF37821 x C anthractime HA07-TH4 humin HA 2007 x x C anthractime HA07-TH6 humin HA 2007 x KF27821 x C anthractime HA07-TH6 humin HA 2007 x KF27822 x C anthractime HA07-TH6 humin HA 2007 x KF27823 x C anthractime HA07-TH10 humin HA 2007 x KF27823 x C anthractime Galdware S 100 Y KF27824 KF27839 x C anthractime Galoff-TH2 humin P <td>HA07-TH1</td> <td>thummi</td> <td>HA</td> <td>2007</td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td>C. anthracinus</td>	HA07-TH1	thummi	HA	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
HA07-TH3 thumin HA 2007 x KF278.21 x c anthractins HA07-TH4 thumin HA 2007 x x x x x HA07-TH4 thumin HA 2007 x x x C anthractins HA07-TH3 thumin HA 2007 x x C anthractins HA07-TH3 thumin HA 2007 x x X C anthractins HA07-TH3 thumin HA 2007 x KF27822 x X C anthractins HA07-TH3 thumin HA 2007 x KF27823 x X C anthractins HA07-TH3 thumin HA 2007 x KF27823 x X C anthractins HA07-TH3 thumin HA 2007 x KF27823 x X C anthractins S10-TH3 thumin OS 2010 X KF27823 X<	HA07-TH2	thummi	HA	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
HA07-TH4 thumi HA 2007 x x cumbracius HA07-TH5 humi HA 2007 x x x cumbracius HA07-TH5 humi HA 2007 x x cumbracius HA07-TH6 humi HA 2007 x x Cumbracius HA07-TH6 humi HA 2007 x x Cumbracius HA07-TH8 humi HA 2007 x x Cumbracius HA07-TH9 humi HA 2007 x x Cumbracius HA07-TH0 humi HA 2007 x x Cumbracius HA07-TH1 humi HA 2007 x x Cumbracius OS10-TH1 humi US Z X X Cumbracius OS10-TH1 humi US Z X X X Cumbracius OS10-TH1 humi D <t< td=""><td>HA07-TH3</td><td>thummi</td><td>HA</td><td>2007</td><td>x</td><td>KF278221</td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td>C. anthracinus</td></t<>	HA07-TH3	thummi	HA	2007	x	KF278221		x		C. anthracinus
HA07-TH5 thumi HA 207 x x C anthractions HA07-TH6 thumi HA 207 x x C anthractins HA07-TH6 thumi HA 207 x x C anthractins HA07-TH7 thumi HA 207 x KE27822 x C anthractins HA07-TH8 thumi HA 207 x KE27822 x C anthractins HA07-TH10 thumi HA 207 x KE27822 x C anthractins HA07-TH10 thumi HA 207 x KE27823 x C anthractins S10-TH2 thumi 0 x KE27839 KE278395 x C anthractins S10-TH1 thumi P 1 KE278395 K X C anthractins S10-TH1 thumi P 1 KE278395 K </td <td>HA07-TH4</td> <td>thummi</td> <td>HA</td> <td>2007</td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td>C. anthracinus</td>	HA07-TH4	thummi	HA	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
HA07-TH6 thumin HA 2007 x x C cumbercina HA07-TH7 thumin HA 2007 x x X	HA07-TH5	thummi	HA	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
HA07-H7thumuHA2007xKF278222xxCanthracinusHA07-H8thumuHA2007xKF278222xxCanthracinusHA07-H9thumuHA2007xKF278222xxCanthracinusHA07-H10thumuHA2007xKF278221xxCanthracinusHA07-H10thumuHA2010xKF278222KF278393xCanthracinusOSI0-H11thumu0S2010XKF278229xxCanthracinusOSI0-H11thumu0S2010XKF278293xxCanthracinusUI0-H11thumu0S2010XKF278293xxXCanthracinusUI0-H11thumuPI2010XKF278293xxXCanthracinusUI0-H12thumuPI2010XKF278294xxXXXUI0-H12thumuPI2010XKF278295xxXXXUI0-H12thumuPI2010XKF278295xxXXXXUI0-H12thumuPI2010XKF278295xxXXXXXUI0-H12thumuPI2010XKF278295xXXXXXXXXXXXXXX <t< td=""><td>HA07-TH6</td><td>thummi</td><td>HA</td><td>2007</td><td>x</td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td>C. anthracinus</td></t<>	HA07-TH6	thummi	HA	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
HA07-TH8thumiHA2007xKF27822xxC anthracinusHA07-TH9thumiHA2007xxxC anthracinusHA07-TH0thumiHA2007xxxC anthracinusHA07-TH1thumiHA2007xxxC anthracinusOS10-TH2thumiOS2010xKF27823xxC anthracinusOS10-TH1thumiOS2010xKF27823xxC anthracinusOS10-TH2thumiOS2010xKF27823xxC anthracinusOS10-TH1thumiP12010xKF27823xxxC anthracinusOS10-TH2thumiP12010xKF27823xxxC anthracinusOS10-TH1thumiP12010xKF27823xxxC anthracinusOS10-TH2thumiP12010xKF27823xxxC anthracinusOS10-TH2thumiRA2010xKF27823xxxC anthracinusN10-TH2thumiRA2010xKF27823xxxC anthracinusN10-TH2thumiRA2010xKF27823xxxC anthracinusRA10-TH1thumiRA2010xKF27823xxxC anthracinusRA10-TH3th	HA07-TH7	thummi	HA	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
HA07-TH9thumiHA2007xxc. anthracinusHA07-TH10thumiHA2007xxxxc. anthracinusHA07-TH10thumi0S2010xxxxc. anthracinusOS10-TH2thumi0S2010xkF27823xxxc. anthracinusOS10-TH2thumi0S2010xkF27823xxxxc. anthracinusOS10-TH1thumiD1xkF27823xxxxxc. anthracinusOS10-TH1thumiP12010xkF27824xxxxxxP10-TH1thumiP12010xkF27823xxxxxxxAnthL2InthumiR2010xkF27823xxxxxxxxP10-TH2thumiR2010xkF27823xxxxxxxxxAnthL2InthumiR2010xkF27833kF27834xxx <t< td=""><td>HA07-TH8</td><td>thummi</td><td>HA</td><td>2007</td><td>x</td><td>KF278222</td><td></td><td>x</td><td>x</td><td>C. anthracinus</td></t<>	HA07-TH8	thummi	HA	2007	x	KF278222		x	x	C. anthracinus
HA07-TH10 thumii HA 2007 x x Canthracinus CS10-TH1 thumii OS 2010 KF278227 KF278393 X Canthracinus CS10-TH2 thumii OS 2010 KF278223 KF278393 X Canthracinus CS10-TH2 thumii OS 2010 KF278239 KF27829 X Canthracinus OS10-TH1 thumii P1 2010 KF278239 K X Canthracinus OS10-TH2 thumii P1 2010 KF278239 K X C anthracinus P10-TH2 thumii P1 2010 KF278230 K X C anthracinus P10-TH2 thumii RA 2010 K K7278395 X X C anthracinus RA10-TH2 thumii RA 2010 K K7278394 X X C anthracinus RA10-TH3 thumii RM 2007<	HA07-TH9	thummi	HA	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
OS10-TH1 thumi OS 2010 KF278227 KF278393 x C anthracinus OS10-TH2 thumi OS 2010 KF27823 X C C anthracinus OS10-TH2 thumi OS 2010 KF27823 X X C C anthracinus OS10-TH1 thumi P1 2010 KF27823 KF278395 X X C C anthracinus P10-TH2 thumi P1 2010 KF27829 KF278395 X X C C anthracinus P10-TH2 thumi P1 2010 KF278230 KF278395 X X C C anthracinus RA10-TH1 thumi RA 2010 KF278230 KF278394 X X C C anthracinus RA10-TH3 thumi RA 2010 X Z Z C C C C C C C	HA07-TH10	thummi	HA	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
	OS10-TH1	thummi	SO	2010		KF278227	KF278393	x		C. anthracinus
	OS10-TH2	thummi	SO	2010		KF278223		x		C. anthracinus
P10-TH1 thumi P1 2010 KF27829 KF278395 x x C. anthracinus P110-TH2 thumi P1 2010 KF27824 x x x c. anthracinus P110-TH2 thumi P1 2010 KF27824 x x x c. anthracinus AnthR121m thumi R 2010 KF278230 KF278394 x x c. anthracinus RA10-TH1 thumi R 2010 KF278230 KF278394 x c. anthracinus RA10-TH4 thumi RA 2010 KF278230 KF278394 x c. anthracinus RA10-TH4 thumi RA 2010 x KF278231 KF278394 x c. anthracinus RAM07-TH1 thumi RM 2007 x KF278231 x c. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thumi RM 2007 x KF278233 x c. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thumi	OS10-TH3	thummi	SO	2010		KF278228		x		C. anthracinus
PI10-TH2 thummi PI 2010 KF278224 x C. anthracinus AnthRL21m thummi RA 2010 KF278232 x x C. anthracinus RA10-TH1 thummi RA 2010 KF278230 KF278394 x C. anthracinus RA10-TH4 thummi RA 2010 KF278230 KF278394 x C. anthracinus RA10-TH4 thummi RA 2010 KF278231 KF278394 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH1 thummi RM 2007 x KF278231 KF278425 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH3 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH3 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233	P110-TH1	thummi	Id	2010		KF278229	KF278395	x	x	C. anthracinus
AnthRL2Im thummi RA 2010 KF278232 x x x C. anthracinus RA10-TH1 thummi RA 2010 KF278230 KF278394 x C. anthracinus RA10-TH1 thummi RA 2010 KF278231 KF278394 x C. anthracinus RA10-TH1 thummi RA 2010 x KF278231 KF278425 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH1 thummi RM 2007 x KF278425 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH3 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH3 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 X X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH3	P110-TH2	thummi	Id	2010		KF278224		x		C. anthracinus
RA10-TH1 thummi RA 2010 KF278230 KF278394 x C. anthracinus RA10-TH4 thummi RA 2010 KF278231 KF278425 x C. anthracinus RA10-TH4 thummi RA 2010 x T C. anthracinus RAM07-TH1 thummi RM 2007 x KF278231 X X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 X X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH3 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 X X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH3 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 X X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH4 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 X X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH4 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 X X C. anthracinus	AnthRL21m	thummi	RA	2010		KF278232		x	x	C. anthracinus
RA10-TH4 thummi RA 2010 KF278231 KF278425 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH1 thummi RM 2007 x x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thummi RM 2007 x KF278231 X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH2 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x C. anthracinus RAM07-TH3 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x X C. anthracinus RAM07-TH4 thummi RM 2007 x KF278233 x X	RA10-TH1	thummi	RA	2010		KF278230	KF278394	x		C. anthracinus
RAM07-TH1thummiRM2007xC. anthracinusRAM07-TH2thummiRM2007xXC. anthracinusRAM07-TH3thummiRM2007xKF278233xC. anthracinusRAM07-TH4thummiRM2007xKF278233xC. anthracinus	RA10-TH4	thummi	RA	2010		KF278231	KF278425	x		C. anthracinus
RAM07-TH2thummiRM2007xC. anthracinusRAM07-TH3thummiRM2007xKF278233xC. anthracinusRAM07-TH4thummiRM2007xxC. anthracinus	RAM07-TH1	thummi	RM	2007	x			х		C. anthracinus
RAM07-TH3thummiRM2007xKF278233xC. anthracinusRAM07-TH4thummiRM2007xxxC. anthracinus	RAM07-TH2	thummi	RM	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
RAM07-TH4 thummi RM 2007 x x x C. anthracinus	RAM07-TH3	thummi	RM	2007	X	KF278233		х		C. anthracinus
	RAM07-TH4	thummi	RM	2007	х			х	x	C. anthracinus

