



### First description of a giant manta ray fetus *Mobula birostris* (Walbaum 1792) from Tumbes, Peru (Southeast Pacific)

MARIANO CABANILLAS-TORPOCO<sup>1,6</sup>, KERSTIN FORSBERG<sup>1,2</sup>, RAQUEL SICCHA-RAMÍREZ<sup>3</sup>, PAOLA CISNEROS<sup>3</sup>, CARLOS LUQUE<sup>3</sup>, WILMER PURIZACA<sup>1</sup>, RAY ASMAT<sup>4</sup>, CLAUDIA AMPUERO<sup>1</sup>, ROBERT RUBIN<sup>2,5</sup> & MANUEL VERA<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Planeta Océano. Malecón Armendáriz 199 Dpto 201, Miraflores, Lima, Perú.

<sup>2</sup>MigraMar. 9255 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard Olema, CA 94950, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Instituto del Mar del Perú. Laboratorio Costero de Tumbes. Calle José Olaya S/N, Nueva Esperanza, Zorritos, Tumbes, Perú.

<sup>4</sup>Universidad Nacional de Tumbes. Avenida Universitaria S/N, Pampa Grande, Tumbes, Perú.

<sup>5</sup>Pacific Manta Research Group, University of California Davis, Bodega Marine Laboratory, Bodega Bay California, USA

<sup>6</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: [mcabanillastorpoco@gmail.com](mailto:mcabanillastorpoco@gmail.com)

The population of *Mobula birostris* (“giant manta ray”) found in the waters of northern Peru and Ecuador is believed to be the largest in the world (Harding & Beirwagen, 2009). This species is considered to be the largest within the group of manta rays, as they attains at least 670 cm disc width (reported to 910 cm) (White *et al.*, 2006) and there is a record of an individual weighing 2000 kg (Kunjipalu & Boopendranath, 1981). This species is ovoviviparous (matrotrophic viviparous) (Herbert, 2012). A single pup follows a gestation period of approximately one year, (Marshall *et al.*, 2008, Mendonça *et al.*, 2012). Successive pregnancies are speculated to be separated by a refractory period of two or more years (Mendonça *et al.*, 2012). The objective of this study is to record the measurements of a *M. birostris* fetus of a female caught accidentally in the region of Tumbes, Peru. This is the first record of morphological and morphometric data regarding a manta fetus in Peru.

On the 6th of September 2017, an individual of the species *M. birostris* was caught incidentally in the gillnets of an artisanal fishery. The capture of this individual was located approximately 4 miles off the coast of Acapulco, Tumbes, Peru (3°44'S; 80°46'W). The target species of the net was hake. The female was unraveled on the beach due to her size and the limited number of actions that could have been taken at sea. At this point in time the captured manta ray was dying.

Measurements of the manta ray were recorded (disc length: 3030 mm, disc width: 6210 mm) and the abdominal cavity was opened for the collection of some samples (including stomach contents, tissues, etc.). The uterus was dilated, and upon dissection a fetus was detected inside. The fetus was subsequently removed and transferred to the Coastal Laboratory of Tumbes-Institute of the Sea of Peru (LCT-IMARPE). In the laboratory, 37 morphological measurements were recorded based on information from Marshall *et al.* (2008). Three measurements were taken in addition referencing the navel of the fetus. Subsequently, the fetus was fixed in 4% formaldehyde and deposited in the collection of the Biodiversity Area of the LCT-IMARPE. Additionally, the proportions of the body were calculated based on the percentage of the disc length (% DL).

The fetus inside the uterine cavity had its pectoral fins bent over the back of the body. The fins were unfolded in order to take the measurements and observe the entire animal. The ventral surface displayed a whitish-creamy color and a slight pinkish tone with small black spots, forming a semicircle that is present under the fifth branchial opening (Fig. 1a). Colors became fainter posterior to the gill openings (Fig. 1a). Darker tones were observed from the cloaca to the tips of the pectoral fins (Fig. 1a). An umbilical-like stalk terminated in a 10 mm reddish navel (Fig. 1b). The tail had a caudal spine at its base, character key for *Mobula birostris* (Fig. 1c). Patches of dermal denticles were presented in the ventral and dorsal surfaces of the body, as well as in some areas of the cephalic fins; the most notable that were recorded were near the dorsal fin and at the base of the tail. The dorsal surface showed a blackish color, becoming darker in the area near the mouth (Fig. 1d). The inner surfaces of the cephalic fins had black edges with white center areas, and the outer surfaces of the cephalic fins were primarily white (Fig. 1d). In the lower jaw a band of teeth was evident.