TABLE S1. (Cont	inued)								
					Per	formed analysis			
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	Species
RAM07-TH5	thummi	RM	2007	x			x		C. anthracinus
RAM07-TH6	thummi	RM	2007	x			х		C. anthracinus
SII1-THI	thummi	SI	2011		KF278234		x		C. anthracinus
AD10-BA2	bathophilus	AD	2010		KF278316		x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AR10-BA1	bathophilus	AR	2010		KF278319	KF278435	X		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AR10-BA2	bathophilus	AR	2010				x	x	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AR10-BA3	bathophilus	AR	2010		KF278317	KF278436	x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AR10-BA4	bathophilus	AR	2010		KF278318		x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AL06-BA1	bathophilus	DA	2006	x	KF278315		х		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AL06-BA2	bathophilus	DA	2006	×			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AL06-BA3	bathophilus	DA	2006	×			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AL06-BA4	bathophilus	DA	2006	x			Х		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AL06-BA5	bathophilus	DA	2006	×			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
AL06-BA6	bathophilus	DA	2006				x	x	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU06-BA1	bathophilus	DP	2006	x			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU06-BA2	bathophilus	DP	2006	×			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU06-BA3	bathophilus	DP	2006	x			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU06-BA4	bathophilus	DP	2006	x			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU06-BA5	bathophilus	DP	2006	x			X		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA1	bathophilus	DP	2007	x	KF278320		х		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA2	bathophilus	DP	2007	x			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA3	bathophilus	DP	2007	x			x		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA4	bathophilus	DP	2007	Х			X		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA5	bathophilus	DP	2007	x			x	x	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA6	bathophilus	DP	2007	x			X		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA7	bathophilus	DP	2007	x			X		C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
								·····	continued on the next page

TABLE S1. (Conti	inued)								
	1			cox/ PCR-	Peri cox l sequencino	ormed analysis <i>ph2B</i> sequencing			
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	RFLP	(GenBank assession #)	GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology S	pecies
DU07-BA8	bathophilus	DP	2007	x			Х	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA9	bathophilus	DP	2007	x			X	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU07-BA10	bathophilus	DP	2007	х	KF278321		x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU10-BA1	bathophilus	DP	2010		KF278322	KF278437	Х	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
DU10-BA2	bathophilus	DP	2010		KF278323	KF278440	×	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
OP07-BA1	bathophilus	OP	2007	х			Х	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
OP07-BA2	bathophilus	OP	2007	x	KF278324		x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
OP07-BA5	bathophilus	OP	2007		KF278325	KF278438	x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
OP09-BA2	bathophilus	OP	2009		KF278326	KF278441	x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
SJ07-BA4	bathophilus	SJ	2007	x	KF278352		x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
SJ07-BA5	bathophilus	SJ	2007	x			x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)
K106-BA1	bathophilus	KI	2006	x	KF278307		x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
KI06-BA2	bathophilus	KI	2006	Х			Х	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA1	bathophilus	MC	2007	х			x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA2	bathophilus	MC	2007	x	KF278310		Х	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA3	bathophilus	MC	2007	x			x	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA4	bathophilus	MC	2007	Х	KF278308		Х	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA5	bathophilus	MC	2007	x			X	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA6	bathophilus	MC	2007	Х			Х	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA7	bathophilus	MC	2007	x			х	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA8	bathophilus	MC	2007	x	KF278311		x	x	C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA9	bathophilus	MC	2007	x			X	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
FA07-BA10	bathophilus	MC	2007	x			x	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
SJ07-BA1	bathophilus	SJ	2007		KF278312		Х	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
SJ07-BA2	bathophilus	SJ	2007		KF278313	KF278442	Х	0	7. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
SJ07-BA3	bathophilus	SJ	2007		KF278314	KF278443	х	0	C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
									ntinued on the next page

Vurder ode Larvacype Vortike methype Vortike methype						Pert	òrmed analysis			
TII-IBAI bubophilss TI 2011 KF278439 K X C definer B006-SA1 silmarius B0 2006 x x x x control B006-SA1 silmarius B0 2006 x x x C control B006-SA3 silmarius B0 2006 x x X C control B006-SA3 silmarius C1 2007 x K 273838 x C control CW07-SA1 silmarius C1 2007 x	Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	cox/ PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	- Species
BO06-SA1 salination B0 206 x x c. cacin BO06-SA2 salination BO 206 x x x C. cacin BO06-SA3 salination BO 2006 x x x C. cacin BO06-SA3 salination BO 2006 x x C. cacin BO06-SA3 salination BO 2006 x x X C. cacin BO06-SA3 salination BO 2006 x x X C. cacin BO06-SA3 salination BO 2006 x x X C. cacin BO06-SA3 salination C1 2007 x KT273288 x C. cacin BO06-SA3 salination C1 2007 x KT273288 x C. cacin BO06-SA3 salination C1 2007 x KT273288 x C. cacin BO06-SA3 salinatios C1	TII1-BA1	bathophilus	II	2011		KF278309	KF278439	x	×	C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)
BO065A2 salaurius BO 206 x x c.cuent BO065A3 salaurius BO 206 x x x c.cuent BO065A3 salaurius BO 206 x x x c.cuent BO065A4 salaurius BO 206 x x x c.cuent BO065A5 salaurius BO 206 x x x x c.cuent BO065A7 salaurius BO 206 x x x x c.cuent BO065A7 salaurius C1 207 x kFF7388 x x c.cuent BO065A7 salaurius C1 207 x kF77383 x x c.cuent BO065A7 salaurius C1 207 x x x c.cuent C0075A3 salaurius C1 207 x x x c.cuent C075A41	BO06-SA1	salinarius	BO	2006	x			х		C. cucini
B00-SA3 almuis B0 200 x x c. cant B00-SA4 siluriis B0 206 x x x C. cant B00-SA3 siluriis B0 206 x x x C. cant B00-SA3 siluriis B0 206 x x X X B00-SA3 siluriis B0 206 x x X X B00-SA3 siluriis B0 206 x X X X B00-SA3 siluriis C1 207 x KF273289 x X X CW7-SA3 siluriis C1 207 x KF273299 x X X CW7-SA3 siluriis C1 207 x KF273299 x X X X CW7-SA3 siluriis C1 207 x X X X CW7-SA3 siluriis C1	BO06-SA2	salinarius	BO	2006	х			х		C. cucini
B00-SAM athmatis B0 2006 x x C cuerin B00-SAM athmatis CU 2006 x x C cuerin B00-SAM athmatis CU 2006 x x C cuerin CW07-SAM athmatis CU 2007 x KF27838 x C cuerin CW07-SAM athmatis CU 2007 x KF27838 x C cuerin CW07-SAM athmatis CU 2007 x KF278289 x C cuerin CW07-SAM athmatis CU 2007 x X C cuerin CW07-SAM athmatis CU 2007 x X C cuerin CW07-S	B006-SA3	salinarius	BO	2006	х			Х		C. cucini
B00-SA5 shinarius B0 200 x	B006-SA4	salinarius	BO	2006	x			x		C. cucini
B00-5A6 salinarius B0 2006 x x x c. cuetti B00-5A7 salinarius B0 2006 x x x x c. cuetti B00-5A7 salinarius CL 2007 x K1278238 x x x c. cuetti CW07-5A3 salinarius CL 2007 x K1278239 x x c. cuetti CW07-5A3 salinarius CL 2007 x x x c. cuetti CW07-5A5 salinarius CL 2007 x x x c. cuetti CW07-5A5 salinarius CL 2007 x x c. cuetti CW07-5A5 salinarius CL 2007 x x c. cuetti CW07-5A10 salinarius CL 2007 x x c. cuetti CW07-5A10 salinarius CL 2007 x x c. cuetti CW07-5A11	B006-SA5	salinarius	BO	2006	x			х		C. cucini
B00-SAT salinaries B0 2004 CW07-SA1 salinaries CL 2007 x KF278288 x x C cacrii CW07-SA1 salinaries CL 2007 x KF278289 x C cacrii CW07-SA1 salinaries CL 2007 x KF278299 x C cacrii CW07-SA3 salinaries CL 2007 x KF278299 x C cacrii CW07-SA4 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278290 x C cacrii CW07-SA4 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278290 x C cacrii CW07-SA1 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278290 x C cacrii CW07-SA10 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278290 x C cacrii CW07-SA10 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278290 x C cacrii CW07-SA11 salinarius	BO06-SA6	salinarius	BO	2006				x	×	C. cucini
CW07-5A1 salinarius Cl. 2007 x KF273238 x C. caccin CW07-5A2 salinarius Cl. 2007 x KF273289 x C. caccin CW07-5A3 salinarius Cl. 2007 x KF273289 x C. caccin CW07-5A3 salinarius Cl. 2007 x X C. caccin CW07-5A3 salinarius Cl. 2007 x X C. caccin CW07-5A4 salinarius Cl. 2007 x X C. caccin CW07-5A4 salinarius Cl. 2007 x X C. caccin CW07-5A1 salinarius Cl. 2007 x KF278290 x C. caccin CW07-5A11 salinarius Cl. 2007 x C. caccin X C. caccin CW07-5A13 salinarius Cl. 2007 x KF278290 x C. caccin CW07-5A13 salinarius <td< td=""><td>BO06-SA7</td><td>salinarius</td><td>BO</td><td>2006</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>х</td><td>×</td><td>C. cucini</td></td<>	BO06-SA7	salinarius	BO	2006				х	×	C. cucini
CW07-SA2 salinarius CL 2007 x KF273289 x C.cucin CW07-SA3 salinarius CL 2007 x x C.cucin CW07-SA3 salinarius CL 2007 x x C.cucin CW07-SA4 salinarius CL 2007 x x C.cucin CW07-SA4 salinarius CL 2007 x x C.cucin CW07-SA5 salinarius CL 2007 x x C.cucin CW07-SA6 salinarius CL 2007 x X C.cucin CW07-SA1 salinarius <td>CW07-SA1</td> <td>salinarius</td> <td>CL</td> <td>2007</td> <td>x</td> <td>KF278288</td> <td></td> <td>х</td> <td></td> <td>C. cucini</td>	CW07-SA1	salinarius	CL	2007	x	KF278288		х		C. cucini
CW07-SA3 stimation C1 2007 x x c. carcin CW07-SA4 stimations C1 2007 x x x x x CW07-SA4 stimations C1 2007 x x x C. carcin CW07-SA5 stimations C1 2007 x x C. carcin CW07-SA5 stimations C1 2007 x x C. carcin CW07-SA6 stimations C1 2007 x x C. carcin CW07-SA1 stimations C1 2007 x KF278290 x C. carcin CW07-SA1 stimations C1 2007 x X C. carcin	CW07-SA2	salinarius	CL	2007	x	KF278289		х		C. cucini
CW07-SA4salinatius CL 2007 x x C cacini $CW07-SA5$ salinatius CL 2007 x x C cacini $CW07-SA6$ salinatius CL 2007 x x C cacini $CW07-SA7$ salinatius CL 2007 x x C cacini $CW07-SA7$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KF278290$ x C cacini $CW07-SA10$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KF278260$ x C cacini $CW07-SA11$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KF278266$ x C cacini $CW07-SA12$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KF278266$ x C cacini $CW07-SA13$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KF278266$ x C cacini $CW07-SA14$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KF278266$ x C cacini $CW07-SA15$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KF278266$ x C cacini $CW07-SA14$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KF278266$ x C cacini $CW07-SA15$ salinatius CL 2007 x x C cacini $CW07-SA15$ salinatius CL 2007 x x C cacini $CW07-SA15$ salinatius CL 2007 x x x C cacini $CW07-SA15$ salinatius CL 2007 x x <td>CW07-SA3</td> <td>salinarius</td> <td>CL</td> <td>2007</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td>C. cucini</td>	CW07-SA3	salinarius	CL	2007	×			x		C. cucini
$\mathrm{CW07-SA5}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xxC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA6}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xxxC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA7}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xxxC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA9}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xkE278290xC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA9}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xxC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA10}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xxC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA11}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xxC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA12}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xxC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA13}$ salinatiusCL 2007 xXC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA14}$ salinatiusSl2xC. cacini $\mathrm{CW07-SA13}$ salinatiusSl2 <td>CW07-SA4</td> <td>salinarius</td> <td>CL</td> <td>2007</td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td>C. cucini</td>	CW07-SA4	salinarius	CL	2007	x			x		C. cucini
CW07-SA6 salinarius CL 2007 x x C cucin CW07-SA7 salinarius CL 2007 x x C cucin CW07-SA7 salinarius CL 2007 x x C cucin CW07-SA8 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278290 x C cucin CW07-SA10 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278290 x C cucin CW07-SA10 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278286 x C cucin CW07-SA11 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278286 x C cucin CW07-SA13 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278286 x C cucin CW07-SA13 salinarius CL 2007 x KF278286 x C cucin CW07-SA13 salinarius CL 2007 x X C cucin CW07-SA14 salinarius CL 2007 </td <td>CW07-SA5</td> <td>salinarius</td> <td>CL</td> <td>2007</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>C. cucini</td>	CW07-SA5	salinarius	CL	2007	×			×		C. cucini
CW07-SA7salinatius CL 207 x $KE278290$ x $C. cucini$ $CW07-SA8$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KE278290$ x $C. cucini$ $CW07-SA9$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KE278290$ x $C. cucini$ $CW07-SA10$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KE7278286$ x $C. cucini$ $CW07-SA11$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KE7278286$ x $C. cucini$ $CW07-SA12$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KE7278286$ x $C. cucini$ $CW07-SA13$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KE7278286$ x $C. cucini$ $CW07-SA13$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KE7278286$ x $C. cucini$ $CW07-SA13$ salinatius CL 2007 x $KE7278286$ x x $C. cuciniCW07-SA14salinatiusCL2007xxxC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinatiusCL2007xxxxC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinatiusCL2007xxxxC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinatiusCL2007xxxxxCW07-SA14salinatiusCL2007xxxxxCW07-SA15salinatiusS12007x<$	CW07-SA6	salinarius	CL	2007	х			Х		C. cucini
CW07-SA8salinariusCL 2007 xKF278290xxC. cuciniCW07-SA9salinariusCL 2007 xxxC. cuciniCW07-SA10salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA11salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA12salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA13salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusSloferxXC. cuciniSlofe-SA1salinariusSloferxXC. cuciniSlofe-SA2salinariusSloferxXC. cuciniSlofer-SA2salinariusSloferxXC. cuciniSlofer-SA1salinariusSloferxXC. cuciniSlofer-SA2salinariusSloferxXC. cuciniSlofer-SA2salinariusSloferxXC. c	CW07-SA7	salinarius	CL	2007	x			х		C. cucini
Cw07-SA9salinariusCL 2007 xxC cuciniCw07-SA10salinariusCL 2007 xxxC cuciniCw07-SA11salinariusCL 2007 xxC cuciniC cuciniCw07-SA12salinariusCL 2007 xxC cuciniC cuciniCw07-SA13salinariusCL 2007 xxC cuciniC cuciniCw07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xxC cuciniC cuciniCw07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xxC cuciniC cuciniCw07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xxC cuciniC cuciniCw07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xxC cuciniC cuciniCw07-SA15salinariusCl 2007 xxC cuciniC cuciniSu06-SA1salinariusSl2006xxC cuciniC cuciniSu06-SA2salinariusSlSu0xXC cuciniSu06-SA2salinariusSlZZZC cuciniSu06-SA2salinariusSuZZZC cuciniSu06-SA2SuSuZXXC cuciniSu06-SA2SuSuZZZC cuciniSu06-SA2SuSuZZZZSu06-SA2SuSuZZZC <t< td=""><td>CW07-SA8</td><td>salinarius</td><td>CL</td><td>2007</td><td>х</td><td>KF278290</td><td></td><td>х</td><td>x</td><td>C. cucini</td></t<>	CW07-SA8	salinarius	CL	2007	х	KF278290		х	x	C. cucini
CW07-SA10salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA11salinariusCL 2007 xKF278286xC. cuciniCW07-SA12salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniC. cuciniCW07-SA13salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniC. cuciniCW07-SA13salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA1salinariusOP2007xxC. cuciniS106-SA1salinariusS12006xxC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS12006xxC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS12006xXC. cucini	CW07-SA9	salinarius	CL	2007	х			х		C. cucini
CW07-SA11salinariusCL 2007 xKF278286xC. cuciniCW07-SA12salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA13salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusOP 2007 xKF278287xC. cuciniS106-SA1salinariusS1 2006 xxC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS1 2006 xxC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS1 2006 xxC. cucini	CW07-SA10	salinarius	CL	2007	Х			Х		C. cucini
CW07-SA12salinariusCL 2007 xxC. cuciniCW07-SA13salinariusCL 2007 xXC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xC. cuciniOP07-SA1salinariusOP 2007 xC. cuciniS106-SA1salinariusS1 2006 xC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS1 2006 xC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS1 2006 xC. cucini	CW07-SA11	salinarius	CL	2007	х	KF278286		Х		C. cucini
CW07-SA13salinariusCL 2007 xC. cuciniCW07-SA14salinariusCL 2007 xC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusOP 2007 xC. cuciniOP07-SA1salinariusOP 2007 xKF278287xS106-SA1salinariusS1 2006 xC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS1 2006 xC. cucini	CW07-SA12	salinarius	CL	2007	x			x		C. cucini
CW07-SA14salinariusCL2007xC. cuciniCW07-SA15salinariusCL2007xC. cuciniCW07-SA1salinariusOP2007xKF278287xC. cuciniS106-SA1salinariusS12006xxC. cucinixC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS12006xxC. cucinixC. cucini	CW07-SA13	salinarius	CL	2007	x			x		C. cucini
CW07-SA15salinariusCL 2007 xC. cuciniOP07-SA1salinariusOP 2007 xKF278287xC. cuciniS106-SA1salinariusS1 2006 xxC. cuciniS106-SA2salinariusS1 2006 xxC. cucini	CW07-SA14	salinarius	CL	2007	х			х		C. cucini
OP07-SA1salinariusOP2007xKF278287xC. cuciniSJ06-SA1salinariusSJ2006xxC. cuciniSJ06-SA2salinariusSJ2006xxC. cucini	CW07-SA15	salinarius	CL	2007	х			х		C. cucini
SJ06-SA1salinariusSJ2006xC. cuciniSJ06-SA2salinariusSJ2006xxC. cucini	OP07-SA1	salinarius	OP	2007	х	KF278287		х		C. cucini
SJ06-SA2 salinarius SJ 2006 x x C. cucini	SJ06-SA1	salinarius	SJ	2006	х			Х		C. cucini
	SJ06-SA2	salinarius	SJ	2006	x			х		C. cucini