The fetus had a disc length of 586 mm, a disc width of 1370 mm and a total weight of 23.6 kg. The morphological measurements are specified in Table 1. The fetus did not present claspers, which indicated that it was a female.

This study is the first detailed description of coloration and morphometric measurements of a *M. birostris* captured in the coast of northern Peru. To date few studies exist on the reproductive biology of this species, therefore emphasizing the importance of these findings. The results reported in this study coincide with the literature regarding the number of offspring per reproductive event. Regarding weight and size at birth, the two captive mantas born in Japan in 2007 (Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium) had disc widths of 1.8 and 1.9 meters, and the latter animal weighted 68.5 kg (Rubin, pers. comm.). So, it could indicate that the fetus analyzed (DW=1.37 m; weight: 23.6 kg) was in a mid to advanced stage of fetal development.



**FIGURE 1.** Female fetus of *Mobula birostris* obtained from an individual captured incidentally in Tumbes, Peru: (a) ventral view, showing identification patterns; (b) ventral view with the pectoral fins bent towards the back, showing the remnant of yolk sac (c) spine at the base of the tail, character key for *Mobula birostris*; (d) dorsal view

In addition, it was observed that the fetus already had pigmentation patterns in the ventral part, which indicates that in *M. birostris* these patterns develop before being born, however it can not be confirmed that the registered patterns are definitive, as suggested by Marshall *et al.* (2008).

Similar to Marshall *et al.* (2008), the fetus of *M. birostris* displayed the presence of an umbilical attachment, which is the remnant of the yolk sac.

A prominent feature found was the fetal position, in which the pectoral fins were found folded on the back. This also coincides with the description by Tomita *et al.* (2012) regarding *M. alfredi*. Moreover, Marshall *et al.* (2008) describe the

same feature in a species of genus *Mobula*. Casas *et al.* (2006) report the same findings for *M. thurstoni*. Therefore, we suggest that this can be considered as the normal fetal position for all species of the genus *Mobula*.

The disc length (DL) of the fetus recorded in Peru was similar to that recorded in Ecuador (Beebe & Tee-Van, 1941), representing 108.52% of the DL. However, the recorded weights display a much greater variation. Those recorded in Peru were substantially higher (185.83%) than those reported from Ecuador. These biometric differences could be due to possible genetic or nutritional effects.

It is pointed out that the presence of *M. birostris* is directly related to the productivity of the area (Dewar *et al.*, 2008), so the high productivity of the Eastern Tropical Pacific (Fiedler *et al.*, 1991) could explain the occurrence of *M. birostris* in the waters of northern Peru.

Besides the gravid manta indicated in this document, three gravid mantas have previously been recorded between August 2012 and May 2013 in this area (Ávila *et al.*, unpublished). This indicates that the occurrence of gravid mantas is not an exclusive event. Together with our recent findings, and previous records, this data reinforces the importance of the north coast of Peru as potential reproduction grounds for *M. birostris*. This information is crucial for the establishment and progression of conservation measures for this migratory species.

**TABLE 1.** Morphological measurements of fetus of *M. birostris* reported in Peru.

Description	mm	%DL	Description	mm	%DL
Length of disc	586	100.00%	Length of third gill opening	122	20.82%
Width of disc	1370	233.79%	Length of fourth gill opening	115	19.62%
Thickness of disc	114	19.45%	Length of fifth gill opening	94	16.04%
Distance from pelvic fin to <i>rostrum</i>	545	93.00%	Distance between first gill openings	140	23.89%
Length of cephalic fin	156	26.62%	Distance between fifth gill openings	76	12.97%
Width of cephalic fin	70	11.95%	Distance from face to first gill opening	102	17.41%
Thickness of cephalic fin	18	3.07%	Distance from face to fifth gill opening	338	57.68%
Diameter of right eye	16	2.73%	Length pre umbilical	277	47.27%
Diameter of left eye	16	2.73%	Diameter of umbilicus	1	0.17%
Width of cranium	422	72.01%	Distance from umbilicus to end of pectoral fin	696	118.77%
Distance between spiracles	288	49.15%	Length pelvic fin	120	20.48%
Length of spiracle	22	3.75%	Anterior margin of pelvic fin	65	11.09%
Width of mouth	193	32.94%	Width of base of pelvic fin	94	16.04%
Length of lower band of teeth	150	25.60%	Length pre cloacal	524	89.42%
Internarine distance	136	23.21%	Total length of tail	545	93.00%
Length pre dorsal	512	87.37%	Width at base of tail	16	2.73%
Length from base of dorsal fin	77	13.14%	Width in middle of tail	4	0.68%
Height of dorsal fin	60	10.24%	Posterior width of tail	2	0.34%
Anterior margin of dorsal fin	79	13.48%	Anterior height of tail	18	3.07%
Length of first gill opening	129	22.01%	Height in middle of tail	4	0.68%
Length of second gill opening	126	21.50%	Posterior height of tail	1.1	0.19%