TABLE S1. (Cont	inued)								
					Perf	ormed analysis			
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	cox1 PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	Species
SJ06-SA3	salinarius	SJ	2006	х			х		C. cucini
SJ06-SA4	salinarius	SJ	2006	х		KF278417	х		C. cucini
SJ06-SA5	salinarius	SJ	2006	х		KF278418	х		C. cucini
VA10-SA1	salinarius	VA	2010		KF278284	KF278419	х		C. cucini
VA10-SA2	salinarius	VA	2010		KF278285	KF278420	х	×	C. cucini
VA10-SA3	salinarius	VA	2010				х	×	C. cucini
AD10-BAFL1	fluviatilis	AD	2010		KF278298		х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
AD10-BAFL2	fluviatilis	AD	2010		KF278295		x	×	C. decorus-group sp. 2
DUF06-BAFL1	bathophilus	DF	2006	х			х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
DUF06-BAFL2	bathophilus	DF	2006	х			х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
DUF06-BAFL3	bathophilus	DF	2006	х			х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
DUF06-BAFL4	bathophilus	DF	2006	х	KF278293		х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
DUF06-BAFL5	bathophilus	DF	2006	х			х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
DP06-BAFL1	bathophilus	DP	2006	х			х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
DP06-BAFL3	bathophilus	DP	2006	х	KF278299		х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
DP06-BAFL4	bathophilus	DP	2006	х			х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
DP06-BAFL5	bathophilus	DP	2006	х			х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
FO06-BAFL1	bathophilus	FO	2006	х	KF278300		х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
OP09-BAFL1	bathophilus	OP	2009		KF278296		х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
OP09-BAFL2	bathophilus	OP	2009		KF278297		х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
SC10-BAFL1	bathophilus	SC	2010		KF278294		x		C. decorus-group sp. 2
SC10-BAFL3	bathophilus	SC	2010				х	x	C. decorus-group sp. 2
SII1-BAFL1	melanotus	SI	2011		KF278301		х		C. decorus-group sp. 2
KE10-PL1	plumosus	KE	2010		KF278333	KF278401	х		C. dilutus
KE10-PL3	plumosus	KE	2010		KF278337	KF278402	х		C. dilutus
KE10-PL7	plumosus	KE	2010		KF278338	KF278403	х		C. dilutus
								·····	continued on the next page

IABLE 31. (COIII	Inca)				Perf	ormed analysis			
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	- Species
KE11-PL1	plumosus	KE	2011		KF278334	KF278404	x		C. dilutus
KE11-PL2	plumosus	KE	2011		KF278339	KF278405	Х		C. dilutus
KE11-PL3	plumosus	KE	2011		KF278340	KF278406	x		C. dilutus
KE11-PL4	plumosus	KE	2011		KF278335	KF278407	×		C. dilutus
KE11-PL5	plumosus	KE	2011		KF278341	KF278408	×		C. dilutus
KE11-PL6	plumosus	KE	2011		KF278336	KF278409	х		C. dilutus
AL06-SRPL10	semireductus	DA	2006	х		KF278410	х	x	<i>C. entis</i>
DAS10-SRPL1	semireductus	DS	2010		KF278208	KF278411	X	x	<i>C. entis</i>
M-585	semireductus	MN	2007					x	<i>C. entis</i>
OP09-SRPL1	semireductus	OP	2009		KF278213	KF278412	×	×	<i>C. entis</i>
OP09-SRPL2	semireductus	OP	2009			KF278413	х	x	<i>C. entis</i>
PE10-SRPL1	semireductus	PE	2010		KF278212	KF278414	×	×	<i>C. entis</i>
PE10-SRPL2	semireductus	PE	2010			KF278415	x	x	<i>C. entis</i>
PE10-SRPL3	semireductus	PE	2010			KF278416	x	x	<i>C. entis</i>
AL06-SRPL3	plumosus	AL	2006	x					C. entis or C. plumosus
AL06-SRPL5	plumosus	AL	2006	x					C. entis or C. plumosus
AL06-SRPL8	plumosus	AL	2006	х					C. entis or C. plumosus
AL06-SRPL9	plumosus	AL	2006	x					C. entis or C. plumosus
AL06-SRPL6	plumosus	DA	2006	x	not published				C. entis or C. plumosus
AL06-SRPL7	plumosus	DA	2006	x	not published				C. entis or C. plumosus
DU07-SRPL2	semireductus to plumosus	DU	2007	x					C. entis or C. plumosus
DU07-SRPL6	semireductus to plumosus	DU	2007	x					C. entis or C. plumosus
DU07-SRPL7	semireductus to plumosus	DU	2007	x					C. entis or C. plumosus
DU07-SRPL8	semireductus to plumosus	DU	2007	×					C. entis or C. plumosus
DU07-SRPL9	semireductus to plumosus	DU	2007	x					C. entis or C. plumosus
									continued on the next page

TABLE S1. (Cont	tinued)							
					Perf	ormed analysis		
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology Species
DU07-SRPL10	semireductus to plumosus	DU	2007	×				C. entis or C. plumosus
FO06-SRPL3	semireductus to plumosus	FO	2006	X				C. entis or C. plumosus
FO06-SRPL4	semireductus	FO	2006	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
FO06-SRPL5	semireductus	FO	2006	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
FO06-SRPL6	semireductus to plumosus	FO	2006	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
FO06-SRPL7	semireductus to plumosus	FO	2006	X				C. entis or C. plumosus
K106-SRPL2	plumosus	KI	2006	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
K106-SRPL3	plumosus	KI	2006	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
K106-SRPL4	plumosus	KI	2006	х				C. entis or C. plumosus
KI06-SRPL5	plumosus	KI	2006	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
MA06-SRPL1	semireductus	MA	2006	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
MA07-SRPL2	semireductus to plumosus	MA	2007	Х				C. entis or C. plumosus
MA07-SRPL3	semireductus	MA	2007	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
OP06-SRPL1	semireductus to plumosus	OP	2006	X				C. entis or C. plumosus
OS06-SRPL1	semireductus	OS	2006	x				C. entis or C. plumosus
PO07-PL1	plumosus	PO	2007	x			х	C. frommeri
PO07-PL3	plumosus	PO	2007	x			х	C. frommeri
PO07-PL5	plumosus	PO	2007	x			x	C. frommeri
PO07-PL6	plumosus	РО	2007	x	KF278235		x	x C. frommeri
PO07-PL7	plumosus	PO	2007	x	KF278236		x	C. frommeri
PO07-PL8	plumosus	PO	2007	x			х	C. frommeri
PO07-PL9	plumosus	PO	2007	x	KF278237		х	C. frommeri
AR10-PL1	plumosus	AR	2010		KF278304	KF278430	×	C. harpi
AR10-PL2	plumosus	AR	2010		KF278306	KF278433	х	C. harpi
								continued on the next page