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Diana Luna and Anna Dockhorn of NGO Planeta Océano for their efforts in the coordination of the movement of the individual. To Mervin Guevara and Renán Flores of LCT-IMARPE, for their hard work in the field, and for their facilities provided for data collection. Also, thanks to Judy Paxman for helping with the translation.

### References

- Beebe, W. & Tee-Van, J. (1941) Eastern Pacific expeditions of the New York Zoological Society. XXVIII Fishes from the tropical eastern pacific. Part 3: Rays, Mantas, and Chimaeras. *Zoologica: Scientific Contributions of the New York Zoological Society*, 26, 245–280.
- Casas, A., Cunha, C., Intelizano, W. & González, M. (2006) Record of a pregnant bentfin devilray, *Mobula thurstoni* (Lloyd) (Elasmobranchii, Mobulidae) caught in Southwestern Brazil. *Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences*, 1 (1), 66–68.

- Dewar, H., Mous, P., Domeier, M., Muljadi, A., Pet, J. & Whitty, J. (2008) Movements and site fidelity of the giant manta ray, *Manta birostris*, in the Komodo Marine Park, Indonesia. *Marine Biology*, 155 (2), 121–133.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-008-0988-x>
- Fiedler, P.C., Philbrick, V. & Chavez, F.P. (1991) Oceanic Upwelling and Productivity in the Eastern Tropical Pacific. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 36 (8), 1834–1850.  
<https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1991.36.8.1834>
- Harding, M. & Beirwagen, S. (2009) *Population research of Manta birostris in coastal waters surrounding Isla de la Plata, Ecuador*. Unpublished report. [pagination unknown]
- Herbert, J. (2012). *Manta birostris* (Manta Ray Giant). The Online Guide to the Animals of Trinidad and Tobago. Department of Life Sciences. The University of the West Indies, St Augustine. Available from: [https://sta.uwi.edu/fst/lifesciences/sites/default/files/lifesciences/documents/ogatt/Manta\\_birostris%20-%20Giant%20Manta%20Ray.pdf](https://sta.uwi.edu/fst/lifesciences/sites/default/files/lifesciences/documents/ogatt/Manta_birostris%20-%20Giant%20Manta%20Ray.pdf) (accessed 10 November 2017)
- Kunjipali, K.K. & Boopendranath, M.R. (1981) Note on the Catch of a Giant Ray *Manta birostris* (Walbaum) off Veraval, North West Coast of India. *Indian Journal of Fisheries*, 28 (1 & 2), 278–280.
- Marshall, A.D., Pierce, S.J. & Bennett, M.B. (2008) Morphological measurements of manta rays (*Manta birostris*) with a description of a foetus from the east coast of Southern Africa. *Zootaxa*, 1717, 24–30.
- Marshall, A., Compagno, L. & Bennett, M. (2009) Redescription of the genus *Manta* with resurrection of *Manta alfredi* (Kreffl, 1868) (Chondrichthyes; Myliobatoidei; Mobulidae). *Zootaxa*, 2301, 1–28.
- Mendonça, S.A., Macena, B.C.L., Creio, E., Viana, D.L., Viana, D.F. & Hazin, F.H.V. (2012) Record of a pregnant *Mobula thurstoni* and occurrence of *Manta birostris* (Myliobatiformes: Mobulidae) in the vicinity of Saint Peter and Saint Paul Archipelago (Equatorial Atlantic). *Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences*, 7 (1), 21–26.
- Tomita, T., Toda, M., Ueda, K., Uchida, S. & Nakaya, K. (2012) Live-bearing manta ray: how the embryo acquires oxygen without placenta and umbilical cord. *Biology Letters*, 8 (5), 721–724.  
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2012.0288>
- White, W.T., Last, P.R., Stevens, J.D., Yearsley, G.K., Fahmi & Dharmadi, D. (2006) Economically important sharks and rays of Indonesia. [Hiu dan pari yang bernilai ekonomis penting di Indonesia]. ACIAR Publishing, Canberra, 329 pp.