TABLE S1. (Cont	tinued)								
					Perl	ormed analysis			
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	Species
AR10-PL3	blumosus	AR	2010		KF278302	KF278428	x		C. harpi
AR10-PL4	blumosus	AR	2010		KF278305	KF278431	x		C. harpi
AR10-PL5	plumosus	AR	2010		KF278303	KF278429	x		C. harpi
AR10-PL6	snsound	AR	2010			KF278432	x		C. harpi
BD07-PL1	plumosus	BE	2007	х	KF278292		х		C. maturus
BD07-PL2	plumosus	BE	2007	x			x		C. maturus
BD07-PL3	snsound	BE	2007	x			x		C. maturus
BD07-PL4	plumosus	BE	2007	x			x		C. maturus
BD07-PL5	plumosus	BE	2007	x			x		C. maturus
BD07-PL6	plumosus	BE	2007	x			x		C. maturus
BD07-PL7	plumosus	BE	2007	x			x		C. maturus
BD07-PL8	plumosus	BE	2007	x			x		C. maturus
BD07-PL9	snsownld	BE	2007	x	KF278291	KF278400	x		C. maturus
BD07-PL10	snsound	BE	2007	×			x		C. maturus
MA09-TH1	thummi	MN	2009		KF278330		x	x	C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i)
MA09-TH2	thummi	MN	2009		KF278329		x	x	C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i)
MA09-TH3	thummi	MN	2009		KF278331		x		C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i)
MA09-TH4	thummi	MN	2009		KF278332		х		C. nr. atroviridis (sp. 2i)
OP09-TH1	thummi	OP	2009		KF278327		x	x	C. ochreatus
OP09-TH2	thummi	OP	2009		KF278328		x	x	C. ochreatus
AU10-SRPL1	plumosus	AU	2010		KF278214	KF278389	x	x	C. plumosus
AU10-SRPL2	semireductus to plumosus	AU	2010				x	x	C. plumosus
AU10-SRPL4	snsound	AU	2010			KF278376	x	x	C. plumosus
AL06-SRPL1	plumosus	DA	2006	×		KF278384	×	x	C. plumosus
AL06-SRPL2	plumosus	DA	2006	x		KF278362	x	x	C. plumosus
AL06-SRPL4	plumosus	DA	2006	х			х	х	C. plumosus
									continued on the next page

IABLE SI. (CONI	nuea)				Darf	ormed analysis			
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFI P	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenRank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	– Species
DU07-SRPL1	semireductus to plumosus	DP	2007	x			×	×	C. plumosus
DU10-SRPL1	semireductus to plumosus	DP	2010		KF278209	KF278363	x	x	C. plumosus
DU10-SRPL2	semireductus to plumosus	DP	2010			KF278377	x	×	C. plumosus
DU10-SRPL3	semireductus to plumosus	DP	2010			KF278364	x	x	C. plumosus
FO06-SRPL1	plumosus	FO	2006	х		KF278378	х	x	C. plumosus
FO06-SRPL2	plumosus	FO	2006	Х		KF278380	Х	x	C. plumosus
KE10-SRPL2	plumosus	KE	2010			KF278372	Х	x	C. plumosus
KE10-SRPL3	plumosus	KE	2010			KF278365	Х	x	C. plumosus
KE10-SRPL6	plumosus	KE	2010			KF278373	x	×	C. plumosus
KE10-SRPL8	plumosus	KE	2010			KF278379	x	x	C. plumosus
KI06-SRPL1	plumosus	KI	2006	x	KF278210		x	x	C. plumosus
MA07-SRPL1	semireductus	MN	2007	x	KF278216		x	x	C. plumosus
MA10-SRPL1	semireductus	MN	2010		KF278218	KF278385	х	x	C. plumosus
OS09-SRPL1	plumosus	SO	2009		KF278211	KF278366	x	x	C. plumosus
OS09-SRPL2	plumosus	SO	2009			KF278367	X	x	C. plumosus
OS09-SRPL3	plumosus	SO	2009			KF278375	X	x	C. plumosus
PE10-SRPL4	semireductus	PE	2010			KF278374	x	×	C. plumosus
PE10-SRPL5	semireductus to plumosus	PE	2010			KF278386	x	×	C. plumosus
PE10-SRPL6	plumosus	PE	2010		KF278217	KF278368	x	x	C. plumosus
PE10-SRPL7	semireductus to plumosus	ΡE	2010			KF278369	x	×	C. plumosus
PE10-SRPL8	plumosus	PE	2010			KF278381	x	x	C. plumosus
PE10-SRPL9	semireductus to plumosus	ΡE	2010			KF278382	х	x	C. plumosus
PE10-SRPL10	plumosus	PE	2010			KF278387	х	x	C. plumosus
PE10-SRPL11	semireductus to plumosus	PE	2010			KF278370	x	x	C. plumosus
								<i>cont</i>	inued on the next page

Vucher toole Larve type Larve type Larve type Larve type Locations Full Condition conditions Section condition conditions Section conditions </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Peri</th> <th>formed analysis</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						Peri	formed analysis			
RE10-SRPL13 plunosis PE 2010 K17278315 X C cytonosis R010-SRPL1 plunosis R0 2010 K1737315 X X C Sphonosis R010-SRPL3 plunosis R0 2010 K173731 X X C Sphonosis R010-SRPL3 plunosis KA 2007 X K173731 X X C Sphonosis K407-TH3 thunui KA 2007 X K1737390 X X C Sphonosis K407-TH3 thunui KA 2007 X K1737390 X X C Sphonosis K407-TH3 thunui KA 2007 X K1737390 X X Sphonosis K407-TH3 thunui KA 2007 X K1737390 X Z Sphonosis K407-TH3 thunui KA 2007 X K1737392 X Z Sphonosis	Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	- Species
ROID-SKPL1 planosas RO 2010 KE77815 x x c planosas ROID-SKPL1 unumi Ka 200 x <t< td=""><td>PE10-SRPL13</td><td>plumosus</td><td>PE</td><td>2010</td><td></td><td></td><td>KF278388</td><td>x</td><td>×</td><td>C. plumosus</td></t<>	PE10-SRPL13	plumosus	PE	2010			KF278388	x	×	C. plumosus
ROI-SKPLJ phanosis RO 200 X	RO10-SRPL1	plumosus	RO	2010		KF278215			x	C. plumosus
Ku7:111 thumi KA 207 x x C sp Ndl Ku7:112 thumi KA 207 x x C sp Ndl Ku7:113 thumi KA 207 x x C sp Ndl Ku7:114 thumi KA 207 x x C sp Ndl Ku7:116 thumi KA 207 x x C sp Ndl Ku7:116 thumi KA 207 x x C sp Ndl Ku7:118 thumi KA 207 x x X Z sp Ndl Ku7:118 thumi KA 207 x x X Z sp Ndl Ku7:118 thumi KA 207 x X X Z sp Ndl Ku7:118 thumi KA 207 x X Z sp Ndl Ku7:118 thumi KA 207 x X Z sp Ndl Ku7:118 thumi X X	RO10-SRPL3	plumosus	RO	2010			KF278371	х	x	C. plumosus
Ku07-TH2 thumi KA 2007 x K12782.20 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi KA 2007 x K12782.20 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi KA 2007 x K12782.20 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi KA 2007 x K12782.90 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi KA 2007 x K12782.90 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi KA 2007 x K12782.90 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi KA 2007 x K12782.90 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi KA 2007 x K17278.99 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi KA 2007 x K17278.99 x C. 59. Ndl Ku07-TH3 thumi K1 X K17278.99 X C. 50. Ndl S07-SAL	KA07-TH1	thummi	KA	2007	x			x		C. sp. NAI
KA07-TH3 thommi KA 207 x KT27820 x C sp MI KA07-TH3 thommi KA 207 x x C sp MI KA07-TH3 thommi KA 207 x x C sp MI KA07-TH3 thommi KA 207 x KF27839 x C sp MI KA07-TH3 thommi KA 207 x KF278391 x X C sp MI KA07-TH0 thommi KA 207 x KF278391 x X C sp MI KA07-TH0 thommi KA 207 x KF278391 x X C sp MI KA07-TH0 thommi KA 207 x KF278391 x C sp MI K07-SA1 stimative S1 207 x KF278391 x C sp MI S107-SA2 stimative S1 207 x KF278392 x C sp MI S107-SA3 <td< td=""><td>KA07-TH2</td><td>thummi</td><td>KA</td><td>2007</td><td>x</td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td>C. sp. NAI</td></td<>	KA07-TH2	thummi	KA	2007	x			x		C. sp. NAI
KA07-TH5 thumui KA 2007 x KF278390 x C.g. MAI KA07-TH6 thumui KA 2007 x KF278390 x C.g. MAI KA07-TH3 thumui KA 2007 x KF278390 x C.g. MAI KA07-TH3 thumui KA 2007 x KF278319 x C.g. MAI KA07-TH3 thumui KA 2007 x KF278319 x C.g. MAI KA07-TH3 ahmui KA 2007 x KF278391 x C.g. MAI KA07-TH3 ahmui KA 2007 x KF278392 x C.g. MAI S07-SA3 salmativa K 2007 x KF278392 x C.g. MAI S07-SA3 salmativa S1 2007 x KF278259 x C.g. MAI S07-SA3 salmativa S1 2007 x KF278276 x C.g. MAI S07-SA3 <td>KA07-TH3</td> <td>thummi</td> <td>KA</td> <td>2007</td> <td>x</td> <td>KF278220</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td>C. sp. NAI</td>	KA07-TH3	thummi	KA	2007	x	KF278220		x		C. sp. NAI
K407-TH6 thumit K4 2007 x KF278390 x x C g.n.M1 K407-TH7 thumit KA 2007 x KF778391 x x C g.n.M1 K407-TH8 thumit KA 2007 x KF778219 x x C g.n.M1 K407-TH8 thumit KA 2007 x KF778219 x C g.n.M1 K407-TH10 thumit KA 2007 x KF778391 x C g.n.M1 K407-TH10 thumit KA 2007 x KF778219 x C g.n.M1 S107-SA1 salinarius S1 2007 x KF778270 x C g.n.M1 S107-SA2 salinarius S1 2007 x KF778270 x C g.n.M1 S107-SA4 salinarius S1 2007 x KF778270 x C g.n.M1 S107-SA4 salinarius D1 2007 x KF778270 x<	KA07-TH5	thummi	KA	2007	x			x		C. sp. NAI
Ka07-TH7 thummi KA 207 x KF273219 x x C 5p NAI KA07-TH8 thummi KA 207 x x x x c 5p NAI KA07-TH8 thummi KA 207 x x x c 5p NAI KA07-TH9 thummi KA 207 x x x c 5p NAI KA07-TH10 thummi KA 207 x x x c 5p NAI St07-SA1 salinarius S1 207 x KF278270 x x c 5p NAI St07-SA2 salinarius S1 207 x KF278270 x c 5p NAI St07-SA3 salinarius S1 207 x KF278270 x c 5p NAI St07-SA3 salinarius DA 2007 x KF278270 x c 5p NAII AL06-SA3 salinarius DA 2006 x KF278270 x c 5p NAII	KA07-TH6	thummi	KA	2007	x		KF278390	x	x	C. sp. NAI
Ka07-H8thumuiKA2007xx <td>KA07-TH7</td> <td>thummi</td> <td>KA</td> <td>2007</td> <td>х</td> <td>KF278219</td> <td></td> <td>х</td> <td>x</td> <td>C. sp. NAI</td>	KA07-TH7	thummi	KA	2007	х	KF278219		х	x	C. sp. NAI
K07-TH9thumui KA 2007 x $KF273391$ x $C. g. NAI$ $K07-TH10$ thumui KA 2007 x $K727327392$ x $C. g. NAI$ $S10-SA1$ salinatius $S1$ 2007 x $K7273270$ x $C. g. NAII$ $S10-SA2$ salinatius $S1$ 2007 x $K7273270$ x $C. g. NAII$ $S10-SA2$ salinatius $S1$ 2007 x $K7273269$ x $C. g. NAII$ $S10-SA2$ salinatius $S1$ 2007 x $K7273269$ x $C. g. NAII$ $S10-SA2$ salinatius $S1$ 2007 x $K7273269$ x $C. g. NAII$ $A10-SA3$ salinatius DA 2006 x $K7273276$ x $C. g. NAII$ $A10-SA3$ salinatius DA 2006 x $K7273276$ x x $C. g. NAIIA10-SA3salinatiusDA2006xK7273276xxC. g. NAIIA10-SA3salinatiusDA2006xxxxxxA10-SA4salinatiusDA2006xxxxxxA10-SA3salinatiusDA2006xxxxxxA10-SA4salinatiusDA2006xxxxxxA10-SA3salinatiusDA$	KA07-TH8	thummi	KA	2007	x			x	×	C. sp. NAI
KA07-TH10thumiKA 207 xKF278392x $C.sp. NAI$ $107-SA1$ salinatiusS1 207 x $KF278270$ x $C.sp. NAI$ $107-SA2$ salinatiusS1 207 x $KF278270$ x $C.sp. NAI$ $107-SA3$ salinatiusS1 2007 x $KF278269$ x $C.sp. NAI$ $107-SA3$ salinatiusDA 2006 x $KF278269$ x $C.sp. NAI$ $107-SA3$ salinatiusDA 2006 x $KF278269$ x $C.sp. NAI$ $106-SA1$ salinatiusDA 2006 x $KF278269$ x $C.sp. NAI$ $106-SA3$ salinatiusDA 2006 x $KF278269$ x $C.sp. NAII$ $106-SA3$ salinatiusDA 2006 x $KF278269$ x $C.sp. NAII$ $106-SA3$ salinatiusDA 2006 x $KF278276$ x $C.sp. NAII$ $106-SA3$ salinatiusDA 2006 x $KF278276$ x $C.sp. NAII$ $106-SA4$ salinatiusDA 2006 x X $C.sp. NAII$ $106-SA4$ salinatiusDA 2006 x X $C.sp. NAII$ $106-SA4$ salinatiusDA 2006 x X $Z.sp. NAII$ $106-SA4$ salinatiusHA 2006 x X $Z.sp. NAII$ $106-SA4$ salinatiusHA 2007 x X X $Z.sp. NAII$ <	KA07-TH9	thummi	KA	2007	x		KF278391	x		C. sp. NAI
N07-SA1salinativeS12007xxx	KA07-TH10	thummi	KA	2007	x		KF278392	x		C. sp. NAI
8107-5A2 $salinatius$ $S1$ 2007 x $KF278270$ x C G $NAII$ $8107-5A3$ $salinatius$ $S1$ 2007 x $KF278269$ x C G $NAII$ $8107-5A4$ $salinatius$ $S1$ 2007 x $KF278269$ x C G $NAII$ $A106-5A1$ $salinatius$ DA 2006 x $KF278276$ x C G $NAIII$ $A106-5A3$ $salinatius$ DA 2006 x x X C G $NAIII$ $A106-5A3$ $salinatius$ DA 2006 x x X C G $NAIII$ $A106-5A3$ $salinatius$ DA 2006 x x X C G $NAIII$ $A106-5A3$ $salinatius$ DA 2006 x x X C G $NAIII$ $A106-5A3$ $salinatius$ DA 2006 x x X Z G G $NAIII$ $A106-5A3$ $salinatius$ DA 2006 x x X Z G G $NAIII$ $A106-5A3$ $salinatius$ DA 2006 x X X Z G <t< td=""><td>SI07-SA1</td><td>salinarius</td><td>SI</td><td>2007</td><td>x</td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td>C. sp. NAII</td></t<>	SI07-SA1	salinarius	SI	2007	x			x		C. sp. NAII
S107-SA3 salinatius S1 2007 x x x C sp. NAII S107-SA4 salinatius S1 2007 x KF278269 x C sp. NAII AL06-SA1 salinatius DA 2006 x KF278269 x C sp. NAII AL06-SA2 salinatius DA 2006 x KF278269 x C sp. NAII AL06-SA2 salinatius DA 2006 x KF278269 x C sp. NAII AL06-SA3 salinatius DA 2006 x KF278276 x C sp. NAII AL06-SA3 salinatius DA 2006 x KF278276 x C sp. NAII AL06-SA5 salinatius DA 2006 x C sp. NAII x C sp. NAII AL06-SA6 salinatius DA 2006 x C sp. NAII AL06-SA6 salinatius DA 2006 x C sp. NAII AL06-SA6 salinatius	SI07-SA2	salinarius	SI	2007	x	KF278270		х		C. sp. NAII
S107-SA4 salinarius S1 2007 x KF278269 x C. sp. NAII AL06-SA1 salinarius DA 2006 x KF278276 x C. sp. NAII AL06-SA2 salinarius DA 2006 x KF278276 x C. sp. NAII AL06-SA3 salinarius DA 2006 x X C. sp. NAII AL06-SA3 salinarius DA 2006 x X C. sp. NAII AL06-SA3 salinarius DA 2006 x X C. sp. NAII AL06-SA4 salinarius DA 2006 x X C. sp. NAII AL06-SA5 salinarius DA 2006 x X C. sp. NAII AL06-SA6 salinarius DA 2006 x X X X AL06-SA6 salinarius HA 2007 x X X X HA07-SA1 salinarius HA 2007 x	SI07-SA3	salinarius	SI	2007	Х			Х		C. sp. NAII
AL06-SA1salinariusDA 2006 xKF278276xC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA2salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA3salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA4salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA5salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA5salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA6salinariusDA 2006 xxxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA5salinariusDA 2006 xxxC. sp. NAIIH07-SA1salinariusHA 2007 xxXC. sp. NAIIH07-SA2salinariusHA 2007 xXXC. sp. NAIIH07-SA3salinariusHA 2007 xXXC. sp. NAIIH07-SA3salinariusHA 2007 xXXXXH07-SA3salinariusHA 2007 xXXXXXH07-SA4salinariusHA 2007 xXXXXXXH07-SA4salinariusHA 2007 xXXXXXXH07-SA4salinariusHA 2007 xXXXXXXH07-SA4salinariusHAZ07XX	SI07-SA4	salinarius	SI	2007	х	KF278269		x		C. sp. NAII
AL06-SA2salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA3salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA5salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA5salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA5salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA5salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIAL06-SA6salinariusDA 2006 xxC. sp. NAIIHA07-SA1salinariusHA 2007 xxC. sp. NAIIHA07-SA2salinariusHA 2007 xxC. sp. NAIIHA07-SA3salinariusHA 2007 xKF278271xC. sp. NAIIHA07-SA4salinariusHA 2007 xXC. sp. NAIIHA07-SA4salinariusHA 2007 xXXC. sp. NAII	AL06-SA1	salinarius	DA	2006	Х	KF278276		Х		C. sp. NAIII
A106-SA3 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAII A106-SA4 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAII A106-SA5 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAII HA07-SA1 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAII HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x X C. sp. NAII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x X C. sp. NAII	AL06-SA2	salinarius	DA	2006	Х			Х		C. sp. NAIII
AL06-SA4 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAIII AL06-SA5 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAIII AL06-SA6 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAIII AL06-SA6 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA1 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA2 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x X S. Sp. NAIII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	AL06-SA3	salinarius	DA	2006	Х			Х		C. sp. NAIII
AL06-SA5 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAIII AL06-SA6 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA1 salinarius DA 2007 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA1 salinarius HA 2007 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA1 salinarius HA 2007 x KT C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA2 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x X <	AL06-SA4	salinarius	DA	2006	Х			Х		C. sp. NAIII
AL06-SA6 salinarius DA 2006 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA1 salinarius HA 2007 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA2 salinarius HA 2007 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA2 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x X S. Sh.NAIII	AL06-SA5	salinarius	DA	2006	Х			Х		C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA1 salinarius HA 2007 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA2 salinarius HA 2007 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA2 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII	AL06-SA6	salinarius	DA	2006	Х			Х		C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA2 salinarius HA 2007 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII	HA07-SA1	salinarius	НА	2007	Х			Х		C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA3 salinarius HA 2007 x KF278271 x C. sp. NAIII HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x X X C. sp. NAIII	HA07-SA2	salinarius	НА	2007	Х			Х		C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA4 salinarius HA 2007 x x x C. sp. NAIII	HA07-SA3	salinarius	НА	2007	Х	KF278271		Х		C. sp. NAIII
	HA07-SA4	salinarius	НА	2007	х			х	×	C. sp. NAIII

IABLE SI. (U	lunued)				Perf	ormed analysis		
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	<i>gb2β</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	ology Cytology	 Species
HA07-SA5	salinarius	HA	2007	×			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA6	salinarius	НА	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA7	salinarius	HA	2007	×			×	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA8	salinarius	НА	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA9	salinarius	НА	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA10	salinarius	НА	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA11	salinarius	HA	2007	x			×	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA12	salinarius	HA	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA13	salinarius	НА	2007	x			×	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA14	salinarius	НА	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA15	salinarius	НА	2007	x			×	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA16	salinarius	HA	2007	x			X	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA17	salinarius	НА	2007	x			×	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA18	salinarius	НА	2007	х			X	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA19	salinarius	НА	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA07-SA20	salinarius	НА	2007	х			x	C. sp. NAIII
HA10-SA1	salinarius	НА	2010		KF278274	KF278423	×	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA1	salinarius	MC	2007	×	KF278281		×	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA2	salinarius	MC	2007	x			×	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA3	salinarius	MC	2007	х			x	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA4	salinarius	MC	2007	x			x x	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA5	salinarius	MC	2007	×			×	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA6	salinarius	MC	2007	×			×	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA7	salinarius	MC	2007	x			×	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA8	salinarius	MC	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
FA07-SA9	salinarius	MC	2007	х			x	C. sp. NAIII
								continued on the next page

TABLE S1. (Conti	inued)							
		I			Peri	ormed analysis		
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	cox1 PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology Species
RA10-SA1	salinarius	RA	2010		KF278277		x	C. sp. NAIII
RA10-SA3	salinarius	RA	2010		KF278272	KF278422	х	C. sp. NAIII
RA10-SA4	salinarius	RA	2010		KF278273	KF278424	х	C. sp. NAIII
RA10-SA5	salinarius	RA	2010		KF278283		х	C. sp. NAIII
RA10-SA6	salinarius	RA	2010		KF278278		x	C. sp. NAIII
RA10-SA7	salinarius	RA	2010		KF278279		х	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA1	salinarius	RM	2007	×	KF278275		x	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA2	salinarius	RM	2007	x			х	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA3	salinarius	RM	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA4	salinarius	RM	2007	x	KF278282	KF278421	x	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA5	salinarius	RM	2007	x	KF278280		х	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA6	salinarius	RM	2007	x			x	x C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA7	salinarius	RM	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA8	salinarius	RM	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA9	salinarius	RM	2007	x			x	C. sp. NAIII
RAM07-SA10	salinarius	RM	2007	x			х	C. sp. NAIII
CR10-PL1	plumosus	CR	2010		KF278257		х	C. staegeri
AL06-PL1	plumosus	DA	2006	x	KF278256		х	C. staegeri
AL06-PL2	plumosus	DA	2006	X			х	C. staegeri
AL06-PL3	plumosus	DA	2006	х			х	C. staegeri
AL06-PL4	plumosus	DA	2006	x			х	C. staegeri
AL06-PL5	plumosus	DA	2006	х			х	C. staegeri
DU06-PL2	plumosus	DP	2006	x			x	C. staegeri
DU07-PL3	plumosus	DP	2007	х			х	C. staegeri
DU07-PL4	plumosus	DP	2007	x	KF278258		x	C. staegeri
DU07-PL5	plumosus	DP	2007	Х			х	C. staegeri
								continued on the next page

TABLE S1. (Conti	inued)					state for the second			
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	<i>cox1</i> PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology	Species
DU10-PL1	plumosus	DP	2010		KF278259		x		C. staegeri
KA07-PL21	plumosus	KA	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
K106-PL1	plumosus	KI	2006	x	KF278261		x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL1	plumosus	MC	2007	x	KF278260		x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL2	plumosus	MC	2007	х			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL3	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL4	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL5	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL6	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL7	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL8	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL9	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL10	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL11	plumosus	MC	2007	x			×		C. staegeri
FA07-PL12	plumosus	MC	2007	Х	KF278254		х		C. staegeri
FA07-PL13	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL14	plumosus	MC	2007	x			х		C. staegeri
FA07-PL15	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
FA07-PL16	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
OP09-PL1	plumosus	OP	2009		KF278262		x		C. staegeri
PO07-PL2	plumosus	Ы	2007	x	KF278255		x	x	C. staegeri
PO07-PL4	plumosus	PO	2007	х			x		C. staegeri
SI07-PL1	plumosus	SI	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
SI07-PL2	plumosus	SI	2007	x			x		C. staegeri
SI07-PL3	plumosus	SI	2007	х			x		C. staegeri
SI07-PL4	plumosus	SI	2007	Х			х		C. staegeri
								<i></i>	ontinued on the next page

TABLE S1. (Conti	inued)								
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	cox1 PCR-	cox / sequencing	$gb2\beta$ sequencing	Morphology	Cytology 5	Species
SI07-PL5	plumosus	SI	2007	X	KF278263		×		C. staegeri
SI07-PL6	plumosus	SI	2007	×			x	U	C. staegeri
SI07-PL7	plumosus	SI	2007	x			x	U	C. staegeri
SJ07-PL1	plumosus	SJ	2007		KF278264		x	U	C. staegeri
SJ07-PL2	plumosus	SJ	2007		KF278265		x	U	C. staegeri
SJ07-PL3	plumosus	SJ	2007		KF278268		х	0	C. staegeri
TI07-PL11	plumosus	TI	2007	x	KF278266		x	0	C. staegeri
T111-PL1	plumosus	IT	2011		KF278267		х	0	C. staegeri
KA07-PL1	plumosus	KA	2007	х			х	0	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL2	plumosus	KA	2007	x			x	0	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL3	plumosus	KA	2007	×			x	0	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL4	plumosus	KA	2007	x	KF278242		x	0	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL5	plumosus	KA	2007	х			х	C	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL6	plumosus	KA	2007	x	KF278243		x	x	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL7	plumosus	KA	2007	x			x	Ũ	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL8	plumosus	KA	2007	x			х	U	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL9	plumosus	KA	2007	x			х	U	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL10	plumosus	KA	2007	x			х	C	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL11	plumosus	KA	2007	x			х	C	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL12	plumosus	KA	2007	x			х	C	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL13	plumosus	KA	2007	х	KF278244		х	C	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL14	plumosus	KA	2007	х			Х	C	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL15	plumosus	KA	2007	х			х	C	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL16	plumosus	KA	2007	х			Х	C	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL17	plumosus	KA	2007	х			х	Ũ	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL18	plumosus	KA	2007	х			Х	C	C. 'tigris'
									ontinued on the next page

					Pert	ormed analysis		
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	cox1 PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology Species
KA07-PL19	plumosus	KA	2007	×			×	C. 'tigris'
KA07-PL20	plumosus	KA	2007	x			х	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL17	plumosus	MC	2007	x	KF278245		x	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL18	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL19	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL20	plumosus	MC	2007	Х	KF278253		х	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL21	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL22	plumosus	MC	2007	x	KF278246		x	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL23	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL24	plumosus	MC	2007	x			х	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL25	plumosus	MC	2007	×			x	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL26	plumosus	MC	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
FA07-PL27	plumosus	MC	2007		KF278247	KF278396	x	C. 'tigris'
OP06-PL1	plumosus	OP	2006		KF278238	KF278397	Х	C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL1	plumosus	OP	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL2	plumosus	OP	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL3	plumosus	OP	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL4	plumosus	OP	2007	X			х	C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL5	plumosus	OP	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL6	plumosus	OP	2007	x	KF278239		x	x C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL7	plumosus	OP	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL8	plumosus	OP	2007	Х			Х	C. 'tigris'
0P07-PL9	plumosus	OP	2007	X			x	C. 'tigris'
OP07-PL10	plumosus	OP	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'
OP09-PL2	plumosus	OP	2009		KF278240	KF278398	х	C. 'tigris'
SI07-PL8	plumosus	SI	2007	х			х	C. 'tigris'
								continued on the next page

					Perf	ormed analysis			
Voucher code	Larvae type	Locations	Year	cox1 PCR- RFLP	<i>cox1</i> sequencing (GenBank assession #)	$gb2\beta$ sequencing (GenBank assession #)	Morphology	Cytology Species	
SI07-PL9	plumosus	SI	2007	x			×	C. 'tigris'	
SI07-PL10	plumosus	IS	2007	x			×	C. 'tigris'	
SI07-PL11	plumosus	SI	2007	Х			х	C. 'tigris'	
SI07-PL12	plumosus	IS	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
SI07-PL13	plumosus	IS	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
SI07-PL14	plumosus	IS	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
SI07-PL15	plumosus	IS	2007	x	KF278248		x	C. 'tigris'	
SI07-PL16	plumosus	IS	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
SJ07-PL4	plumosus	SJ	2007	x	KF278249		x	C. 'tigris'	
SJ07-PL5	plumosus	SJ	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL1	plumosus	IT	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL2	plumosus	IT	2007	x	KF278250		×	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL3	plumosus	IT	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL4	plumosus	IT	2007	x	KF278241		x	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL5	plumosus	IT	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL6	plumosus	IT	2007	x			x	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL7	plumosus	IT	2007	x			×	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL8	plumosus	IT	2007	х			x	C. 'tigris'	
TI07-PL9	plumosus	IT	2007	х			x	C. 'tigris'	
T107-PL10	plumosus	IT	2007	х	KF278252		х	C. 'tigris'	
T111-PL2	plumosus	II	2011		KF278251	KF278399	х	C. 'tigris'	

	····· 1			GenBank A	ccession #	V/
Chironomus species	Location	COLLECTOL	rucinitien by	coxI	gb2B	
C. (Chaetolabis) nr. atroviridis (sp.2i)	White Lake, Three Mile Bay, ON, Canada	Don R. Oliver	Jon Martin	KF278342		Ch.sp2i15m
C. (Chaetolabis) nr. atroviridis (sp.2i)	White Lake, Three Mile Bay, ON, Canada	Don R. Oliver	Jon Martin	KF278360		Chaet.2i16m
C. (Chaetolabis) nr. atroviridis (sp.2i)	White Lake, Three Mile Bay, ON, Canada	Don R. Oliver	Jon Martin		KF278450	DRO.14.6 16M
C. (Chaetolabis) ochreatus	Little John Jr. Lake, WI, United States	Jon Martin	Jon Martin	KF278351		Ch.ochr13F
C. (Chironomus) acidophilus	Potters Marsh, Anchorage Co., AK, United States	Dave Wartenbee	Jon Martin	KF278358		UAK.1.14F or acidUAK1*
C. (Chironomus) anthracinus	Lake Esrom, Denmark	Henk Vallendduuk	Claus Lindegaard	KF278343		ES(DAN)95-BA3
C. (Chironomus) bifurcatus	Arboretum, Madison, Dane Co., WI, United States	Jon Martin	Jon Martin	KF278345		AAW4003*
C. (Chironomus) bifurcatus	Lake Pleasant, Franklin Co., MA, United States	Sean Werle	Jon Martin	KF278361		bifMa21
C. (Chironomus) bifurcatus	Arboretum, Madison, Dane Co., WI, United States	Jon Martin	Jon Martin	KF278353		bifMad7
C. (Chironomus) calligraphus	Gainsville, Alachua Co., FL, United States	Pauline O. Lawrence	Jon Martin	KF278357	KF278449	ABZ9507* or UFL.2.1 male4.1
C. (Chironomus) dilutus	Stevens Pond, Madison, Dane Co., WI, United States	Barry T.O. Lee	Jon Martin	KF278359		Stevens Pond_Madison WI (Eastern)
C. (Chironomus) entis	Saginaw Bay, Lake Michigan, MI, United States	Michael H. Winnell	Jon Martin	KF278355	KF278446	C.entisMI22 or UM1.3.1 22
C. (Chironomus) entis	Brewer Lake, Cass Co., ND, United States	Malcolm G. Butler	Jon Martin		KF278445	UND.2.1 2
C. (Chironomus) harpi	Bradleys Acid Pit, Jackson Co., IL, United States	Ken D. Yamamoto	Jon Martin	KF278346		AAJ4275*
C. (Chironomus) plumosus	Saginaw Bay, Lake Michigan, MI, United States	Michael H. Winnell	Jon Martin	KF278354	KF278444	C.plumMI21 or UM1.3.1 21
C. (Chironomus) quinnitukqut	Truro, Cape Cod, Barnstable Co., MA, United States	Jon Martin	Jon Martin	KF278347		AAB7030*
<i>C</i> . sp. g	Lake Bat, Algonquin Park, ON, Canada	Jon Martin	Jon Martin	KF278348		C.spgBatLk
C. sp. h	Lake Bat, Algonquin Park, ON, Canada	Jon Martin	Jon Martin	KF278349		C.sphBatLk
<i>C</i> . sp. u	Calgary, AL, Canada	Jon Martin	Jon Martin		KF278447	CAL.2.4 egg mass #3, 3.2f
C. (Chironomus) staegeri	Lake Pleasant, Franklin Co., MA, United States	Jon Martin	Jon Martin	KF278356		AAW3999*
C. (Chironomus) 'tigris'	Turtle Lake, Becker Co., MN, United States	Malcolm G. Butler	Jon Martin	KF278350		C.tigris_TurtleLk_MN_USA
C. (Chironomus) anthracinus-gr.	Marion Lake, Garibaldi Prov.Pk., BC, Canada	Andrew L. Hamilton	Andrew L. Hamilton	KF278344		CBC.1.1 14f(1)
*Published in BOLD (BIN #)						

TABLE S2. List of voucher Chironomus species sequenced.

			coxl			$gb2\beta$				
Chironomus spe	ecies No. c	f specimens	K2P d	ivergence (%)	No. of specimen	IS K2	P diverg	ence ((%	
-		-	Mean	Kange	-	Mean		Kang	se	
C. anthracinus		16	0.06	0.00 - 0.15	9	0.34))-00.C	.86	
C. bifurcatus		25	1.24	0.00 - 2.60	10	0.29	U	0.00-0	76.	
C. cucini		7	0.21	0.00 - 0.48	4	0.21	U	0.00-0	.32	
C. decorus-grou	up sp. 2	10	0.26	0.00 - 0.61	0					
C. dilutus		11	1.34	0.00 - 3.26	11	0.00	0	0.00-0	00.	
C. entis		5	1.30	0.31 - 2.16	6	0.25	Ŭ	0.00-1	.10	
C. frommeri		3	0.41	0.00 - 0.61	0					
C. harpi		6	0.22	0.00 - 0.66	9	0.00)	0.00-0	00.	
C. maturus		3	0.21	0.15 - 0.31	1					
C. nr. atroviridi	is (sp. 2i)	6	1.33	0.00 - 2.65	0					
C. ochreatus	•	3	0.10	0.00 - 0.15	0					
C. plumosus		6	0.93	0.00 - 1.54	29	0.64)	0.00-2	.23	
C. sp. NAI		3	0.82	0.15 - 1.23	3	0.00)	0.00-(00.	
C. sp. NAII		7	0.77		0					
C. sp. NAIII		13	0.35	0.00 - 0.77	4	0.00)	0.00-(00.	
C. staegeri		16	0.04	0.00 - 0.31	0					
C. 'tigris'		17	0.15	0.00 - 0.61	4	0.00	U	0.00-0	00.	
TABLE S4. Bas	ses that differ between <i>cox1</i> sequence	es of C. sp. NA	A and C. a	uthracinus. Refer to) Fig. 8 for sequenc	e label.				
					B	ase position				
				1 1 2 2	2 3 3 4 4	4 4 4 4	555	5 5	55	9 9
Species	Sequence	SS		7 1 6 2 8	8 2 6 0 1	3 7 7 9	0 0 2	4	4 6	2
				6 2 6 3 0	4 8 4 9 5	3 5 8 0	0 8 6	5 1	2 8	2
C. sp. NAI	thummi (n=1 / KA / KF2782219)			ACGCC	TACGA	A C G T	C A (C A	ТТ	СТ
C. sp. NAI	thummi (n=1 / KA / KF278220)			ACGCC	TACGA	A C G T	C A (A O	Т	С
C. sp. NAI	C. anthracinus-group (KF278344)			ACGCC	TACGA	A C G T	C A (V	Т	СТ
C. anthracinus	thummi_(n=4 / HA, OS, PI / KF2782	21-KF278224)		GTAT	C G T A G	G T A C	T G J	Ū Ū	C C	Т

υυ

н н

υυ

с с в с

A C T G T A C T G T

н н

0 0 0

GTAT GTAT

thummi_(n=10 /AR, OS, PI, RA, RM, SI / KF278225-KF278234)

C. anthracinus C. anthracinus

C. anthracinus (KF278343)

T C G T A T C G T A

					E	Base j	positi	on			
Species	Sequences	1	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	6
		9	7	3	4	8	3	7	9	1	2
		9	1	7	3	2	3	8	9	7	2
C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)	bathophilus (n=1 / DA / KF278315)	С	С	Т	Т	А	G	Т	С	С	А
C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)	bathophilus (n=11 / AD, AR, DP, OP / KF278316- KF278326)	С	С	Т	Т	А	G	Т	С	С	А
C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)	C. bifurcatus (KF278361)	С	С	Т	Т	А	G	Т	А	С	А
C. bifurcatus (gr. 1)	bathophilus (n=1 / SJ / KF278352)	С	С	Т	Т	А	G	Т	С	С	А
C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)	C. bifurcatus (KF278353)	Т	А	А	С	Т	А	А	Т	Т	С
C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)	C. bifurcatus (KF278345)	Т	А	А	С	Т	А	А	Т	Т	С
C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)	bathophilus (n=1 / KI / KF278307)	Т	G	А	С	Т	А	А	Т	Т	С
C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)	bathophilus (n=3 / SJ / KF278312-KF278314)	Т	G	А	С	Т	А	А	Т	Т	С
C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)	bathophilus (n=2 / MC / KF278310-KF278311)	Т	G	А	С	Т	А	А	Т	Т	Т
C. bifurcatus (gr. 2)	bathophilus (n=2 / MC, TI / KF278308-KF278309)	Т	G	А	С	Т	А	А	Т	Т	Т

TABLE S5. Bases that differ between *cox1* sequences of *C. bifurcatus* groups 1 and 2. Refer to Fig. 8 for sequence label.